

Case No. SCSL-2004-14-T
THE PROSECUTOR OF
THE SPECIAL COURT
V.
SAM HINGA NORMAN
MDININA FOFANA
ALLIEU KONDEWA

FRI DAY, 27 MAY 2005 10. 07 A. M TRI AL

TRIAL CHAMBER I

**Before the Judges:** Pierre Boutet, Presiding

**For the Prosecution:** Mr Joseph Kamara Mr Mohamed Bangura

Mr Mohamed Bangura Mr Kevin Tavener Mr Mohamed Stevens

For the Principal Defender: No appearances

For the accused Sam Hinga Dr Bu-Buakei Jabbi

Norman: Mr Ibrahim Yillah

Mr Kingsley Belle (legal assistant)

Mr Brendan Driscoll (intern)

For the accused Moinina Fofana: Mr Arrow Bockarie

Mr Victor Koppe Mr Andrew Ianuzzi

For the accused Allieu Kondewa: Mr Charles Margai

Mr Yada Williams Mr Ansu Lansana

	1	[HN270505 - AD]
	2	[Fri day, 27 May 2005]
	3	[Open session]
	4	[Accused not present]
10:08:47	5	[Upon commencing at 10.07 a.m.]
	6	PRESIDING JUDGE: Good morning. Yesterday afternoon we
	7	were at the stage to determine the admissibility of a document
	8	that the Prosecution sought to introduce as an exhibit. The
	9	document in question was is called distribution summary for
10:12:07	10	CDFSL Kenema. This document was objected to by the first accused
	11	and the third accused and as well by the second accused,
	12	essentially that it was not relevant and that if it was relevant,
	13	there was no evidence to support that this witness had prepared
	14	the document.
10:12:36	15	We have reviewed the arguments present by both Prosecution
	16	and Defence and considering the extensive admissibility followed
	17	by this Court, we rule this document to be admissible for the
	18	purposes outlined by the Prosecution and for that very limited
	19	purpose. Again I would like to emphasis that we are talking here
10:13:02	20	of admissibility absolutely, and not the weight to be attached to
	21	this document, which will be assessed in due course at the proper
	22	time. Thank you very much. That should be marked as Exhibit 87,
	23	I think.
	24	[Exhibit No. 87 was admitted]
10:13:31	25	PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, Mr Prosecutor.
	26	MR BANGURA: Thank you, Your Honour.
	27	WITNESS: TF2-079 [Continued]
	28	EXAMINED BY MR BANGURA: [Continued]
	29	Q. Good morning, Mr Witness.

Page 3 NORMAN ET AL 27 MAY 2005

- A. Good morning, sir. 1
- You will recall yesterday, Mr Witness, that you were shown 2 0.
- a document which you prepared while you were a part of the 3
- administration in Kenema; is that correct? 4
- 5 A. Yes, sir. 10:13:56
  - And you have that document before you? 6
  - Α. Yes. sir. 7
  - What I would like you to do, Mr Witness, is to guide this 8 0.
  - 9 Court through that document and very briefly name -- identify for
- the Court particular commanders that were within the 10:14:18 10
  - 11 administration in Kenema at the time that you served there.
  - 12 A. On the fourth page -- Your Lordships will note that the
  - pages are not actually serially numbered. 13
  - 14 0. Yes. Mr Witness.
- PRESIDING JUDGE: There is the page where we have 10:15:06 15
  - signatures on, more or less, on the whole page. 16
  - 17 MR BANGURA: Yes, Your Honour.
  - 18 THE WITNESS: I said the fourth page. Number 1, I see a
  - name Arthur Koroma. 19
- 10:15:26 **20** 0. You do recognise Arthur Koroma's name on that page; is that
  - correct? 21
  - 22 Yes, sir. A.
  - 23 Q. Who else do you recognise there?
  - 24 Α. Number 3, Musa Junisa.
- You also recognise Musa Junisa, that's number 3? 10:15:36 **25** 0.
  - Then number 1, the District Administrator Arthur 26 A. Yeah.
  - Number 3, the deputy -- that is Mohammed 0 Musa, 27 Koroma.
  - 28 Mohammed O Musa, number 3, who was the national deputy director
  - 29 of war.

Page 4 NORMAN ET AL 27 MAY 2005

- Q. 1 0kay.
- A. Number 6, can see a director of operations, Musa OM Junisa. 2
- Q. 3 Who else do you recognise?
- A. Number 9, my very self. Can I go? 4
- Yes. 10:16:32 5 Q.
  - A. And you have Bockarie Fatoma, Kamajor police commander. 6
  - That is what number? 7 0.
  - It is number 14. Number 14, Kamajor Police Commander 8 A.
  - Bockarie Fatoma.
- Q. We are on the fourth page? 10:16:58 **10** 
  - 11 A. Yeah. Now we go to the 5th page, number 19 on that page.
  - 12 They have the assistant Kamajor police, which is KP, Kamajor
  - 13 Police Commander Steven L Fasay.
  - 14 Q. That names reads Sesay. Who was the substantive holder of
- that position? 10:17:49 **15** 
  - It was Steven L Fasay. It was typographical. It should be 16 A.
  - 17 Fasay, F-A-S-A-Y.
  - 18 Did you sign against his name? Q.
  - Yes, sir. 19 Α.
- And do you recognise that signature? 10:18:07 **20** 0.
  - A. Yes, sir. 21
  - 22 Q. Who else do you recognise on the list?
  - 23 A. Number 20, the District Commander Ismail Koroma.
  - 24 Q. Yes.
- 10:18:34 **25** A. Assistant director of intelligence --
  - What number? 26 0.
  - Number 26, Assistant Director of Intelligence Vandi Songo. 27 A.
  - Q. What else? 28
  - 29 A. Number 27, the Deputy Transport Officer Bockarie Aruna.

OPEN SESSION

1 Q. Yes please.

- 2 A. We have number 28, Ngaujia Mamoud the discipline officer,
- 3 Ngaujia Mamoud.
- 4 Q. Is that all?
- 10:19:38 **5 A. No, sir. On the sixth page, --**
  - 6 Q. Who do you recognise there?
  - 7 A. Number 34. The War Council representative, Chief Vandie
  - 8 Soka.
  - 9 Q. Any more?
- 10:20:11 10 A. Yes, sir. Number 46, of the same page, have office
  - 11 attendant two Mohammed VB.
  - 12 Q. Mohammed VB; did he perform any other functions?
  - 13 A. Yes he was also the lockup officer who was in charge of the
  - 14 guard room.
- 10:20:49 15 Q. Are there any more names that you recognise on the list?
  - 16 A. Let me go through. On the 8th page, can I go?
  - 17 Q. Yes please.
  - 18 A. Number 10.
  - 19 Q. And who is that?
- 10:21:43 20 A. Under the heading Kamajor battalion commanders, is number
  - 21 10, you see KBATT, K-B-A-T-T, Kenema Battalion, the 10th
  - 22 Battalion Commander Siaka Lahai.
  - 23 Q. Siaka Lahai, is he somebody you have mentioned before in
  - 24 your testimony in this Court?
- 10:22:14 **25** A. Yes, sir.
  - 26 Q. With regard to what did you mention Siaka Lahai earlier in
  - your testimony.
  - 28 A. Yes, sir, I mentioned his name earlier.
  - 29 Q. With regard to what?

- 1 A. With regard to the written situation report that we
- 2 handed -- that I handed over to Moinina Fofana and Chief Norman
- 3 on our visit to Base Zero.
- 4 Q. Am I right to say that he is the commander --
- 10:23:00 **5 A. Who gave me the report** --
  - 6 Q. About the killing of an AFRC agent --
  - 7 A. Agent that was a market dues collector.
  - 8 Q. Thank you. Do you recognise any more names on the list?
  - 9 A. I think that could be all, for now.
- 10:23:21 10 Q. These persons you have identified you say played an active
  - 11 part if the administration in Kenema at the time; is that right?
  - 12 A. Yes, sir.
  - 13 Q. I notice on the list that your signature appears in some
  - 14 parts of it. What was the reason?
- 10:23:44 15 A. Can you refer me to a particular page please.
  - 16 Q. On the first page.
  - 17 A. Yes I received -- at number three there were ten of those
  - 18 battalion commanders. It is summary of this distribution. You
  - 19 cannot give the whole block of things together. You collect, you
- 10:24:19 20 sign for it and distribute them -- the items to them, one by one.
  - 21 Q. So you were signing here on behalf of the battalion?
  - 22 A. Yes, for the administration to know that I have paid such
  - 23 distribution.
  - 24 Q. Thank you Mr Witness.
- 10:24:44 **25** A. Thank you sir.
  - 26 Q. Mr Witness you have mentioned that during the course of
  - 27 this administration headed by Arthur Koroma, the security
  - 28 situation rather than improving, deteriorated again; is that
  - 29 correct?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. Were any --
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE: We are talking of Kenema?
- 4 MR BANGURA: In Kenema.
- 10:25:10 5 Q. Were any particular commanders accused of creating this
  - 6 kind of situation of insecurity within Kenema as far as you
  - 7 remember?
  - 8 A. Yes. sir.
  - 9 Q. Who do you remember that was accused of creating this
- 10:25:44 **10 instance?** 
  - 11 A. I can remember KBK Magonna who I mentioned his name
  - 12 yesterday. Can I go?
  - 13 Q. Just watch the pen of his Lordships.
  - 14 A. Yes, sir. At one time that was in December 1998 --
- 10:26:26 **15 Q.** Yes please?
  - 16 A. ECOMOG soldiers arrested him.
  - 17 Q. Do you remember why he was arrested?
  - 18 A. And was detained.
  - 19 Q. He was arrested and detained. Do you remember why he was
- 10:26:57 **20 arrested?** 
  - 21 A. Yes.
  - 22 Q. Why was he arrested?
  - 23 A. They said they have persistent complaints about him with
  - 24 regard to killing and harassment of civilians at SS Camp and
- 10:27:20 **25** shooting indiscriminately. So, he was arrested and detained.
  - 26 Q. Mr Witness, go on please.
  - 27 A. In addition to that the next morning I went to the ECOMDG
  - 28 brigade headquarters where he was detained and I saw him without
  - 29 trousers and shirt, you know.

1	Q.	What	happened	when	you	got	there?
---	----	------	----------	------	-----	-----	--------

- 2 A. I was about to be caught also when I made mention that I
- 3 have come here to ascertain whether Magonna has been arrested.
- 4 So I narrowly have to escape and fled.
- 10:28:36 **Q.** Mr Witness, thank you very much for that. Can I take you
  - $\,\,$  back to the -- let's talk about the composition of the Kamajors.
  - 7 How would you describe the composition of the Kamajors throughout
  - 8 your period as an activity Kamajor; how would you describe its
  - 9 composition?
- 10:29:09 **10** A. There were --
  - 11 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Prosecutor, haven't we been through
  - 12 that yesterday with this witness at various stages when --
  - 13 MR BANGURA: Not exactly Your Honour.
  - 14 PRESIDING JUDGE: Not exactly so --
- 10:29:25 15 MR BANGURA: I am probably driving towards a different
  - direction if it would appear that we have covered this ground
  - 17 before. I am probably moving towards something --
  - 18 PRESIDING JUDGE: I do recall that we did cover some of
  - 19 that ground yesterday but how much of it I do not know.
- 10:29:43 20 MR BANGURA: May I crave Your Lordships' indulgence to
  - 21 allow the witness to answer this question and then we will see
  - whether we have in fact covered this ground.
  - 23 PRESIDING JUDGE: Please proceed.
  - 24 MR BANGURA: Thank you Your Honour.
- 10:29:57 25 Q. Mr Witness, I was asking you just now how would you
  - describe the composition of the Kamajors?
  - 27 MR YILLAH: May it please Your Honours, I will object to
  - 28 this line of questioning as Your Honour has rightly pointed out
  - 29 because the evidence before this Court is that the witness

Page 9 NORMAN ET AL

1	testified	yesterday	as	to	the	composition	of	the	Kamaj ors	before

- 1997. So may we have a specific time frame from the Prosecutor 2
- 3 because this question is open ended and we do not know what way
- 4 to go.
- PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Prosecutor --5 10:30:32
  - 6 MR BANGURA: May it please Your Honours, I think the
  - question is deliberately left open ended. The question to the 7
  - witness is during the period he was a Kamajor whether he would 8
  - 9 describe - he could describe the composition of the Kamajors.
- MR BOCKARIE: Yes, Your Honour. Based on what the 10:30:50 10
  - 11 Prosecution has said, then that makes is very vague. If it is
  - 12 open ended it makes it very vague and we have difficultly in
  - 13 comprehending exactly what would be a possible line of action.
  - 14 The composition of the Kamajors; I consider it to be very vague.
- It has to be narrowed down a little bit. 10:31:12 **15** 
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Prosecutor. 16
  - 17 MR BANGURA: I am at a loss because I believe, subject to
  - 18 the rules that guide the --
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: I am at a loss myself in the sense that 19
- 10:31:29 20 the question of course, apparently is vague but as you say it can
  - 21 be deliberately vague and open ended. I am not sure whether I
  - 22 recall that that ground was covered yesterday in terms of the
  - 23 composition of the Kamajors. What intrigues me a little, and the
  - 24 Defence will help me here, on what ground is the objection being
- What particular specific rule or prohibition of 10:32:03 **25** predi cated.
  - examination-in-chief is he now allegedly infringing. 26 It is not a
  - leading question. What specific rule of prohibition governing 27
  - examination-in-chief is he now infringing, because remember in 28
  - 29 examination-in-chief one has to cover the entire ground upon

Page 10 NORMAN ET AL

1 which the case is based. And unless he is infringing some rule

- 2 governing examination-in-chief, then this would not really be one
- of those prohibitory grounds, would it be? 3
- MR BANGURA: Well I rest my case, Your Honour. 4
- JUDGE THOMPSON: I am looking here from -- there is a rule 10:32:57
  - 6 against leading questions, there is a rule against previous
  - consistent statements, there is a rule against challenging the 7
  - witness's credibility; he is not doing any of these. I think he 8
  - 9 is seeking to expand here. Perhaps the objection might be proper
- if he tries to introduce matters of which incriminating nature of 10:33:13 10
  - 11 which you did not have previous notice.
  - 12 MR BOCKARIE: We will watch him cautiously Your Honour.
  - 13 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Prosecutor, before you continue on
  - 14 this, my observation that seems to have elicited these kind of
- objections was based on the fact that yesterday you did cover 10:33:33 15
  - with this witness not the organisation -- it was not the same 16
  - 17 terms as such -- you used the Kamajor structure at a specific
  - 18 time and then you moved along. I presume this question is
  - 19 different than what you were trying to elicit yesterday.
- MR BANGURA: Certainly it is Your Honour. 10:33:53 20
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: 21 Thank you.
  - 22 Mr Witness, can you endeavour to answer the question? The
  - 23 question was, "What was the composition of the Kamajors during
  - 24 the period that you were there actively as a Kamajor?"
- 10:34:10 25 Α. Yes, I will answer that question.
  - Yes, please. 26 0.
  - There were child combatants from the ages of -- from the 27
  - age of ten to -- there were of course child combatants and adult 28
  - 29 male. And the child combatants --

Page 11 NORMAN ET AL OPEN SESSION

- 1 Q. Can you slow it a bit.
- 2 A. The ages of the children that I saw and noticed was --
- ranges from 10 to 14, and the adult, I mean, up to 60 plus. Can 3
- I go? 4
- 10:35:33 5 Q. Continue please.
  - 6 Α. These child combatants were of course referred to as "small
  - hunters". Their actual name was not called. 7
  - 8 They were referred to as "small hunters". 0.
  - 9 A. Small hunters.
- Q. Mr Witness, during your period or stay with the Kamajors, 10:35:57 **10** 
  - 11 where particularly did you notice children - child combatants as
  - 12 you have described - within this age range that you have given?
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: Learned counsel, have we finished with the 13
  - 14 composition now?
- MR BANGURA: Yes, I understood --10:36:22 15
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: Are you sure? Your colleagues will object 16
  - 17 that you have covered it and then you want to return to it.
  - 18 MR BANGURA: I may get him to say more if there is any
  - more. 19
- No, well the difficulty, of course, is the 10:36:35 **20** JUDGE THOMPSON:
  - logical sequence of the testimony. If you are dealing with 21
  - 22 composition, it would seem to me logical that we exhaust that
  - 23 particular aspect before any other aspect that you want to cover,
  - unless --24
- 10:36:50 **25** MR BANGURA: Your Honour, I am still on composition but --
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: I see, but not composition proper? 26
  - MR BANGURA: Yes, Your Honour; kind of moving away from the 27
  - particular age ranges now --28
  - 29 JUDGE THOMPSON: I restrain myself.

Page 12 NORMAN ET AL

- MR BANGURA: -- and to getting into more specifics. 1
- 2 Yes, Mr Witness, you have just mentioned that you saw child 0.
- combatants within the age range of 10 and 14. Where particularly 3
- did you notice children within this age range? 4
- 5 A. Somewhere seen by me at Base Zero and initially Kamoh 10:37:29
  - 6 Brima, the late initiator for Kenema -- Kamoh Brima Bangura. Can
  - 7 I go?
  - 8 MR BANGURA: Let us get this very clear. You said --
  - 9 JUDGE THOMPSON: Can we have that again?
- MR BANGURA: Mr Witness, say it again, please. 10:37:48 **10** 
  - 11 JUDGE THOMPSON: Could he prefer "I saw", rather than "some
  - 12 were seen"? The passive voice is a little too weak.
  - MR BANGURA: 13
  - 14 Q. Did you observe any Kamajors within that age range?
- JUDGE ITOE: "See" not observing, please. 10:38:05 15
  - MR BANGURA: 16
  - 17 Q. Did you see any Kamajors within this age range?
  - 18 A. Yes, I saw some at Base Zero.
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: And then? 19
- MR BANGURA: 10:38:19 **20** 
  - Did you see any anywhere else? 21 Q.
  - 22 A. One was, of course, with Kamoh Brima Bangura.
  - 23 Q. Is that the person who you mentioned earlier, the initiator
  - 24 that succeeded Hassan Sheriff; is that correct?
- 10:38:43 **25** A. Yes.
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: That is in Kenema? 26
  - THE WITNESS: Yes. 27
  - MR BANGURA: 28
  - 29 Q. These child combatants that you saw, did they ever carry

Page 13 NORMAN ET AL

- anything with them? 1
- 2 JUDGE ITOE: Kamoh Brima Bangura succeeded who?
- MR BANGURA: Hassan Sherif, the first initiator. 3
- Q. Did they ever carry anything with them? 4
- 10:39:12 5 A. Yes, sir. Some were carrying AK47s, grenades and some were
  - having machetes. 6
  - 7 Q. Do you know whether these child combatants were ever
  - involved in any operations? 8
  - 9 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Please tell the Court. 10:39:41 10
  - 11 A. I saw them patrolling.
  - 12 0. Where?
  - With commanders at Base Zero. And some of course were 13
  - 14 used -- can I go?
- 10:40:29 15 Q. Yes, go on please.
  - A. As bodyguards. 16
  - 17 Q. Bodyguards to who?
  - 18 A. Like the one I mentioned, he was a personal bodyguard to
  - 19 the Kenema District initiator, Kamoh Brima Bangura.
- 10:40:53 **20** 0. The one you mentioned earlier?
  - A. Yes, sir. 21
  - 22 Apart from that, did you notice any others being used as
  - 23 bodyguards to anybody?
  - 24 Yes, the high priest was having one that I saw when we went A.
- 10:41:11 25 to Base Zero.
  - 26 0. Are you talking of Allieu Kondewa?
  - A. Yes, sir. 27
  - MR MARGAI: 28 Objection.
  - 29 PRESIDING JUDGE: What is your objection Mr Margai?

- 1 MR MARGAI: The Prosecutor is definitely putting words into
- 2 the mouth of the witness.
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE: Which words are we talking about, "high
- 4 priest" and "Kondewa"?
- 10:41:31 5 MR MARGAI: He said "the high priest", and it was he who
  - 6 named Kondewa.
  - 7 PRESIDING JUDGE: True. But are you disputing that?
  - 8 Because the Witness has testified as to that yesterday and using
  - 9 "high priest" and "Kondewa" many times.
- 10:41:43 10 MR MARGAI: There have been many high priests within the
  - 11 Kamajor society.
  - 12 PRESIDING JUDGE: I was just saying to you, Mr Margai, that
  - this Witness yesterday, when he testified, used the words "high
  - 14 priest" and "Kondewa". So if you object --
- 10:41:55 15 MR MARGAI: I appreciate that My Lord. But Your Lordship
  - will recall that testimonies have been born in this Court with
  - 17 regard to other high priests apart from Kondewa.
  - 18 PRESIDING JUDGE: There has been testimony about other
  - 19 initiators such as high priests, but it is not my recollection, I
- 10:42:15 **20 must say.** 
  - 21 MR MARGAI: I remember leading -- cross-examining in that
  - 22 direction one of the Prosecution witnesses.
  - 23 PRESIDING JUDGE: In any event, your objection is
  - 24 overrul ed.
- 10:42:29 **25** MR MARGAI: As My Lord pleases.
  - 26 MR BANGURA: Thank you, Your Honour.
  - 27 Q. Mr Witness, you were saying that you also saw child
  - 28 combatants serving as bodyguards to a high priest, and my
  - 29 question was: Was that Allieu Kondewa?

Page 15 NORMAN ET AL

1	A.	Yes,	si r,	he	was	the	hi gh	pri est		Allieu Kondewa.	That
---	----	------	-------	----	-----	-----	-------	---------	--	-----------------	------

- is why he was here, of course. 2
- 3 THE WITNESS: [REDACTED]
- MR BANGURA: Your Honours, that will be all for that 4
- 5 witness. 10:43:22
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: 6 Thank you, Mr Prosecutor. First accused,
  - 7 are you ready to proceed with cross-examination now?
  - MR YILLAH: Very well Your Honour. 8
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: Please do so. 9
- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR YILLAH: 10 10:43:39
  - 11 MR YILLAH: Your Honour, may I apply that a paper on which
  - 12 I have written a question be put to the witness through Mr
  - Walker? 13
  - 14 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Yillah, 5:: to the witness, but you do
- not want the witness to answer that question openly? 10:44:06 15
  - MR YILLAH: Yes. 16
  - 17 PRESIDING JUDGE: Have you shown it to the Prosecution?
  - 18 Not yet? So, Mr Yillah, you want the witness not only to read
  - that question but to write down his answer on that paper? 19
- MR YILLAH: Very well My Lord. 10:44:49 **20** 
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Witness, you are asked to read the 21
  - 22 question and write down the answer on that piece of paper.
  - 23 [Document shown to Defence and Prosecution
  - counsel, the Witness and given to the Trial Chamber] 24
- 10:45:49 25 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Yillah, you want this piece of paper
  - to be marked as an exhibit, presumably? 26
  - MR YILLAH: Very well My Lord. 27
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: So, this document, containing one 28
  - 29 question and one answer by Witness TF1-079 is marked as Exhibit

Page 16 NORMAN ET AL

1 No. 88.

- 2 [Exhibit No. 88 was admitted]
- MR BANGURA: Thank you very much, My Lord. 3
- PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Prosecutor, I did not ask whether you 4
- 5 had any objection. I presume not. 10:47:00
  - 6 MR BANGURA: No, My Lord
  - 7 MR YILLAH: May I proceed, My Lord?
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: Just one minute. Yes please, thank 8
  - 9 you.
- MR YILLAH: [REDACTED] 10:47:07 **10** 
  - 11 MR BANGURA: May it please Your Honours, I deliberately, and I
  - 12 believe the witness did -- I particularly avoided that because
  - that would go on to reveal the witness's identity. 13
  - 14 PRESIDING JUDGE: You are quite right. He was saying that
- he was working in the administration but never specified the 10:48:00 15
  - exact position he occupied. 16
  - 17 MR YILLAH: I apologise, My Lord.
  - MR BANGURA: May I respectfully ask that that portion of 18
  - the evidence be --19
- PRESIDING JUDGE: Be struck out? 10:48:15 20
  - MR BANGURA: 21 Yes.
  - 22 MR YILLAH: My deepest apologies, My Lord. I am deeply
  - 23 sorry about that.
  - 24 JUDGE ITOE: It's okay; it does happen, Mr Yillah.
- MR YILLAH: 10:48:19 25
  - Mr Witness, is it true that you were sacked from the office 26
  - you were holding because you embezzled monies given to you by the 27
  - government of Sierra Leone? 28
  - 29 JUDGE THOMPSON: Why not split the question, step by step?

- 1 MR YILLAH: I will take that, Your Honour.
- 2 Q. Mr Witness were you at any time sacked from office?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. Mr Witness, would I be correct to say that you were sacked
- 10:49:19 5 because you embezzled moneys given to you by the government of
  - 6 Sierra Leone for CDF?
  - 7 A. No, that was not the reason.
  - 8 Q. Mr Witness --
  - 9 JUDGE ITOE: Mr Yillah, please.
- 10:49:52 **10** MR YILLAH: Sorry My Lord.
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: You said, "Given by the government of
  - 12 Si erra Leone".
  - 13 MR YILLAH: For CDF; yes, My Lord.
  - 14 JUDGE THOMPSON: For what?
- 10:50:08 **15 MR YILLAH:** For CDF.
  - 16 Q. Mr Witness, were you at any time initiated as a Kamajor?
  - 17 A. Yes, sir.
  - 18 Q. During initiation were you given laws to guide your conduct
  - 19 as a Kamajor in times of combat?
- 10:51:01 **20** A. Yes, sir.
  - 21 Q. Mr Witness --
  - 22 A. Yes, sir.
  - 23 Q. -- would I be correct to say one such law was not to kill
  - innocent civilians during combat?
- 10:51:37 **25 A.** Yes, sir.
  - 26 Q. Mr Witness, would I also be correct to state that you were
  - 27 also told not to rape women?
  - 28 A. Yes, sir.
  - 29 Q. And not to loot civilian properties as well?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. Thank you. Mr Witness, to what extent did you personally
- 3 observe these laws as a Kamajor?
- 4 A. I obeyed those laws throughout.
- 10:52:58 5 Q. Thank you. Mr Witness, would I be correct to suggest that
  - 6 you obeyed those laws because you knew that the Kamajor movement
  - 7 was set up to protect the lives and properties of civilians?
  - 8 A. Yes, personally.
  - 9 Q. Mr Witness --
- 10:53:54 **10** A. Yes, sir.
  - 11 Q. -- your role -- I mean the office you held in the CDF was
  - merely administrative; would I be correct to say that?
  - 13 A. Yes, sir.
  - 14 Q. Would I also be correct to say that you personally did not
- 10:54:30 15 at any point participate in combat?
  - 16 A. I did. Can I say more of that?
  - 17 Q. That is fine, Mr Witness. You stated in your
  - 18 evidence-in-chief that you went to Base Zero; is that correct?
  - 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 10:55:18 20 Q. Would I be correct to say that at the time you went to Base
  - 21 Zero you met a War Council already established there?
  - 22 A. No; during the first visit, no.
  - 23 Q. But during the second visit, Mr Witness, a War Council had
  - 24 already been established at Base Zero; is that correct?
- 10:56:23 25 A. It was after some time within the first visit -- after some
  - 26 time.
  - 27 Q. That the War Council was established?
  - 28 A. Mostly, later on from it.
  - 29 Q. Thank you. So far as you know, would it be correct to say

- 1 that the War Council was the body responsible for prosecuting the
- 2 war?
- 3 A. No, they were just playing an advisory role at Base Zero.
- 4 Q. Mr Witness, would I be correct to say at that time the War
- 10:58:09 5 Council was responsible for punishing commanders who misconducted
  - 6 themselves at the battlefront?
  - 7 A. Go over that again please.
  - 8 Q. Would I be correct to say that the War Council at Base Zero
  - 9 was responsible for punishing Kamajor commanders who misconducted
- 10:58:30 10 themselves at the battlefront?
  - 11 A. No, sir.
  - 12 Q. Mr Witness, you were in Kenema in April of 1998; is that
  - 13 correct?
  - 14 A. Yes, sir; yes, sir.
- 10:59:27 15 Q. Thank you, Mr Witness. Would I be correct to say that in
  - 16 April of 1998 you attended a War Council meeting held in Kenema?
  - 17 A. No, sir; there was no War Council meeting held there.
  - 18 MR YILLAH: My Lord, at this stage may I apply that a
  - 19 particular document which is already in evidence as Exhibit 28 be
- 11:00:57 20 shown to the witness with a view to refresh his memory?
  - 21 PRESIDING JUDGE: 28?
  - 22 MR YILLAH: Exhibit 28, My Lord.
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: Are you referring to the minutes of the
  - 24 meeting which --
- 11:01:16 25 MR YILLAH: Just to show him the heading; it may help to
  - 26 refresh his memory.
  - 27 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Walker, do we have the document?
  - 28 MR WALKER: Your Honour, Exhibit 28 is not in Court. We
  - 29 only have the latest exhibits here. I can get it, but it will

Page 20 NORMAN ET AL

1 take	a	moment.
--------	---	---------

- PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Yillah, do you have a copy here? 2
- MR YILLAH: I don't have a copy here. It is very crucial. 3
- MR WALKER: We can probably print one off, Your Honour. 4
- PRESIDING JUDGE: You can print one off now? 11:01:43
  - MR YILLAH: As My Lord pleases. 6
  - 7 PRESIDING JUDGE: You will appreciate that it will not be
  - the original, but it will be a copy. So we will show it to you 8
  - 9 before you show it to the witness.
- MR YILLAH: Very well My Lord. 11:02:09 10
  - 11 PRESIDING JUDGE: It had many pages.
  - 12 MR YILLAH: About five pages.
  - 13 [Counsel shown document]
  - 14 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Yillah ensure that it has all the
- 11:03:13 **15** pages.
  - MR YILLAH: It does My Lord. 16
  - 17 [Defence and Prosecution counsel shown document]
  - MR YILLAH: 18
  - Mr Witness, please take a careful look at that document; 19
- look at the heading. 11:04:25 **20** 
  - 21 [HN270505B - SV]
  - 22 A. 0kay.
  - 23 Q. Thanks, Mr Witness. Mr Witness?
  - Yes, sir. 24 A.
- 11:38:41 **25** 0. Would I be correct to say that you have seen that document
  - before? 26
  - Yes. 27 A.
  - Now, Mr Witness, would I be correct to say that by virtue 28 Q.
  - 29 of the office you held on the executive you have been in custody

NORMAN ET AL

Page 21

Page 21

OPEN SESSION

- 1 of that document?
- 2 A. Not at all. No. sir.
- 3 Q. Mr Witness, does the document that you have read -- does it
- 4 confirm the position that you earlier took that KBK Magonna was
- 11:38:42 5 investigated by ECOMOG. Does it support that position?
  - 6 A. Yes. Yes, sir.
  - 7 Q. Mr Witness, that document is addressed to the
  - 8 vice-president of Sierra Leone; is that correct?
  - 9 A. Yes.
- 11:38:42 10 Q. Would I be correct to say that that was the then
  - 11 vi ce-president Honourable Joe Demby?
  - 12 A. Yes.
  - 13 MR WILLIAMS: My Lords, at this stage I wish to apply under
  - Rule 89(C) for this document to be admitted in evidence. It is
- 11:38:42 15 relevant to my line of cross-examination and it supports the
  - 16 questions that have been put to the witness prior to the
  - 17 application for tendering this letter, My Lord.
  - 18 JUDGE ITOE: The Prosecution has indicated that it raises
  - 19 no objection.
- 11:38:42 20 MR YILLAH: As My Lord pleases.
  - JUDGE ITOE: I don't know, unless the other defence teams
  - do raise any objection, but the Prosecution which is directly
  - 23 concerned has indicated that it is raising no objection.
  - 24 MR YILLAH: As My Lord pleases.
- 11:38:42 **25 JUDGE ITOE:** Are you rightly reproduced on the records as
  - 26 having said this?
  - 27 MR BANGURA: Yes, Your Honour. We maintain the same
  - 28 position as stated earlier.
  - 29 PRESIDING JUDGE: It would appear to have some relevance in

Page 22

- line with the questions you have asked. However, I am just 1
- 2 puzzled a bit -- I mean, the witness has not disputed any of the
- facts you put to him in this respect. It's your case, and there 3
- is no objection from the Prosecution in this respect, so we will 4
- just --5 11:38:44
  - 6 MR YILLAH: As My Lord pleases.
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: We are at Exhibit 89 now. So this is a 7
  - document dated 26 December 1998 and it is from Headquarters 15 8
  - 9 ECOMOG Brigade to the honourable vice-president.
- [Exhibit No. 89 was admitted] 11:38:44 **10** 
  - 11 MR YILLAH:
  - Mr Witness, the honourable vice-president then, the 12
  - 13 Honourable Joe Demby, do you know -- may I proceed, My Lord?
  - 14 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, please.
- MR YILLAH: 11:38:44 15
  - Do you know whether he is a member of the CDF? 16
  - 17 A. Yes, sir.
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: You mean now? Now? 18
  - MR YILLAH: 19 He was.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: You meant was. 11:38:44 **20** 
  - MR YILLAH: Was, yes, Your Honour. And the witness has 21
  - 22 just confirmed that he --
  - 23 THE WITNESS: Later. Later, in 1998, a committee -- a
  - 24 national coordination committee was established and he was the
- 11:38:45 **25** chairman of that committee as far as I knew.
  - MR YILLAH: 26
  - You mean a committee within the CDF? 27 Q.
  - A. Yes, part of that. 28
  - 29 PRESIDING JUDGE: I'm not sure I understand the answer.

Page 23 NORMAN ET AL

1 A	lre you	sayi ng	that	he	was	a	Kamaj or	because	he	was	the	chai rman
-----	---------	---------	------	----	-----	---	----------	---------	----	-----	-----	-----------

- of that committee or he was a Kamajor and, because of that, he 2
- became the chairman? So I'm not sure. What's your answer? You 3
- were asked a question if he was Kamajor. 4
- 5 JUDGE ITOE: No, CDF [overlapping speakers] 11:38:45
  - 6 PRESIDING JUDGE: Sorry, CDF. You say he was a CDF because
  - he became the chairman, not because he was CDF before becoming 7
  - chairman, is it. Mr Witness? 8
  - 9 THE WITNESS: My Lord, please ask that question again.
- PRESIDING JUDGE: You were asked the question that he was a 11:38:45 10
  - 11 CDF member. You said yes, later in 1998 he became the chairman.
  - 12 Are you saying he was a CDF because he became the chairman or
  - because he was CDF before becoming the chairman? 13
  - 14 THE WITNESS: What I'm saying, My Lords, a national
- coordinating committee was established later in 1998 here in 11:38:46 **15** 
  - Freetown. Can I go? 16
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: 17 Yes.
  - THE WITNESS: And he was the chairman of that committee. 18
  - 19 JUDGE THOMPSON: Does that dispose of your initial
- questi on? 11:38:46 **20** 
  - MR YILLAH: The initial one, My Lord. I'll now proceed --21
  - 22 JUDGE THOMPSON: With the initial question?
  - 23 MR YILLAH: No, I will now proceed with other questions on
  - that line. 24
- 11:38:46 **25** JUDGE THOMPSON: Yes, because your question was was he a
  - CDF. 26
  - MR YILLAH: Yes, My Lord, and he has confirmed that. 27
  - Now, Mr Witness, as an executive at your level -- as an 28 Q.
  - 29 executive member at your level, would you agree with me that the

- 1 national coordinating committee that you have just spoken about
- was the highest placed body within the CDF?
- 3 A. Well, all I know of that committee was --
- 4 JUDGE ITOE: Please, answer the question. The question is
- 11:38:46 5 simply put. Very, very simply put. Please put the question to
  - 6 him again.
  - 7 MR YILLAH: As My Lord pleases.
  - 8 Q. As an executive member at your level, Mr Witness, would I
  - 9 be correct to say that the committee that you have just
- 11:38:47 10 mentioned, the national coordinating committee, was the highest
  - 11 placed body within the CDF?
  - 12 A. Yes.
  - 13 Q. Mr Witness, let me now move you away from -- to Tongo.
  - 14 Now, was there a military base in Tongo during 1998? Did the
- 11:38:47 15 military -- did the AFRC -- let me put it this way --
  - JUDGE ITOE: Mr Yillah, I want to put a question to this
  - 17 witness. When you say the national coordination committee of the
  - 18 CDF was the highest placed body of the CDF what do you mean by
  - 19 its being the highest placed body of the CDF? What do you mean?
- 11:38:48 20 THE WITNESS: They cater for the welfare of the CDF. That
  - 21 was the body that I know caters for the welfare of the CDF at the
  - 22 national level.
  - 23 MR YILLAH: Is that all, My Lord?
  - JUDGE ITOE: As far as I want to go, at my level.
- 11:38:48 25 MR YILLAH: As My Lord pleases.
  - Q. Mr Witness, the chairman of that committee was the then
  - 27 vice-president Honourable Joe Demby; is that correct?
  - 28 A. Yes, sir.
  - 29 Q. Would I be correct to state that that body is responsible

Page 25 NORMAN ET AL

- for receiving recommendations in respect of investigations of 1
- 2 commanders and implementing them? Would I be correct to say
- 3 that?
- May it please Your Honours, could counsel be 4 MR BANGURA:
- 5 more specific in terms of time frame. The question asked as 11:38:48
  - 6 regards the role of the NCC, national coordinating committee, may
  - 7 counsel try and state specifically what period we're talking of
  - here. 8
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Yillah. 9
- MR YILLAH: I take the point, My Lord. My Lord, I will 11:38:49 **10** 
  - 11 apply that Exhibit 88, is it, be put again to the witness so that
  - 12 he can clarify this point.
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: Isn't it the evidence of this witness 13
  - that this body was formed at the end of 1998? 14
- MR YILLAH: Yes, My Lord, and this exhibit is also dated 26 11:38:49 **15** 
  - December 1998. 16
  - 17 PRESIDING JUDGE: No, I'm not saying that with reference to
  - 18 the exhibit, Mr Yillah. I was saying that with reference to the
  - 19 question that raised objection by the Prosecution. So the time
- frame has got to be from '98 on, not before that. 11:38:49 **20** 
  - MR YILLAH: I'll restrict myself to '98, My Lord. 21
  - 22 [Exhibit No. 89 shown to witness]
  - 23 MR YILLAH:
  - 24 Q. Mr Witness, do you have the document before you?
- 11:38:49 **25** Α. Yes, sir.
  - Please turn to page 3 of that document? 26 0.
  - A. Yes, sir. 27
  - And if you could look at the heading "Recommendations"? 28 Q.
  - 29 A. On page 3.

- 1 Q. Page 3, yes, on Exhibit 89?
- 2 A. I'm just finding right above 7 on that page, finding it.
- 3 Q. Okay, could you turn to the next page, please. You will
- 4 see "Conclusion" and then "Recommendation"?
- 11:38:50 **5 A.** Yes, above 9.
  - 6 Q. Mr Witness, if you look through that document you will
  - 7 agree with me that certain recommendations were made by ECOMOG to
  - 8 the vice-president in respect of Commander KBK Magonna?
  - 9 A. Yes, recommendations were made.
- 11:38:50 10 Q. And one of these recommendations was to keep the commander
  - out of scene until the war is over; is that correct?
  - 12 A. Which of the scenes, sir?
  - 13 Q. No, it is written on this -- if you look at the
  - 14 recommendations?
- 11:38:51 15 A. Yeah, it is on paper. It is on paper.
  - 16 Q. With the leave of the Chamber, it reads: "9. It is
  - 17 recommended that (a) Mr Magonna be kept out of the scene until
  - 18 the war is over". Do you agree with me?
  - 19 A. Yeah, they wrote it.
- 11:38:51 20 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Yillah, may I ask you what you are
  - 21 trying to achieve. I mean, the document has been produced at
  - 22 your request as an exhibit. The witness is not disputing this.
  - 23 He had not seen the document but he does not dispute anything
  - you're questioning in this respect.
- 11:38:51 **25** MR YILLAH: As My Lord pleases. In answer to Honourable
  - Judge Itoe's question, the witness said that this national
  - 27 coordinating committee was merely responsible for catering for
  - 28 the welfare of --
  - 29 THE WITNESS: The CDF.

- 1 MR YILLAH: -- the CDF, My Lord. Now, as a follow-up to
- 2 that I'm saying -- and he confirmed that the then vice-president
- 3 was the chairman of that committee. So I am putting it to the
- 4 witness now that it could not only have been responsible for
- 11:38:52 5 catering for the welfare of CDF. It goes further than that, as
  - 6 can be seen from this document.
  - 7 JUDGE ITOE: No, but this document is not from the
  - 8 committee. It is a recommendation by ECOMOG.
  - 9 PRESIDING JUDGE: To the vice-president.
- 11:38:52 10 JUDGE ITOE: To the vice-president. It does not
  - 11 necessarily mean that it comes within the functions of that
  - 12 committee. Do you see the distinction?
  - 13 MR YILLAH: I will not press the point. I will address
  - 14 Your Lords [inaudible].
- 11:38:52 15 JUDGE THOMPSON: I concur in what my learned brothers are
  - saying. It is something like a quantum leap here.
  - 17 MR YILLAH: I take the point, My Lord.
  - 18 Q. Now, Mr Witness, would I be correct to say that the AFRC
  - 19 had a military base in Tongo?
- 11:38:53 20 A. Yes, but not the AFRC alone.
  - 21 Q. That's the AFRC and RUF?
  - 22 A. Yeah.
  - 23 MR BANGURA: May it please Your Honours although the
  - 24 witness has volunteered an answer but, again, time frame. If
- 11:38:53 25 counsel can help us with a time frame it would be good for the
  - witness.
  - 27 MR YILLAH: My Lord, I will take the point.
  - 28 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes. I mean, the AFRC, the evidence is
  - 29 not that the AFRC was there for all sorts of periods of time.

- 1 We're talking of a fairly specific period of time. But, anyhow,
- 2 any assistance you can provide in this respect, Mr Yillah, will
- 3 be appreciated.
- 4 MR YILLAH: I take the point, My Lord.
- 11:38:54 5 Q. Now, in 1998 would I be correct to say that the AFRC/RUF
  - 6 had a military base in Tongo?
  - 7 A. Which --
  - 8 Q. It's a straightforward question.
  - 9 A. At what time actually?
- 11:38:54 10 Q. 1998. I mean, before the CDF finally dislodged the
  - 11 AFRC/RUF from Tongo they had a military base there?
  - 12 A. You mean in January 1998?
  - 13 Q. Yes, precisely.
  - 14 A. Yes, the AFRC and the RUF were having a military base in
- 11:38:54 **15 Tongo.** 
  - 16 Q. Now, Mr Witness --
  - 17 A. Yes sir.
  - 18 Q. -- would I also be correct that the Kamajors had sent
  - 19 advance warnings to Tongo for civilians to leave before the
- 11:38:54 20 attack? Would I be correct to say that?
  - 21 A. No. No. Warning, no.
  - 22 Q. Now, Mr Witness, do you know whether the Kamajors sent
  - 23 letters to civilians in Tongo asking them to leave before they
  - 24 are attacked?
- 11:39:05 **25** A. No.
  - 26 JUDGE THOMPSON: Just a minute. Isn't there a lurking
  - 27 ambiguity in that answer. No, what? No, he does not know
  - 28 whether they did send or did they not send?
  - 29 MR YILLAH: I'll put it to him again.

Page 29 NORMAN ET AL OPEN SESSION

Q. Mr Witness, do you know whether the Kamajors sent letters 1

- to civilians in Tongo asking them to leave before they are 2
- attacked, the final attack? 3
- No, I don't know about sending letters to Tongo for 4 A.
- 11:40:03 5 civilians to leave.
  - Mr Witness, from your evidence I take it that you were not 6 Q.
  - 7 in Tongo -- you were not part of the group of Kamajors that
  - finally attacked and took the AFRC/RUF out of Tongo. You were 8
  - 9 not part of that group?
- A. You mean the group that went into gun battle? 11:40:54 **10** 
  - 11 Q. Yes.
  - 12 Face to face gun battle?
  - 13 0. Yes.
  - 14 A. No, in my statement -- in my statement, if you could
- recall, I mentioned that I arrived with the ammunitions. 11:41:08 **15** The war
  - was -- the fighting was in progress in Tongo. They were just 16
  - 17 running short of ammunitions when I arrived. Haven't heard about
  - 18 my arrival. How did they hear? They were supplied with ammos.
  - They said those guys have come. And we stayed at Panguma. 19
- 11:41:44 20 Mr Witness, the question is straightforward. 0.
  - Quite right. I think we'll go back to 21 JUDGE THOMPSON:
  - 22 that question because that's not the answer.
  - 23 MR YILLAH:
  - 24 Mr Witness, you were not part of the fighting force that
- 11:41:56 **25** attacked and took the AFRC/RUF out of Tongo?
  - 26 JUDGE THOMPSON: Yes, it's either yes or no.
  - MR YILLAH: 27
  - Were you or were you not? 28 Q.
  - 29 A. No.

- 1 Q. Thank you, Mr Witness. Mr Witness, I am putting it to you
- 2 that advanced warnings were sent to civilians to leave Tongo
- 3 before the Kamajors launched their final attack. I'm putting it
- 4 to you?
- 11:42:50 5 A. I don't want to believe so.
  - 6 Q. Mr Witness, I'm also putting it to you that the final
  - 7 Kamajor attack on Tongo that you spoke about was directed against
  - 8 the military base at the NDMC headquarters?
  - 9 A. Only the military base you're talking of.
- 11:43:42 10 Q. Mr Witness, the question is simple. I'm putting it to you
  - 11 that the final attack that took the AFRC/RUF out of Tongo was
  - directed at the military base at the NDMC headquarters in Tongo?
  - 13 A. Not only the military base.
  - 14 Q. Now, you testified in your evidence-in-chief about your
- 11:44:37 15 observation of corpses, dead bodies, on the major streets of
  - 16 Tongo. Now, Mr Witness, I am putting it to you that those dead
  - 17 bodies you saw in Tongo came about as a result of civilians being
  - 18 used as human shields by the AFRC/RUF soldiers during the attack.
  - 19 MR BANGURA: May it please Your Honour, I object to that
- 11:45:12 20 question. The witness has clearly testified that he went to
  - 21 Tongo and found these bodies. He has not testified to being
  - 22 there when they were killed. He found decomposing corpses. He
  - 23 saw body parts. I don't think the question is a fair question to
  - 24 the witness.
- 11:45:34 25 PRESIDING JUDGE: Well, he can answer that he doesn't know.
  - 26 I mean, I don't see what is the unfairness about that.
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: I think he volunteered some hearsay
  - 28 information. According to the records, he did say the he got
  - 29 information of something from somewhere. So he did volunteer how

- 1 they were killed.
- 2 MR BANGURA: As My Lord pleases.
- 3 MR YILLAH: Apart from the information, my learned friend
- 4 knows that these are some of the elements that go to constitute
- 11:46:03 5 the crime with which the first accused is charged.
  - 6 Q. Mr Witness, I'm putting it to you that those corpses you
  - 7 saw came about as a result of civilians being used as human
  - 8 shields by the AFRC/RUF soldiers?
  - 9 A. Well, I don't know who killed them. I can hardly say who
- 11:46:34 **10 killed who.** 
  - 11 Q. Thank you, Mr Witness.
  - 12 JUDGE ITOE: Does that answer the question; I don't know
  - 13 who killed who.
  - 14 MR YILLAH: That's his evidence.
- 11:46:46 15 JUDGE ITOE: Human shields, I don't know who killed who.
  - 16 MR YILLAH: That's his evidence, My Lord.
  - 17 THE WITNESS: That is how came about the death of those
  - 18 people that I see, sir
  - 19 JUDGE ITOE: Yes, you're coming closer it to now. You do
- 11:47:02 20 not know how they came by their death, isn't it?
  - 21 THE WITNESS: [No audible response]
  - 22 MR YILLAH: May I proceed, My Lord?
  - 23 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes.
  - 24 MR YILLAH:
- 11:47:54 **25 Q**. Mr Witness, you also in your evidence-in-chief testified
  - 26 about an incident at Dassama; is that correct?
  - 27 A. Yes, sir.
  - 28 Q. Now, you said you were on your way to Bo when you stopped
  - 29 at Dassama because of a certain information you came by; is that

- 1 correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Now, were you in the company of War Council members or were
- 4 you alone?
- 11:48:49 5 A. I was in the company of some people that were in the War
  - 6 Council.
  - 7 Q. How many of them roughly; can you help the Court? How many
  - 8 War Council members?
  - 9 A. I can only remember one.
- 11:49:26 10 JUDGE ITOE: And which one was this?
  - 11 THE WITNESS: Alhaji Daramy Rogers, My Lord.
  - 12 JUDGE ITOE: Alhaji Daramy Rogers.
  - 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, My Lord.
  - 14 MR YILLAH:
- 11:49:56 15 Q. Mr Witness, and neither you as an executive member at your
  - level nor the War Council member intervened to save this man's
  - 17 life?
  - 18 A. We saw people running. We saw retreating Kamajors -- it
  - 19 was a little bit distant from where we were seated. They were
- 11:50:21 20 chasing somebody. I mean, the time -- not even it -- it wasn't
  - 21 even 15 minutes. They ran, they went into a bush, you know, and
  - they come now with the head of a human being and a small bit of
  - 23 meat wrapped in leaves and they were placing them in their
  - 24 pockets. So we did not actually go and say, "You stop this" or
- 11:50:54 **25** what.
  - 26 Q. You did not intervene?
  - 27 A. Not at all. We never knew that they are going to kill
  - 28 somebody.
  - 29 Q. But after you knew about the incident did you intervene?

- 1 A. I did not intervene personally. Sorry. Sorry.
- 2 please. I asked them, "Why have you killed this man?" They said
- 3 "Pa said police should die." I said, "Which Pa?" They said,
- 4 "Chief Norman." That is how it happened.
- 11:51:45 5 Q. But he was in there when that incident happened?
  - 6 A. He was not there. Bumpeh is far off from there. Dassama
  - 7 is far off from there at Base Zero.
  - 8 Q. Now, Mr Witness, you were in a very responsible position in
  - 9 the Kamajor movement. Would I be correct to say that you were in
- 11:52:27 10 a very responsible position, were you not?
  - 11 A. Of course.
  - 12 Q. Now, did you subsequently or at any time thereafter bring
  - 13 this incident to the attention of the regional coordinator or the
  - 14 commander of Bumpeh or Bo? Did you?
- 11:52:48 15 A. Killings were all over and --
  - 16 Q. My question is --
  - 17 A. No, sir.
  - 18 Q. Mr Witness, you would also agree with me that Base Zero is
  - 19 very far away from Bumpeh; is that correct?
- 11:53:37 **20** A. Yes.
  - 21 Q. Now, how long did you spend at Base Zero?
  - 22 A. I stayed there for about two months.
  - 23 Q. For two months?
  - 24 A. Yes, at first.
- 11:54:14 25 Q. Was that the longest time you ever spent there?
  - 26 A. Of course. Yes, sir.
  - 27 Q. Now, Mr Witness, would I be correct to suggest that whilst
  - you were at Base Zero -- right?
  - 29 A. Yes, sir.

NORMAN ET AL

Page 34

Page 34

OPEN SESSION

- 1 Q. You did not know about what was happening at the battle
- 2 ground most of the time?
- 3 A. Which of the battle grounds are you referring to, sir?
- 4 Q. Let me put it this way for you so that we can get it clear.
- 11:55:05 5 Now, you told this Court that you were in Base Zero for a period
  - 6 of two months?
  - 7 A. Yes. sir.
  - 8 Q. Now, I am saying that whilst you were in Base Zero during
  - 9 this period of two months, you did not know about some of the
- 11:55:21 10 incidents involving Kamajors that were happening outside Base
  - 11 Zero?
  - 12 A. Well, I knew about some. The Bo commanders were coming in
  - 13 with situation reports to -- for the attention of the national
  - 14 coordinator, Chief Norman, so that he would know what is
- 11:55:56 15 happening on the ground. Because at one time they went -- the
  - 16 commanders from Bo went and said they have gone as far as Towama,
  - 17 where the Bo teachers college is. So I know about some. But
  - 18 what was happening back home I did not know of.
  - 19 Q. So for the two months period you did not know what was
- 11:56:35 20 happening in your home in Dodo Chiefdom?
  - 21 A. Tongo, Panguma [indiscernible].
  - 22 Q. And, Mr Witness, you would agree with me that the situation
  - is so because the use of communication set was not part of the
  - 24 Kamajor method of fighting war; is that correct?
- 11:57:16 25 A. Please help me to understand what you mean by the phrase
  - "the situation was so".
  - 27 Q. No, you said for the two month period that you were in Base
  - 28 Zero you knew about some incidents but that you did not know what
  - 29 was happening back home, your home, I take it; Dodo Chiefdom,

Page 35 NORMAN ET AL OPEN SESSION

1 Panguma Chiefdom. I'm saying that there is this communication

- 2 gap because the use of communication set like radios was not part
- of the Kamajor method of fighting war? 3
- A. At that time. 4
- And, Mr Witness, would I also be correct to Q. Thank you. 11:57:55 5
  - 6 suggest that because of this absence of a communication system
  - between Base Zero and the various points some of the incidents do 7
  - not come to the knowledge of the Kamajor commanders at Base Zero? 8
  - 9 A. Which of the commanders?
- Q. To the War Council members, the national coordinator; those 11:59:14 10
  - who were at Base Zero? 11
  - Yes, I agree some of the incident did not come to the 12 A.
  - notice of the War Council and the national coordinator because 13
  - 14 already before --
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Because of the lack of communication? 11:59:59 **15** 
  - THE WITNESS: Yes. 16
  - 17 JUDGE THOMPSON: That's the nexus you're making?
  - MR YILLAH: Yes, My Lord. That's what I put to him. 18 That
  - will be all for this witness, My Lord. 19
- PRESIDING JUDGE: 12:00:21 20 Thank you.
  - THE WITNESS: 21 Yes, sir, yes.
  - 22 MR BANGURA: May it please Your Honours, I notice the
  - 23 witness's hand is up. I'm not so sure.
  - 24 PRESIDING JUDGE: We were just discussing for a short break
- 12:00:50 **25** of five minutes, so that should take care of that. That is what
  - you are asking for, Mr Witness? 26
  - THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 27
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: Okay. The Court is adjourned for five 28
  - 29 mi nutes.

	1	[Break taken at 12.00 p.m.]
	2	[On resuming at 12.15 p.m.]
	3	PRESIDING JUDGE: Counsel for second accused, yes.
	4	CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR KOPPE:
12:18:46	5	Q. Good afternoon, Mr Witness?
	6	A. Good afternoon, sir.
	7	Q. Mr Witness, before you gave testimony today to this Court
	8	and yesterday, did you prepare for your testimony? Did you
	9	prepare yourself for this testimony that you gave yesterday and
12:19:16	10	today?
	11	A. What do you mean exactly?
	12	Q. Did you speak to somebody from the Prosecutor's office
	13	about your testimony today?
	14	A. Yes.
12:19:35	15	Q. Did you speak to the Prosecutor's office about the type of
	16	questions you would get from them?
	17	A. Go over that again.
	18	Q. Did you discuss with the Prosecution's office what type of
	19	questions you would get yesterday and today?
12:20:09	20	A. That you mean if I was asked yesterday by the Prosecutor
	21	yesterday? Are you referring to yesterday?
	22	Q. No, I'm referring to the questions of today and yesterday
	23	by the Prosecution. Did you know that these specific questions
	24	were going to be asked yesterday and today?
12:20:34	25	A. Well, he only told me that I will be giving I will be
	26	before this Court I will be asked a series of questions.

 $\,$  Q. Let me give you example, Mr Witness. When you were being

He didn't specify which questions?

Well, he specified some. Not really.

Q.

A.

27

28

NORMAN ET AL Page 37

- asked this morning about the composition of the Kamajors, did you
- 2 know that the specific question was going to be asked by the
- 3 Prosecution?
- 4 A. It was out of the statement that I gave that -- these
- 12:21:21 5 questions began emerging from the Prosecution.
  - 6 Q. No, my question was if you knew beforehand that this
  - 7 question about composition of Kamajors was going to be asked by
  - 8 the Prosecution?
  - 9 A. He asked me that after giving my statement and that gave
- 12:21:48 10 back to those questions, for instance, the composition of
  - 11 Kamaj ors.
  - 12 Q. So you knew that this question was going to be asked?
  - 13 A. Of course.
  - 14 Q. And when discussing this question with the Prosecution did
- 12:22:09 15 the Prosecution say to you that it was important to mention
  - 16 children who were fighting?
  - 17 A. No, sir.
  - 18 MR BANGURA: May it please Your Honours, I believe
  - 19 communication between counsel and the witness has some -- is
- 12:22:29 20 subject to privilege and I submit that counsel cannot go so far
  - 21 as to question the witness as to communication that he had with
  - 22 counsel in the preparation for his testimony in court and that is
  - 23 what counsel is seeking to do; going into what preparations the
  - 24 witness had with counsel before he came to court.
- 12:23:02 **25 PRESIDING JUDGE:** Mr Defence Counsel.
  - 26 MR KOPPE: Your Honour, the reason for this line of
  - 27 questioning was that I was puzzled by certain answers to certain
  - 28 questions and it seems to me that this witness was very well
  - 29 prepared, to such an extent that he gave exactly the answers that

Page 38 NORMAN ET AL

1 the Prosecution was seeking. I took this question of composition 2 as an example because when asked, in an open-minded fashion, about composition of Kamajors it seems to me unlikely that the 3 witness should immediately speak about child soldiers. 4 So I'm 5 just trying to ask from the witness how far he was led in giving 12:23:40 6 these answers before he was giving testimony yesterday and today. 7 PRESIDING JUDGE: But the objection -- I understand what you're explaining and that's what I understood your questions to 8 9 be for, but the objection is you're now embarking into an area that the Prosecution claims is privileged communication between 12:24:06 10 11 the witness and the Prosecution. So that's their claim to 12 support their objection to this line of questioning now. 13 JUDGE THOMPSON: As I understand it specifically, counsel 14 is objecting on the grounds that we're here in the area of communication between lawyer and client and therefore, as a 12:24:27 **15** result, they are entitled to the protection which such privilege 16 17 normally affords. I'm not saying they're right, but you would 18 want to respond specifically to that. As the learned presiding judge has said, the objection does not go to the purpose, it goes 19 12:25:05 **20** to the issue of whether what you're asking is privileged communication. 21 22 PRESIDING JUDGE: And I would add to that my question was 23 directed to you in the sense -- and I was very careful about the 24 words I used. I have not stated that I do agree with what the 12:25:24 **25** Prosecution is making as an objection necessarily. I'm just 26 posing the objection to you in the way I understood it to be. Now whether such privilege of the communication between the 27 Prosecutor and a witness equates to communication between a 28 29 client or an accused and counsel is essentially what is raised at

Page 39 NORMAN ET AL

1 this particular moment. So that's the issue. 2 MR KOPPE: I agree with you. I think there is a fundamental difference between privileged communication between 3 counsel and the accused, his client, as opposed to a witness and 4 5 counsel for the Prosecution. I could imagine that preparing --12:26:08 that witnesses which are examined on behalf of the Defence in a 6 7 later stage of this trial should be characterised and judged differently than whatever communications I or my team have with 8 9 my client. I think there's a fundamental difference and I think there's no case law backing up the position of the Prosecution on 12:26:33 10 11 this because, like I said, there is a fundamental difference between counsel and a witness and a counsel and its client. 12 13 JUDGE THOMPSON: For me, and following from your analysis 14 here in response -- it would seem to me that if this becomes a real bone of contention between you and the Prosecution I think 12:26:55 **15** it would be better to hear arguments on it because even the issue 16 17 of who asserts the privilege might well come even if they are 18 right. Who asserts the privilege. The traditional position with lawyer/client privilege is that it is the client who is asserting 19 12:27:22 **20** the privilege against the lawyer wanting to disclose it or some third party. So these issues may well be relevant and I don't 21 22 know how far learned counsel wants to press this for the 23 Prosecution, but if he's going to press it very far then perhaps 24 we need to hear some argument on this in the absence of the 12:27:40 **25** witness. PRESIDING JUDGE: I fully completely subscribe to the 26 comments of my brother Justice Thompson. The privilege is not a 27 privilege of lawyers, it's the privilege of the client and it's 28 29 the client that can claim that privilege, not the lawyer. A

1

Page 40 NORMAN ET AL

lawyer is obliged to protect that privilege of his client, or her

2 client, but that belongs to the client and not the lawyer. Furthermore I am unaware, but I may be educated in this 3 respect, that there is a privilege of the nature of lawyer/client 4 5 between a prosecutor and a witness. It's novel to me. If you do 12:28:17 have authority and you're prepared to argue that, as my brother 6 7 suggested, we are quite prepared to hear that and maybe hear submission on that. But I am unaware of, as such, a privilege. 8 9 I'm not talking here of notes that you may take for your own consideration when you're meeting and preparing for the case. 12:28:38 10 11 We're talking here -- and the objection had to do with 12 communication between you and the client. 13 MR BANGURA: May it please Your Honours, I think the 14 question was posed by His Lordship Justice Thompson as to how far the Prosecution may wish to push this objection. My response to 12:28:59 **15** that would depend on to what extent counsel intends to probe into 16 17 what transpired between counsel and the witness. 18 On the question of who has the right to claim the privilege, I think Justice Boutet has rightly pointed out that 19 where the witness, as in this case, is not directly claiming it, 12:29:33 **20** it could be claimed on his behalf by counsel and I think that is 21 22 what I have tried to do. 23 PRESIDING JUDGE: But are you claiming that you are the counsel of this witness? 24 JUDGE THOMPSON: But that's the point. In fact, we're 12:29:47 **25** talking about the traditional situation of a client/lawyer 26 relationship in the sense of client and accused persons. This 27 particular area is novel; a client/prosecutor relationship. 28 29 that's the point where I say that if you're pressing this too far

Page 41 NORMAN ET AL

- in other words, if you do not abandon the objection it will 1
- be very instructive for the Court to explore whether this common 2
- law privilege between lawyer and client has any application in 3
- the context of prosecutor and witness. 4
- MR MARGAI: My Lords, I'm sorry, may I seek direction from 12:30:36 5
  - the Bench? In these tribunals when a witness takes the oath does 6
  - he not become a witness of the Court and, if so, should counsel 7
  - have access to that witness? Maybe that might help us. 8
  - 9 PRESIDING JUDGE: In my position - I haven't discussed that
- with my brothers here, but I know there is case law to support my 12:31:02 10
  - 11 view of this - there is no ownership in a witness. A witness who
  - 12 is there does not belong to the Prosecutor, does not belong to
  - the Defence 13
  - 14 MR MARGAI: I would have thought so.
- 12:31:18 **15** PRESIDING JUDGE: And certainly nothing precludes a defence
  - counsel from even having access to a witness whose name has been 16
  - 17 disclosed to you before they gave evidence.
  - MR MARGAI: It has to be with the leave of the Court as 18
  - long as the witness has taken the oath. 19
- PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, absolutely. 12:31:35 20
  - MR MARGAI: That's what I'm saying. 21
  - 22 PRESIDING JUDGE: If the witness has taken the oath,
  - 23 absolutely.
  - 24 MR MARGAI: Precisely.
- 12:31:36 **25** PRESIDING JUDGE: But what I'm saying is even before a
  - witness gives evidence in court and his oath is taken nothing 26
  - would be preclude you to speak to that witness because there's no 27
  - ownership in witnesses. 28
  - 29 MR MARGAI: I concede that, My Lord.

Page 42 NORMAN ET AL

	1	PRESIDING JUDGE: Even though they may be called by the
	2	Defence it would not preclude somebody from the Prosecution to
	3	talk to the witness being called by the Defence prior to that
	4	witness giving evidence. So, yes, I agree with your position on
12:32:03	5	that.
	6	MR MARGAI: As My Lords please.
	7	PRESIDING JUDGE: We are moving away from the objection at
	8	this particular moment. Justice Thompson was essentially asking
	9	you are you insisting with your objection.
12:32:09	10	MR BANGURA: Without actually pushing the point I just
	11	perhaps wish to pose a question, Your Honours, respectfully. Is
	12	not counsel entitled as between counsel and the witness for the
	13	Prosecution I mean, falling short of a privilege, would there
	14	not be some entitlement to confidentiality between the two of
12:32:33	15	them as regards what transpires between them prior to testifying
	16	in court? I'm asking but not particularly pushing the objection
	17	at this point.
	18	PRESIDING JUDGE: You are asking for guidelines.
	19	MR BANGURA: Yes.
12:32:56	20	JUDGE ITOE: You see, we have been conducting these
	21	proceedings in a manner that we really have not defined the
	22	relationship of prosecuting counsel and prosecution witnesses who
	23	have come here. There is, at a certain stage, a confusion as to
	24	whether a prosecuting counsel who takes notes as an investigator
12:33:16	25	and what is the state of these notes, and if he is so taking
	26	notes what is the privilege that links him with the witness? Is
	27	it a counsel/client relationship or what?
	28	We have up to now not been able to get out of that
	29	obscurity and it is troubling because in the preparation of the

NORMAN ET AL 27 MAY 2005 OPEN SESSION

Page 43

1 case this witness must have given some statements to counsel for

- 2 the Prosecution taking instructions in preparation for the case
- 3 and making notes to prepare for that case and then finding
- himself, having taking the notes, representing the Prosecution in 4
- 5 relation to leading the evidence of that same witness from whom 12:34:06
  - he might have taken the statements. So this is, I think, an 6
  - 7 issue that we have to address our minds to because it is very,
  - very important. There is something which is requiring our 8
  - 9 attention somewhere as to the relationship.
- Is the relationship between prosecuting counsel and the 12:34:32 10
  - 11 witness as far as they are involved in investigations and
  - 12 prosecutions -- is it to be patterned as a relationship between
  - 13 counsel and client in terms of the privilege that we want to
  - 14 address our minds to? I think it's a matter we have to address
- our minds to properly in order to get out of this. 12:34:58 **15** 
  - 16 PRESIDING JUDGE: So are you maintaining your objection or
  - 17 not?
  - MR BANGURA: At this point no, I'm not pushing the 18
  - 19 objection further. I will withdraw the objection and see how far
- counsel goes with it. 12:35:19 **20** 
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: In the interests of the ascertainment of 21
  - the truth, well, whatever answers come out of the question will 22
  - 23 be a matter for weight later on.
  - 24 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Koppe, the objection has been
- wi thdrawn. 12:35:32 **25** 
  - MR KOPPE: 26
  - Mr Witness, I believe my last question to you was whether 27
  - you knew beforehand that the question about the composition of 28
  - 29 the Kamajors was going to be asked?

NORMAN ET AL Page 44

OPEN SESSION

- 1 A. It was mentioned in my statement and I was asked to clarify
- 2 that further. So I have to make clarifications. From that point
- 3 I knew that that kind of question might come up.
- 4 Q. Mr Witness, did counsel for the Prosecution in this respect
- 12:36:36 5 mention to you that it would be important to mention that child
  - 6 soldiers were part of Kamajors or the Kamajors were composed of
  - 7 child soldiers?
  - 8 A. He asked me to clarify that point after making the
  - 9 statement. That is what I am saying. And after that I took
- 12:37:07 10 notice that those questions might come up, but he did not specify
  - 11 whether they were going to be asked of me, those kind of
  - 12 questions here.
  - 13 Q. So are you saying that you didn't speak to the counsel for
  - 14 the Prosecution beforehand about child soldiers?
- 12:37:35  $\,$  15  $\,$  A.  $\,$  I speak to him, out of the statement I [overlapping
  - speakers].
  - 17 MR BANGURA: I stand to object.
  - 18 JUDGE THOMPSON: Just a minute. Counsel, this witness, as
  - 19 far as I can appreciate, has answered that he did clarify
- 12:37:46 20 something on child soldiers. I mean, something that he had made
  - 21 in his statement -- he made in his statement about the
  - composition of Kamajors and he was asked to clarify it. I'm not
  - 23 sure you intend, as counsel and as an officer of the Court, to
  - 24 suggest that the Prosecution told him what to say. I'm sure
- 12:38:17 25 you're not suggesting that. Because remember this witness has
  - acknowledged that this is his statement, and what he had told the
  - 27 Prosecution and the answer seems to be that he had told the
  - 28 Prosecution about the composition of the Kamajor group but also
  - 29 mentioned about child soldiers but was asked to clarify things.

Page 45 NORMAN ET AL OPEN SESSION

	1	because II the suggestion coming II om the before is that the
	2	Prosecution put words in his mouth it would be a very serious
	3	accusation and for my part, as a member of the Bench, one which
	4	would not have to be made lightly and with serious implications
12:39:03	5	for the integrity of the process.
	6	PRESIDING JUDGE: I subscribe to these comments as well.
	7	It may have been because of the ambiguity of your question and
	8	maybe you did not intend to asperse doubt as to the
	9	professionalism, to say the least, of the Prosecution. If that
12:40:09	10	is the case, well then we may be embarking into a different
	11	direction here. Because the witness again, just to carry on with
	12	what my brother Justice Thompson has said, has explained to you
	13	that he has met with them, he has described in his statement what
	14	he did. He was asked questions about that. He said he took
12:40:09	15	notes, mental notes, of the possibility of questions that he
	16	might be asked.
	17	MR KOPPE: Just to be very clear on this point
	18	JUDGE ITOE: Would you say that it is not normal in the
	19	course of preparing a case for the Prosecution to clarify certain
12:40:09	20	issues before coming to court? Is that what you're suggesting,
	21	Mr Koppe?
	22	MR KOPPE: No, this is not what I'm suggesting at all.
	23	JUDGE THOMPSON: Before you answer you might just get me
	24	clear. What would be abnormal from the perspective of the
12:40:49	25	interests of justice if any side, prosecution or defence, were to
	26	tell their witnesses what to come and say in court.
	27	MR KOPPE: What would be, in my view, abnormal is to direct
	28	a witness to such an extent that the witness is going to say
	29	certain things which might be true but which sustains the case

Page 46 NORMAN ET AL

	1	of, in this case, the Prosecution. My point is
	2	JUDGE THOMPSON: No, no. Let's not get it confused here.
	3	The line of prohibition, as far as we understand the practice
	4	of the law has always been witnesses for the Prosecution or the
12:41:29	5	Defence come and tell their story as they know them. They can be
	6	coached in terms of the manner in which they tell their story,
	7	but what they cannot be told to do is to say things which the
	8	Prosecution or the Defence has actually added to their statement.
	9	The line is clear and I'm only saying that if you're suggesting
12:41:36	10	that what you're cross-examining on was an emanation from the
	11	Prosecution we are in fact in the territory of imputing some kind
	12	of professional misconduct. That's all I'm trying to clarify.
	13	PRESIDING JUDGE: I would like to add as well that in my
	14	view there is absolutely nothing improper in the witness giving
12:42:01	15	you a factual background in the story, as such, and what he has
	16	seen and observed, as such, with you as preparing your own
	17	witness to say, "Well, I will be asking questions about these and
	18	these are important matters". I mean, that comes from the
	19	witness. However, if you add to what he's told you and you say,
12:42:21	20	"Well, it's not 15, it was 25 of those that you've seen, isn't it
	21	true", and you're trying to build a story, quite a different
	22	scenario. But to insist on a witness that you are calling that
	23	you will be asking questions on this and this is, in your point
	24	of view, an important matter, what's improper about that?
12:42:31	25	[HN270505C 12.40 p.m EKD]
	26	MR KOPPE: I think, Your Honours, that we are in agreement
	27	on this issue. The point that I am making is that this is the
	28	first witness of all the witnesses I have heard so far that seems
	29	to be very keen on giving incriminating evidence. It is not only

NORMAN ET AL

27 MAY 2005 OPEN SESSION Page 47

1 this question about composition of Kamajors, which is an open 2 ended question -- very, very open question. We actually had a discussion on this. That this witness immediately starts 3 speaking about child soldiers. It is also on various occasions 4 5 that whenever one asks questions about what was going on for 12:43:19 instance at Base Zero, this witness has on more than one occasion 6 7 referred to the three accused. This is actually the first 8 witness who is naming these three witnesses without seemingly any 9 good reason. I am just trying to see whether in this specific case, in 12:43:43 10 11 the deliberations before this testimony certain things occurred. 12 But if it is your position I am going too far on this I --13 PRESIDING JUDGE: No, you are perfectly entitled to explore 14 matters that you feel are important for the defence of your That is not what we are saying. We are saying in the 12:44:04 15 cl i ent. way you do it you have to be careful because some of your 16 17 questions have led, for example, this Bench to think that you 18 were trying to insinuate that the Prosecution might have done 19 things that were not proper and may have indeed not only prepared 12:44:20 **20** the witness in the true sense, but gone beyond the mere 21 preparation. That is basically what seems to be coming out from 22 some of your questions. But for you to explore what you have 23 just described, that territory is perfectly legitimate. 24 JUDGE THOMPSON: I concur in that. MR KOPPE: Let me rephrase, Your Honour, my question to the 12:44:45 25 26 witness. 27 JUDGE ITOE: The imputations you should avoid, Mr Koppe, in my opinion is that --28 29 MR KOPPE: I will.

Page 48 NORMAN ET AL 27 MAY 2005

1 JUDGE ITOE: The imputation you should avoid is that notion

- 2 that we know in practice of school witnesses: Witnesses who are
- schooled, you know, to come and testify on issues which are not 3
- true or in a manner that is totally exaggerated. When you make 4
- 5 such an imputation I go with my colleagues to show that they 12:45:09
  - border on impugning the integrity of the Prosecution, which in 6
  - 7 interviewing its witnesses has just done what is procedurally
  - right in interviewing its witnesses beforehand and before they 8
  - 9 come to testify, just like you would also interview witnesses
- when they will come and testify before us. It is perfectly your 12:45:35 10
  - 11 legitimate right. And I think you should also concede that to
  - 12 the Prosecution if, of course, they are not asking these
  - witnesses to come and tell us untruths. 13
  - Thank you, Your Honour. Let me rephrase my 14 MR KOPPE:
- question to the witness. 12:45:59 **15** 
  - Mr Witness, in discussions that you had with counsel for 16
  - 17 the Prosecution was the topic of soldiers an important topic you
  - 18 spoke about?
  - 19 Α. Say that again; which soldiers?
- Child soldiers within the Kamajors or children -- child 12:46:15 **20** 0.
  - 21 fighters within the Kamajors. Was it an important topic when you
  - 22 had discussions with the Prosecution before the testimony of
  - 23 yesterday and today?
  - If it is important, you mean? 24 A.
- 12:46:33 **25** 0. Yes, was it an important element in your discussions?
  - Well, I don't know. I don't actually know what specific --26
  - whether there will be important elements of it. I mentioned that 27
  - in my statement, of course. 28
  - MR KOPPE: I will move on, Your Honour. 29

NORMAN ET AL

27 MAY 2005

OPEN SESSION

- 1 Q. Mr Witness.
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. In the cross-examination by counsel for the first accused
- 4 you acknowledged that you were sacked from your office. Is that
- 12:47:13 **5 correct?** 
  - 6 A. Yes, sir.
  - 7 Q. Would it be possible to give a reason for you being sacked?
  - 8 A. Yes, sir, if I could write that on a piece of paper for
  - 9 security reasons.
- 12:47:36 **10 Q. Of course.** 
  - 11 PRESIDING JUDGE: We might have been embarking in a
  - delicate -- in the sense of revealing his identity in some ways.
  - 13 But if you are satisfied with that you can do it.
  - 14 MR KOPPE: No objection, no objection.
- 12:47:51 15 PRESIDING JUDGE: Would you write the question down,
  - "reason why you were sacked", and we'll ask the witness to
  - 17 respond to that in writing. So Mr Koppe, you have seen the
  - answer to your question. You want that to be marked as an
  - 19 exhi bi t?
- 12:51:49 **20 MR KOPPE:** Yes, please.
  - 21 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Walker, we are at Exhibit 89 now or
  - 22 90?
  - 23 MR WALKER: Exhibit 90, Your Honour.
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: So this document will be marked as
- 12:52:41 **25** Exhi bi t 90.
  - [Exhi bit No. 90 was admitted]
  - 27 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, Mr Koppe.
  - 28 MR KOPPE:
  - 29 Q. Mr Witness, did you agree or oppose to you being sacked for

Page 50 NORMAN ET AL 27 MAY 2005

- 1 this reason?
- A. Sir? 2
- Did you agree or did you oppose to this reason? 3 Q.
- A. Which of the reason, the one given by me? 4
- 5 Q. No, let me rephrase that. To you being sacked, did you 12:53:40
  - agree with that or did you oppose it? 6
  - But --A. 7
  - You were sacked? 8 0.
  - 9 A. Yes, yes.
- Did you agree with the fact that you were sacked or did you 12:53:50 10 Q.
  - 11 oppose it?
  - No, I was sacked. I have given the reason. 12
  - 13 Q. Were you happy about it or were you unhappy about it?
  - 14 A. Well, I was happy because already -- because of the reasons
- given, written on the document which I have just tendered. If I 12:54:09 **15** 
  - was not happy I would not have done that. 16
  - 17 Q. So you had no objection?
  - 18 A. I have no objection.
  - So you have no objection for being sacked on 19 JUDGE ITOE:
- the grounds of reasons given in Exhibit 90? 12:54:45 **20** 
  - THE WITNESS: At that time? 21
  - 22 JUDGE ITOE: Is that what you're saying?
  - 23 THE WITNESS: At that time when I was sacked?
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes. 24
- THE WITNESS: If I objected on the idea? 12:54:59 **25** 
  - 26 PRESIDING JUDGE: If you opposed or objected to your being
  - sacked, not to the reason you have given. To you being removed 27
  - from your job. 28
  - 29 THE WITNESS: I opposed that one at first. I opposed it.

NORMAN ET AL

27 MAY 2005

OPEN SESSION

- 1 PRESIDING JUDGE: That was the question: Did you oppose or
- 2 object to your being removed from your job?
- 3 THE WITNESS: I opposed that.
- 4 MR KOPPE:
- 12:55:37 5 Q. Mr Witness, did you fight in any way the removal of your
  - 6 office? This decision, did you fight this decision?
  - 7 A. Yes, initially I wrote a petition to the National
  - 8 Coordinator complaining that others have done it and they were
  - 9 not sacked and I have done it I have been sacked. But later I
- 12:56:07 10 did that just to know what to be the outcome of it.
  - 11 JUDGE ITOE: You wrote a petition to the National
  - 12 Coordinator. Who was this?
  - 13 THE WITNESS: Chi ef Hi nga Norman.
  - 14 MR KOPPE:
- 12:56:48 15 Q. Mr Witness, what was the reply of Mr Norman to your
  - 16 petition?
  - 17 A. No reply.
  - 18 Q. No reply?
  - 19 A. Not at all.
- 12:57:10 20 Q. Did this make you in any way unhappy or angry with
  - 21 Mr Norman?
  - 22 A. Well, no. No. Because when you disarm that will be the
  - end of it.
  - 24 Q. Mr Witness, there are some people who say you have a grudge
- 12:57:37 25 against Mr Norman for being sacked. True or false?
  - 26 A. I don't have a -- I never have a grudge against him.
  - 27 MR KOPPE: Your Honour, I am moving on to Base Zero and I
  - 28 am looking at the clock. Shall I go on?
  - 29 PRESIDING JUDGE: If you are to complete Base Zero in five

NORMAN ET AL
Page 52
27 MAY 2005
OPEN SESSION

1 minutes you may. No? Then we are going to break and come back

- 2 and resume at 2.30 p.m. this afternoon, thank you. Court is
- 3 adjourned.
- 4 [Luncheon recess taken at 12.55 p.m.]
- 14:29:04 5 [HN 270505C-2 EKD]
  - 6 [On resuming at 2.47 p.m.]
  - 7 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, Mr Koppe, you're ready to proceed
  - 8 ahead?
  - 9 MR KOPPE: Thank you, Your Honour.
- 14:49:49 **10 Q. Mr Witness.** 
  - 11 A. Yes.
  - 12 Q. You have given testimony that you have arrived at Base Zero
  - in the early beginning of November 1997; is that correct?
  - 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 14:50:03 15 Q. And that you initially stayed there for a period of two
  - 16 months, correct?
  - 17 A. Yes, sir.
  - 18 Q. When you first arrived at Base Zero --
  - 19 JUDGE ITOE: He said he arrived there first when?
- 14:50:24 **20** MR KOPPE: Early November.
  - 21 THE WITNESS: Not early November.
  - 22 JUDGE ITOE: Mr Witness, when then?
  - 23 MR KOPPE:
  - 24 Q. When exactly did you arrive?
- 14:50:39 25 PRESIDING JUDGE: The first time. The first time you go
  - 26 to -- it's the first time you're asking?
  - 27 MR KOPPE: Yes.
  - 28 THE WITNESS: It's around the 19th of November.
  - 29 MR KOPPE: 19th. That is not early November, I agree.

NORMAN ET AL Page 53

- 1 Q. Mr Witness, when you arrived at Base Zero could you
- 2 indicate approximately how many people were present at Base Zero?

OPEN SESSION

- 3 A. The population was higher.
- 4 Q. What do you mean with high hundreds of Kamajors,
- 14:51:31 **5 thousands?** 
  - 6 A. There were hundreds of Kamajors and the people of them --
  - 7 the people of the village also.
  - 8 Q. And did this number increase in the next two months that
  - 9 you were there?
- 14:51:57 **10** A. Yes, sir.
  - 11 Q. Would it be possible to indicate how much people were there
  - 12 at one point?
  - 13 A. Exactly -- the exact figure would be difficult for me to
  - tell, because there were plenty people.
- 14:52:21 15 Q. I understand that, but more than thousand?
  - 16 A. It was a bit closer to thousands of people. It goes higher
  - 17 and it reduce and get high.
  - 18 PRESIDING JUDGE: Are we still talking November '98 or
  - 19 you're more the end of the witness's stay at Base Zero?
- 14:52:54 20 MR KOPPE: I understand it is more to the end of 2000.
  - 21 PRESIDING JUDGE: The numbers you've given, Mr Witness, is
  - it when you got there or when you went back?
  - 23 THE WITNESS: During the time --
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: During the time you were at Base Zero,
- 14:53:11 **25 during the two months?** 
  - 26 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. At times it swells, at times it
  - 27 reduces.
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: When you say "it swells," you mean it
  - 29 went over a thousand?

NORMAN ET AL Page 54

OPEN SESSION

- 1 THE WITNESS: It increases.
- 2 PRESIDING JUDGE: Over a thousand?
- 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 4 MR KOPPE:
- 14:53:28 5 Q. Mr Witness, while you were there did you have a specific
  - 6 function or job to do?
  - 7 A. No, sir.
  - 8 Q. Could you just describe to us what you have been doing
  - 9 there in those two months?
- 14:53:50 10 A. I was just waiting for the arms and ammunitions. I was
  - 11 waiting for. I was only waiting for arms and ammunitions. And
  - 12 at one point in time sometimes I attended a meeting at Walihun II
  - wherein the meeting was someone to get somebody appointed to
  - deputise the Director of War, as I mentioned yesterday.
- 14:54:31 15 Q. But you didn't have any specific role in, let's say, the
  - 16 command structure, to use that word?
  - 17 A. No, I was only waiting for ammunition.
  - 18 Q. Would it be fair to say that you were -- you had a position
  - 19 like many other Kamajors who were waiting?
- 14:55:02 20 A. What do you exactly mean?
  - 21 Q. Well, at one point you have given testimony that there were
  - 22 about maybe thousand people on the base.
  - 23 A. Yes, sir.
  - 24 Q. You had no specific role or function. But there must be
- 14:55:24 25 other people like yourself who were waiting for ammunition or
  - other logistics; correct? Let me use other words. All these
  - other people, did they have roles or functions or jobs on Base
  - 28 Zero?
  - 29 A. Among the thousands?

NORMAN ET AL
Page 55
27 MAY 2005
OPEN SESSION

- 1 Q. Yes.
- 2 A. There were people undergoing training at Base Zero, there
- 3 were people -- some were conducting the training at Base Zero.
- 4 There were chiefs of that village. And we have the National
- 14:56:12 5 Coordinator, Chief Norman; Moinina Fofana; Allieu Kondewa was
  - 6 there. There were other commanders like Nallo, whom I know, the
  - 7 southern commander. There were the War Council people, there
  - 8 were people on the communication sets. There were also people
  - 9 responsible for communicating to the BBC by using the radio --
- 14:56:51 10 the satellite phone, et cetera.
  - 11 Q. But it is fair to say that you were a civilian with no
  - 12 specific functions like you just described?
  - 13 A. Yes, I went there to get ammunitions. I was told even they
  - 14 are available today, I will leave. So the delay was there that
- 14:57:19 15 brought about my staying for the two months I have mentioned.
  - 16 Q. Mr Witness, did you ever have a personal conversation with
  - 17 Moinina Fofana?
  - 18 A. Yes.
  - 19 Q. I am not referring to the time that you were offered that
- 14:57:45 20 situation report, but you gave testimony --
  - 21 A. While I was there.
  - 22 Q. But after that did you have any personal conversation with
  - 23 him about things?
  - 24 A. What is the "after that"?
- 14:58:01 **25 Q. Well**, after you arrived --
  - 26 A. Yes.
  - 27 Q. -- at Base Zero you presented a situation report. That was
  - 28 your testimony yesterday.
  - 29 A. Yes.

NORMAN ET AL

Page 56

27 MAY 2005

OPEN SESSION

- 1 Q. And you said that was received by Moinina Fofana.
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. Apart from that encounter did you ever have a personal
- 4 encounter with Mr Fofana?
- 14:58:23 **5 A.** Yes, sir.
  - 6 Q. Can you please tell when that was?
  - 7 A. That happens many times. I mean, you wake up in the
  - 8 morning, you have to come and say the usual greetings, you have
  - 9 to ask for food because you have to eat. He can tell you what
- 14:59:04 10 next or go so and so place and receive for your boys so that they
  - 11 will go and cook for themselves and eat. I mean, he was really
  - 12 encouraging me to wait until ammunitions are available. You
  - 13 know, we had those kind of talks.
  - 14 Q. But were there other people like you who had personal
- 14:59:44 15 conversations with Mr Fofana?
  - 16 A. What do you mean when you say like me? Semblance?
  - 17 Q. Do you know if there are other people like yourself who had
  - 18 personal encounters with Mr Fofana and spoke about food, for
  - instance? Were you the only one?
- 15:00:06 20 A. I know of my own. I was not there to see -- to cross-check
  - 21 or probe into what other people are talking with him. When I get
  - 22 mine I just leave and go away. There were people who were
  - 23 knocking his door -- the door of his room, entering there, and
  - 24 they were having talks, but I can't tell exactly what they were
- 15:00:33 **25** talking about.
  - 26 Q. Did you ever speak to him about operations on strategy?
  - 27 A. Yes.
  - Q. You would?
  - 29 A. Yes. Because as a Director of War he needs to ask and he

NORMAN ET AL

27 MAY 2005

Page 57

OPEN SESSION

- 1 asked what was the position in Tongo. You know, "Do you think
- you would be able to dislodge those people?" Those kinds of
- 3 talks.
- 4 Q. So he was asking information from you?
- 15:01:28 **5 A.** Yes.
  - 6 Q. But did he also tell you what strategic or tactical
  - 7 objectives were from the Kamajors?
  - 8 A. Go over that again.
  - 9 Q. Did he also tell you what the strategic or tactical goals
- 15:01:44 **10** were of the CDF?
  - 11 A. At one time he just make a statement in a nutshell saying
  - 12 that we'll enjoy after liberating the country. That we will
  - 13 enjoy. I can remember at one time we were just talking on a cool
  - evening, saying that, "Guys, you just try and if we succeed you
- 15:02:31 15 will enjoy in the future."
  - 16 Q. Mr Witness, would it surprise you if I tell you that
  - 17 Moinina Fofana has no recollection whatsoever about you?
  - 18 A. He what?
  - 19 Q. He has no recollection of you, he does not remember you at
- 15:02:51 **20** all. Would that surprise you?
  - 21 A. Yes, it will surprise me. It will surprise me. Because I
  - can remember at one time when we entered his room, myself and one
  - 23 Vandi Songo, we enter his room, we tell him that we are leaving
  - 24 now for Tongo, and he gave us the sum of -- a cash amount to the
- 15:03:32 25 tune of about 100,000 leones he gave us in his room. And he was,
  - in fact, ordered to give us a good, which he did, 20,000 leones
  - 27 on our second visit. And he used to bring dried fish and serve
  - us in the evening when we are together with the Pa. If he can
  - 29 just say he denies -- well, probably what will come to mind -- to

NORMAN ET AL

27 MAY 2005

OPEN SESSION

- 1 my own mind is something like I have got -- I have developed
- weight because in the days of the jungle I was a thin man.
- 3 Q. That could be a reason, Mr Witness.
- 4 A. Yeah.
- 15:04:31 5 Q. But you would be surprised if I tell you that you are the
  - 6 first person who said he was on Base Zero that Mr Fofana does not
  - 7 recognise. That would surprise you?
  - 8 A. Yes.
  - 9 Q. Okay. This situation report, Mr Witness, that you brought
- 15:04:54 10 to Base Zero on the 19th of November. You said yesterday that it
  - 11 was originally handed to Mr Fofana; correct?
  - 12 A. Yes, when Chief Norman was not there. He told us he has
  - 13 gone to Liberia.
  - 14 Q. Yes. Do you know whether Mr Fofana was actually able to
- 15:05:29 15 read that situation report?
  - 16 A. He cannot read.
  - 17 Q. So he is an illiterate?
  - 18 A. Yes.
  - 19 Q. So, Mr Witness, when you have given testimony earlier that
- 15:05:48 20 one of the functions of a Director of War is to receive frontline
  - 21 reports, that would then not mean that the Director of War
  - 22 actually reads those frontline reports; correct?
  - 23 A. Go over that again, please.
  - Q. Well, yesterday you were asked by counsel for the
- 15:06:14 25 Prosecution that one of the functions of a Director of War is to
  - 26 receive frontline reports.
  - 27 A. Yes.
  - 28 Q. But if you are saying that Mr Fofana was illiterate at the
  - 29 time, this doesn't mean -- this means that he doesn't actually

NORMAN ET AL

Page 59

27 MAY 2005

OPEN SESSION

- 1 read those reports; correct?
- 2 A. Yes, he cannot read. But I want you to -- I want to bring
- 3 to your notice that I made mention yesterday that when we arrive
- 4 at Base Zero that first day, he told us, "Chief Norman is not
- 15:07:04 5 here, he's gone to Liberia, and if he's not there I am in
  - 6 charge." And having said that, I think we have to disclose the
  - 7 purpose of our visit to him and hand him over whatever thing we
  - 8 are having for Chief Norman. I think that is a best way a
  - 9 stranger could behave initially.
- 15:07:33 10 Q. I understand that, Mr Witness. But my question was the
  - 11 receiving of as you call them frontline reports, you said that
  - 12 that was one of the functions of the Director of War?
  - 13 A. Yes.
  - 14 Q. But it does not mean he actually read them?
- 15:07:51 15 A. He handled it for the onward transmission to the National
  - 16 Coordinator.
  - 17 JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr Koppe, let me understand your question
  - 18 a little if I can follow. You are suggesting to the witness that
  - 19 the presumption of receiving a report also implies the
- 15:08:15 20 presumption of the ability to read it. Is that what you're
  - 21 putting to him?
  - 22 MR KOPPE: That's what I'm putting to him.
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: Quite. I thought I should process it
  - 24 myself.
- 15:08:30 **25 MR KOPPE:** 
  - Q. So is it fair to say that Mr Fofana was, in respect of
  - 27 frontline reports, simply a mailbox for Mr Norman?
  - 28 A. Well, I cannot put it that way, say he is a mailbox for
  - 29 Mr Norman. He said, "The man is not here, but I am in charge."

NORMAN ET AL
Page 60
27 MAY 2005
OPEN SESSION

I I think the best way to behave in that -- at that time was to, I

- 2 mean, tell him all that you know. And that is why we went
- 3 together with Orinko Fofana. He knows the route and he
- 4 introduced the route how to take, you know, bypass and each unto
- 15:09:16 5 Base Zero. He knew him before at Gendema. At first that base
  - 6 was at Gendema. It moved so that the war can move rapidly. And
  - 7 when he told us that the Pa is not here, referring to Chief
  - 8 Norman, I mean, Orinko, you know, buttressed the idea which he
  - 9 raised that "When the Pa is not here, I am in charge." And
- 15:09:48 10 Orinko said, "Didn't you know this man, he is the Director of
  - 11 War." So in fact, all the reports I was having, I pass it on to
  - 12 him and he gave it to -- you know. In fact, we never knew that
  - 13 he cannot read at that time initially. But whether he was able
  - 14 to read or not, we just have to pass everything we have for them
- 15:10:20 **15** at Base Zero.
  - 16 Q. Mr Witness, you have given testimony earlier that Mr Fofana
  - 17 was giving interviews to the BBC; correct?
  - 18 A. Yes, sir.
  - 19 Q. Have you ever heard -- have you ever listened to one of
- 15:10:50 **20** those interviews?
  - 21 MR BANGURA: May it please Your Honours. I don't recall
  - 22 evidence before this Court that Moinina Fofana was giving
  - 23 interviews. I do recall evidence that he was quoted in reports.
  - I think there may have been a misstatement of the evidence in
- 15:11:17 **25** that respect.
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: I remember that particular aspect of the
  - 27 evidence in the context of you trying to elicit from him whether
  - 28 he was someone of considerable authority.
  - 29 MR BANGURA: Authority, exactly.

Page 61 NORMAN ET AL 27 MAY 2005

1 JUDGE THOMPSON: And then the answer was that well, if

- 2 every time we read something about him then it stands to reason
- that he was someone with considerable authority. I think that is 3
- the evidence that I recall. But counsel may have something 4
- 5 different, we don't know. Probably something further than that, 15:11:51
  - I don't remember that. But I am not saying that he did not say 6
  - 7 he gave interviews to the BBC. I mean --
  - MR KOPPE: 8 That was my understanding but I might be wrong.
  - 9 JUDGE THOMPSON: I can't vouch for it. I just wanted to
- agree with the context in which he made that observation. 15:12:12 **10** 
  - 11 have gone to that.
  - My recollection was, about this same 12 PRESIDING JUDGE:
  - 13 line, that it was Prince whatever his name that was giving
  - 14 reports on BBC and he was quoting people, but I don't remember
- specifically that Fofana would have given an interview. I don't 15:12:27 **15** 
  - remember the second name of Prince, but whoever it was --16
  - 17 JUDGE ITOE: Prince Brima.
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: Prince Brima was reporting on a regular 18
  - basis on the BBC and he would say the Director of War, Mr Fofana, 19
- 15:12:42 **20** or something like that. So it was not an interview with but a
  - report about. 21
  - 22 MR KOPPE: That might be --
  - 23 Is that correct, how it is now summarised by the Presiding Q.
  - 24 Judge?
- 15:12:55 **25** A. Well --
  - He didn't actually appear in an interview on the BBC but he 26 0.
  - was quoted? 27
  - Yeah, he was frequently, frequently quoted. 28 A.
  - 29 Q. Quoted, okay. Would you be able to tell this Court what

NORMAN ET AL

27 MAY 2005

OPEN SESSION

- 1 these quotes were?
- 2 A. What is -- how he was quoted, you mean?
- 3 Q. Yes, yes.
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 15:13:24 **5 Q.** Please do.
  - 6 A. At one time there was a Kamajor advancement towards Kono.
  - 7 The Kamajors captured the Sewafe bridge and they were advancing
  - 8 towards Ngo Town. So Prince Brima made an announcement wherein
  - 9 he quoted Moinina Fofana that according to Moinina Fofana, the
- 15:14:03 10 National Director of War CDF Sierra Leone, Kamajors have captured
  - 11 Sewafe bridge and are advancing towards Ngo Town N-G-O, Ngo
  - 12 Town at that time. I can remember that.
  - 13 JUDGE ITOE: What is the name of that bridge again? The
  - 14 bri dge?
- 15:14:44 15 THE WITNESS: Sewafe bridge, S-E-W-E-F-E [sic].
  - 16 MR KOPPE:
  - 17 Q. Mr Witness, although you did not have a functional role on
  - 18 Base Zero, although you were a civilian, you are saying that you
  - 19 are well aware of how things went on Base Zero; correct?
- 15:15:12 **20** A. Go over that again.
  - 21 Q. Although you did not have any function or role on Base
  - 22 Zero, although you were a civilian --
  - 23 A. You mean -- excuse me, sir, please.
  - 24 Q. Yes.
- 15:15:31 25 A. You mean I was a civilian assuming that I was not a
  - 26 Kamajor, or you are taking Kamajors and civilian to be the same
  - people? Is that what you mean?
  - 28 Q. You were not a fighter; correct?
  - 29 JUDGE ITOE: He said he fought at times; he has said so.

NORMAN ET AL
Page 63
27 MAY 2005
OPEN SESSION

- 1 He fought at times.
- 2 PRESIDING JUDGE: In his evidence-in-chief, in fact, he was
- 3 asked a question -- or maybe in cross-examination. But he has
- 4 said that he was not a fighter all the time but he has fought.
- 15:16:05 **5 JUDGE ITOE**: Yes.
  - 6 PRESIDING JUDGE: You can ask him the question, if you
  - 7 need. You seem to be uncertain about that. But that was his
  - 8 evi dence.
  - 9 THE WITNESS: Can I clarify that?
- 15:16:18 **10 MR KOPPE:** Yes, please do.
  - 11 THE WITNESS: I have fought referring to ambush. We
  - 12 cleared it and then we move to our base.
  - 13 MR KOPPE:
  - 14 Q. Okay, but it was an introduction to my question. The
- 15:16:37 15 question is: You are saying that you knew fairly well what was
  - 16 going on in Base Zero. That is my question. That's correct,
  - 17 would you say?
  - 18 A. Yes, I was waiting ammunitions. That does not --
  - 19 Q. That is exactly where I want to go now, Mr Witness. This
- 15:16:51 20 ammunition, logistics --
  - 21 A. What I want to add, mind you, an ordinary civilian cannot
  - 22 just go to Base Zero, stand there and begin to turn his head
  - 23 north, south, east and west and telling people that "I am waiting
  - for ammunition." You have to be a fighter and you have to have
- 15:17:13 **25** guaranteed for doing that.
  - 26 Q. Very well.
  - 27 A. I have told you several times.
  - 28 Q. Very well. I want to move on to the logistics that you
  - 29 were waiting for; the ammunition, food, et cetera. Do you

NORMAN ET AL

27 MAY 2005

OPEN SESSION

- 1 know --
- 2 A. Say again, please.
- 3 Q. I want to move on now to the supplies, logistics, et
- 4 cetera, you were waiting --
- 15:17:34 5 A. You can, you can, please.
  - 6 Q. Thank you. Can you please tell the Court where this
  - 7 ammunition, food, et cetera -- where it was coming from?
  - 8 A. For the ammunitions, they were brought in by the -- there
  - 9 was one combatant helicopter in Sierra Leone that was -- it was
- 15:17:59 10 used by the EOs. I mean, Executive Outcomes. I want to believe
  - 11 Sierra Leoneans [indiscernible] -- maybe they can attest to that.
  - 12 Q. But are you saying that they were the suppliers of the
  - 13 ammunition?
  - 14 A. It was brought in from Liberia to Base Zero.
- 15:18:21 15 PRESIDING JUDGE: What was brought in the helicopter or
  - the ammunitions?
  - 17 THE WITNESS: Ammunitions.
  - 18 PRESIDING JUDGE: The ammunitions?
  - 19 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 15:18:33 **20 MR KOPPE:** 
  - 21 Q. So you are saying Executive Outcomes are the ones who
  - 22 actually supplied the ammunition?
  - 23 A. No, sir, that is not the point I am making. I am saying
  - that the ammunitions were being brought in by the helicopters --
- one of the helicopters that were formerly used by the EOs,
  - 26 Executive Outcomes. And I don't know how that was able to render
  - 27 services to ECOMOG, actually, it was --
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: Witness, you are not asked that. Please
  - 29 give us that first part, otherwise we get the evidence so

Page 65 NORMAN ET AL

1	entangled l	here. The	ammuni ti ons	were	brought	in;	how	were	they
---	-------------	-----------	---------------	------	---------	-----	-----	------	------

- 2 brought in?
- THE WITNESS: 3 By --
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Is it by one helicopter? 4
- THE WITNESS: One helicopter. 15:19:15
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: Formerly belonging to Executive Outcomes? 6
  - 7 THE WITNESS: That was my understanding.
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: From Liberia -- well, that's okay. I 8
  - 9 mean, it's your evidence. Give us the facts, actually, as you
- know them. 15:19:28 **10** 
  - 11 THE WITNESS: It is the fact, My Lord.
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: And from Liberia? 12
  - 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. I think it's a scrap one now around
  - 14 Lungi area, but I don't know.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr Koppe, do you want that part too? 15:19:49 **15** 
  - Whether it is salvaged off now, the helicopter, or not. Because 16
  - 17 he is giving you evidence that it is a scrap one. Is that
  - 18 material?
  - MR KOPPE: No, not to me. Material to me is from where 19
- this ammunition is coming from. 15:20:06 **20** 
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: Quite right. And, witness, help us a 21
  - 22 little with some precision.
  - 23 THE WITNESS: Yeah, people were sometimes saying that it
  - 24 has been scrapped and could be found around Lungi.
- 15:20:17 **25** JUDGE THOMPSON: It's all right.
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: That's okay. 26
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: That's fine. Those who are interested in 27
  - scrap metal will perhaps take that point. 28
  - 29 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Koppe, please.

- 1 MR KOPPE: Let me rephrase the question.
- 2 Q. Mr Witness, did this ammunition, food, supplies, et cetera
- 3 come from ECOMOG?
- 4 A. Well, that I cannot say. They were airlifted to Base Zero
- 15:20:48 5 in the same helicopter that ECOMOG were using.
  - 6 Q. But you cannot say for a fact that it was coming from --
  - 7 A. Whether it was coming directly from which source or not, I
  - 8 cannot attest to that.
  - 9 Q. So this was never disclosed to you?
- 15:21:09 **10** A. Not at all.
  - 11 Q. Mr Witness, you have given testimony that Mr Norman was
  - 12 frequently in contact by a satellite phone with ECOMOG; is that
  - 13 correct?
  - 14 A. Say that again, please.
- 15:21:30 15 Q. You have given testimony yesterday that Mr Norman was
  - frequently in contact with ECOMOG via the satellite phone;
  - 17 correct?
  - 18 A. In contact with ECOMOG via the satellite phone? I can't
  - 19 remember if I said that.
- 15:21:50 20 MR BANGURA: I am not so sure, but I stand guided by the
  - 21 records. I am not so sure the witness talked about communication
  - 22 with ECOMOG. I was talking about communication on satellite
  - 23 phone, but I am not so sure about ECOMOG. Your Honours, I stand
  - 24 gui ded.
- 15:22:05 **25** PRESIDING JUDGE: I don't have that recollection. My
  - 26 recollection is that the witness testified as to some
  - 27 communication between Mr Norman and BBC by satellite
  - 28 communication, but I have no recollection that he did testify as
  - 29 to communication with ECOMOG. You can ask him the question.

NORMAN ET AL

Page 67

27 MAY 2005

OPEN SESSION

- 1 MR KOPPE:
- 2 Q. Are you aware of any communication between Mr Norman via
- 3 satellite phone with ECOMOG?
- 4 A. No.
- 15:22:32 **5 Q. Or with President Kabbah?** 
  - 6 A. President Kabbah was in Guinea and we are talking about
  - 7 Base Zero.
  - 8 Q. Yes, but there is a satellite phone. You can call anybody
  - 9 anywhere with a satellite phone? Are you aware of any contact
- 15:22:56 **10 between --**
  - 11 A. You said about calling anybody anywhere. What does that
  - 12 mean?
  - 13 Q. With a satellite phone, yes.
  - 14 A. Please throw light on that again.
- 15:23:06 15 PRESIDING JUDGE: If you don't know, you don't know,
  - 16 Mr Witness.
  - 17 THE WITNESS: My Lord, he said if I know -- if I can -- do
  - 18 call -- calling anybody anywhere. That was an area I don't
  - 19 actually understand what he means.
- 15:23:19 **20 MR KOPPE:** 
  - 21 Q. My question was simply whether Mr Norman was in contact via
  - 22 the telephone with President Kabbah?
  - 23 A. Well, I am not aware of that.
  - 24 Q. You were mentioning yesterday, Mr Witness, that at a
- 15:23:44 **25** certain point of time a deputy was -- a deputy to the Director of
  - 26 War was appointed; correct?
  - 27 A. Yes, sir.
  - 28 Q. What is the function of a deputy, do you know that?
  - 29 A. Well, having looked at -- if you could examine the

NORMAN ET AL

Page 68

27 MAY 2005

OPEN SESSION

- 1 functions of the Director of War, I think his deputy will
- 2 deputise in doing those functions. I mean, if --
- 3 JUDGE THOMPSON: Witness, don't get too hypothetical here.
- 4 Please give us the answer. You profess to be part of the
- 15:24:25 5 structure. You are here to testify as to matters that you are
  - 6 familiar with. And when counsel asks the question he needs to
  - 7 have an answer in a way that we can evaluate this. But if you
  - 8 give us answers if so and so then so and so, I don't know how to
  - 9 evaluate that as a piece of evidence. Please try and be less
- 15:24:50 **10 argumentative.** 
  - 11 THE WITNESS: Thank you, My Lord. Well, he was deputising
  - 12 the Director of War, Moinina Fofana.
  - 13 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
  - 14 THE WITNESS: Even at a time when the ammunitions were
- 15:25:04 15 being given to us for Tongo operations. I mean, the ammunitions
  - 16 that I was waiting for. He was instructed to -- it was not given
  - 17 -- everything was not given straightaway. It was given in
  - 18 piecemeal until later a good number -- it was Orinko was ordered
  - 19 by Mr Fofana to keep them where he, Orinko, was sleeping, and
- 15:25:40 **20** they were --
  - 21 MR KOPPE:
  - 22 Q. Mr Witness, my question was more general. Does it mean
  - 23 that a Director of War can instruct the deputy, the deputy
  - 24 assists the director?
- 15:25:52 **25** A. Yes.
  - 26 Q. Instructions?
  - 27 A. Yeah. He ordered him.
  - 28 Q. So the deputy was instructed and ordered by the director?
  - 29 A. The director. That is it; thank you, sir.

NORMAN ET AL
Page 69
27 MAY 2005
OPEN SESSION

- 1 Q. You have given testimony yesterday, Mr Witness, that
- 2 Mr Norman was National Coordinator and Deputy Minister of
- 3 Defence. If Mr Norman is the Deputy Minister of Defence that
- 4 would suggest that there is also a Minister of Defence. Are you
- 15:26:32 5 aware of any instructions or orders given to Mr Norman by the
  - 6 Minister of Defence?
  - 7 A. Which period are you talking about?
  - 8 Q. The time that you were on Base Zero.
  - 9 A. He was ruling -- he was running two ministries and you have
- 15:26:54 10 named one. That is what I am critical about.
  - 11 Q. What I am trying --
  - 12 A. Before they were overthrown.
  - 13 JUDGE THOMPSON: Listen to the question. I am sure these
  - 14 are not questions meant to entrap you. They are questions to
- 15:27:17 15 which direct answers are possible. I think if you listen
  - carefully you might be able to help the Tribunal. Put the
  - 17 question again.
  - 18 MR KOPPE:
  - 19 Q. Mr Witness, you have said that a director can instruct or
- 15:27:37 **20** order his deputy. We have also established that you have given
  - 21 testimony that Mr Norman is Deputy Minister of Defence. This
  - 22 would imply that there is a Minister of Defence who could
  - 23 actually instruct and give orders to his deputy. My question is:
  - 24 The time you were at Base Zero have you ever been aware of
- 15:27:59 25 instructions or orders being given to the Deputy Minister of
  - 26 Defence by the actual Minister of Defence?
  - 27 JUDGE THOMPSON: The answer is yes or no.
  - 28 THE WITNESS: No, sir.
  - 29 JUDGE THOMPSON: Yes, not a critical response.

Page 70 NORMAN ET AL 27 MAY 2005

- 1 THE WITNESS: No, sir.
- MR KOPPE: 2
- Mr Witness was there ever a, let's say, discussion among 3
- the Kamajors at Base Zero why Mr Norman was Deputy Minister of 4
- Defence rather than Minister of Defence? 5 15:28:40
  - A. Go over that again. 6
  - 7 Q. My question is whether during the time you were at Base
  - Zero there was ever discussion between the fighters, the Kamajors 8
  - who were there, about the fact that Mr Norman was Deputy Minister 9
- 15:29:00 **10** of Defence and not Minister of Defence?
  - 11 A. I am not aware of that.
  - 12 But you wouldn't think it was strange that he was Deputy
  - Minister of Defence? 13
  - 14 JUDGE THOMPSON: Well, let's have the answer; I'm trying to
- get the answer. Not aware that there was a discussion as to why 15:29:23 **15** 
  - he was deputy minister and not minister. 16
  - 17 MR KOPPE: That's correct.
  - 18 0. Mr Witness.
  - Yes. sir. 19 Α.
- 15:30:02 **20** 0. Have you ever heard Moinina Fofana speak in public -- speak
  - to an audience on Base Zero? 21
  - 22 A. Yes.
  - 23 Do you know when that was? Q.
  - 24 A. During the meeting in Walihun II.
- 15:30:35 **25** 0. During the meeting in Walihun II?
  - Yes, sir. 26 A.
  - When he was deputised? 27 Q.
  - A. Yes, sir. 28
  - 29 Q. Do you recall what he said at that meeting?

NORMAN ET AL Page 71
27 MAY 2005 OPEN SESSION

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. May you please tell the Court.
- 3 A. He was talking to the commanders -- I mean, that was a
- 4 way -- he was giving a vote of thanks when his deputy was now
- been selected and he was, you know, telling -- giving thanks to
  - 6 whosoever would have played a good role to let that happen on
  - 7 that day. And he said thanks and then we break off. That I
  - 8 could remember.
  - $\boldsymbol{9}$   $\quad \boldsymbol{Q}. \quad \quad \boldsymbol{And} \ that was the only occasion that you saw him speak to an$
- 15:31:43 **10 audi ence?** 
  - 11 A. Again at the training base -- can I go? Can I go on?
  - 12 Q. Excuse me?
  - 13 A. Can I go on?
  - 14 Q. Yes, please, please, please.
- 15:32:01 15 A. At the training base he was telling the large crowd that
  - when for the passing out parade at Base Zero, organising where
  - 17 chiefs will sit and others could stand, and how Kamajors should
  - 18 make those graduating were to be supplied their footwears and
  - 19 those other green short and shirts they were wearing.
- 15:32:45 20 Q. A few final questions, Mr Witness, about the meetings in
  - 21 Walihun I.
  - 22 A. Walihun.
  - 23 Q. Walihun excuse me I.
  - 24 A. I, okay.
- 15:33:03 **25 Q. You were never there, were you?** 
  - 26 A. I was not there.
  - 27 Q. I recall your testimony as making a distinction between
  - 28 meetings in Walihun I, Walihun II and Walihun III. And you were
  - 29 never in Walihun I meetings. Correct? You were never there in

Page 72 NORMAN ET AL

those meetings because I understand those meetings were not open 1

- 2 to --
- 3 A. Ordinary --
- Q. -- ordinary --4
- A. Kamajors, lower rank. 15:33:43
  - 6 0. -- Kamajors.
  - A. 7 No.
  - 8 [HN270505D 3.30 p.m. - SGH]
  - 9 Q. Could you tell again why these meetings were not open
- for ordinary Kamajors? 15:32:53 **10** 
  - 11 A. That was how we met it. That was how we met it. We don't
  - 12 know the reason behind it. We met that one already created that
  - 13 kind of bureaucracy was already created when we went in.
  - 14 Q. Apart from Mr Fofana, Mr Kondewa and Mr Norman, as you said
- yesterday, who else was attending these meetings in Walihun I? 15:33:25 **15** 
  - A. At one time I saw this War Council vans coming out of that 16
  - 17 bush. And I really saw them go into that place in the morning
  - 18 carrying the chairs. But I actually don't forced to know why,
  - 19 you know. But -- and again, when we are returning in the
- 15:34:02 **20** afternoon, I saw them coming out of there. That --
  - But apart from the War Council members, who else was 21 Q.
  - 22 attending these Walihun I meetings?
  - 23 A. At one time I saw the death squad commander coming out of
  - 24 the place. I think that was the same guard room I am referring
- 15:34:27 **25** But I cannot say exactly what there. to.
  - 26 0. Any others?
  - I cannot remember. That is what I can remember for now. 27
  - So do you have any idea what was being discussed in those 28
  - 29 Walihun I meetings?

- 1 A. No, I said I did not attend.
- 2 Q. I know, but did you hear later maybe what was discussed?
- 3 A. No, sir.
- 4 Q. Mr Witness --
- 15:35:27 **5 A.** Yes, sir.
  - 6 Q. -- you have been shown yesterday an exhibit, or was it this
  - 7 morning, with names on it.
  - 8 A. Yes, sir.
  - 9 Q. And it is about the supply of rice. Remember?
- 15:35:40 **10 A.** Yes.
  - 11 Q. When I go through this document I see that a lot of events
  - 12 are relating to a period in September 1998; would that be
  - 13 correct?
  - 14 A. Yes. sir.
- 15:36:19 15 Q. Is it fair to say that this document was drafted in or
  - 16 around the fall of 1998?
  - 17 A. Can you allow me to see it?
  - 18 Q. Of course. Of course.
  - 19 PRESIDING JUDGE: Are we talking about Exhibit 87, Mr Koppe?
- 15:36:48 **20** MR KOPPE: **87**, yes. Yes.
  - 21 THE WITNESS: Your question is?
  - 22 PRESIDING JUDGE: The question is whether these entries are
  - 23 essentially made in September. So this is done in September 98,
  - 24 that is your question.
- 15:37:18 **25 MR KOPPE:** 
  - 26 Q. That is my question, yes.
  - 27 A. Not since September 1998.
  - 28 Q. So, Mr Witness, my final question is would it be fair to
  - 29 say that this document was not at all relevant to the period of

NORMAN ET AL
27 MAY 2005 OPEN SESSION

- time when there was actually fighting? I mean November 1997
- 2 until March 1998?
- 3 A. I am not saying -- talking about the relevance of the
- 4 document as compared to the period you have mentioned.
- 15:38:03 5 Q. Let me re-phrase the question. President Kabbah was back
  - 6 in power when this document was issued.
  - 7 A. Of course.
  - 8 Q. Okay. Thank you.
  - 9 PRESIDING JUDGE: That concludes your cross-examination? Thank
- 15:38:19 10 you Mr Koppe. Counsel for the third accused, you are ready to proceed
  - 11 with the cross-examination?
  - 12 MR LANSANA: By all means, Your Honour.
  - 13 CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR LANSANA:
  - 14 Q. Mr Witness, when you were testifying yesterday you told
- 15:38:37 15 this Court that you were motivated to join the Kamajor
  - movement by your desire to free yourself and your people from
  - 17 the brutality of the RUF; is that correct?
  - 18 A. Yes, sir.
  - 19 Q. Can you please tell this Court your personal experience
- 15:39:14 20 with that brutality, if any?
  - 21 A. One, they were abducting civilians to their strongholds at
  - 22 Panguma that we knew. Panguma behind Tongo. That information
  - 23 was coming in and -- can I go on?
  - 24 Q. Go on.
- 15:39:53 25 A. And they were torturing people. They were also raping
  - 26 women. That complaint was coming -- those informations were
  - 27 meeting us and you have some exodus of people coming to -- moving
  - 28 from --
  - 29 Q. You mean displaced people.

NORMAN ET AL

Page 75

27 MAY 2005

OPEN SESSION

- 1 A. Displaced people.
- 2 Q. Thank you?
- 3 A. Moving. And they give you all this information that so
- 4 many people have been locked into buildings and has been set
- 15:40:27 5 ablaze by the RUF and moreover we were getting some terrible
  - 6 informations from the BBC reports.
  - 7 Q. Thank you very much. So you came to the conclusion, if I
  - 8 am right, that something had to be done about it. You came to
  - 9 the conclusion that something had to be done about these people?
- 15:40:49 10 A. Yes, sir. We cannot -- I felt that I cannot go into hiding
  - 11 from these guys. They are men and we are also men.
  - 12 Q. So you decided to take the bull by the horns.
  - 13 A. Indeed.
  - 14 Q. Thank you. You also said you recall the 25th May 1997; is
- 15:41:08 15 that correct? You said you recall 25th May.
  - 16 A. Yes, sir.
  - 17 Q. The coup that took place on 25th May 1997.
  - 18 A. Yes, sir.
  - 19 Q. At that time were you a Kamajor? By May 1997 were you at
- 15:41:26 **20** that time a Kamajor?
  - 21 A. Yes, sir, since 1993.
  - 22 Q. Thank you very much. May I ask you what the situation was
  - 23 when you went underground subsequent to this coup? What was life
  - 24 like for the Kamajors when they went underground.
  - 25 A. Underground?
  - 26 Q. Yes, after the coup.
  - 27 A. Underground?
  - 28 Q. Yes.
  - 29 A. Into the bush.

Page 76 NORMAN ET AL

- Q. Yes. 1
- 2 A. The RUF had announced from the local radio that all
- Kamajors should report to the nearest police station. And they 3
- were -- the RUF/AFRC were hunting us. 4
- So, you went underground. What was it like? What was life 15:42:11
  - like? Prior to that you were operating with the SLA, the Sierra 6
  - 7 Leone army; is that correct?
  - Yes, sir. 8 A.
  - 9 Q. So, you were parading the streets free men, I mean doing
- your job. 15:42:30 **10** 
  - 11 A. Yes.
  - 12 Q. Then after the coup you had to go underground. What was
  - 13 life like? What was the difference between the time you were
  - 14 operating before the coup and when you went on the ground after
- the coup. What was life like for the Kamajors? 15:42:38 **15** 
  - There was -- the relationship had gone sour by then. 16 A. They
  - 17 were killing us.
  - 18 Q. They were killing you?
  - Killing the Kamajors and they were even butchering some and 19 A.
- 15:43:03 **20** making their intestines with gates.
  - Thank you. 21 Q.
  - 22 A. In Lago. That happens in Lago.
  - 23 Q. Whereabouts is Lago? Assist the Court. In Lago.
  - 24 A. Lago is in Kenema Chiefdom.
  - 25 0. In Kenema District?
  - In Kenema District. 26 A.
  - 27 Q. Thank you.
  - It is a town on the main road leading to Tongo. It is a 28
  - town that is owned by Nongowa Chiefdom 29

NORMAN ET AL
Page 77
27 MAY 2005
OPEN SESSION

- 1 Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Mr Witness, would I be correct
- 2 to say that at the time when you were being hunted by the RUF
- 3 there were certain civilians who were informing as to your
- 4 whereabouts, you the Kamajors, informing the RUF about your
- 15:43:50 5 whereabouts; is that correct? I will come again. At the time
  - $\,$  when you had gone underground, you were being hunted by the RUF.
  - 7 I am saying and I invite you to agree or disagree with me I
  - 8 am saying that there were certain civilians who were informing
  - 9 the RUF about the Kamajor positions; is that correct?
- 15:44:14 10 A. Yes, sir. Yes, sir. I want to add something to that.
  - 11 Q. Yes, go ahead.
  - 12 A. People in Kenema, those who remain in Kenema who were not
  - 13 Kamajors, they were being reported to the AFRC/RUF that Kamajors,
  - 14 a relative of Kamajors staying in that house. I mean this is
- 15:44:40 15 just to support what you are coming about it.
  - 16 Q. Thank you very much. Would I be correct to say that as a
  - 17 result of this, Kamajors generally became suspicious of certain
  - 18 civilians naturally?
  - 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 15:45:20 20 Q. Thank you very much. In your testimony in chief --
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: Slow down, counsel.
  - 22 MR LANSANA: I apologise, Your Honour.
  - 23 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, Mr Counsel, are you waiting after
  - 24 us? We are waiting after you.
- 15:46:20 **25** MR LANSANA: We are waiting on each other.
  - 26 PRESIDING JUDGE: Please.
  - 27 MR LANSANA:
  - 28 Q. Now, Mr Witness, in your evidence-in-chief you tender the
  - 29 document, Exhibit 86. Your Honours, Exhibit 86. Which,

NORMAN ET AL

27 MAY 2005

OPEN SESSION

- 1 according to you, was the situation report; not so. Can I please
- 2 see?
- 3 Q. Exhibit 86.
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 15:47:21 5 Q. Now, on page 00003724 at paragraph 6, you refer to the
  - 6 summary execution of a confessed junta agent one Robert Ndanema.
  - 7 A. Yes, sir.
  - 8 Q. Now, you said you were not there when he was summarily
  - 9 executed; correct?
- 15:47:40 **10** A. Yes, sir.
  - 11 Q. But that later on you got told or a report was given to you
  - 12 about that summary execution; correct?
  - 13 A. Yes, sir.
  - 14 Q. Now, what was the time lapse between the time you got
- 15:48:22 15 information about that incident and the time you composed Exhibit
  - 16 86?
  - 17 A. Well, the date is clearly written here, November 1997. And
  - 18 this report here compiled I think on the 16th of November.
  - 19 Q. Now, between the time when you got this information and the
- 15:49:00 20 time when you compiled this report, the situation report, what
  - 21 action, if any, did you take in terms of raising this issue up
  - 22 with a superior commander above the person who told you?
  - 23 A. Well, this is where the guys who went to Gendema --
  - 24 Q. [Overlapping microphones] are you coming?
- 15:49:42 25 A. I am coming. I will get to the web of your questions,
  - 26 please. These guys, they went to Gendema. They returned with
  - 27 the instruction that whosoever is found collaborating, I think I
  - 28 said that yesterday in my statement, should be killed.
  - 29 Q. Now, my question is: You were told about this incident by

Page 79 NORMAN ET AL

- 1 one Siaka Lahai; correct?
- 2 Yes. commander. A.
- Q. Yes, commander. Now, did you raise this issue with a 3
- commander or a Kamajor authority above Siaka Lahai? 4
- 5 A. The person at that time was this Orinko and Musa Junisa. 15:50:24
  - 6 Orinko, Siaka Lahai, they want to Base Zero. They returned back
  - with this information that collaborators should be killed and 7
  - that is going to be the start. 8
  - 9 Q. Mr Witness, I am not asking you about who brought
- information as to instructions that collaborators should be 15:50:45 **10** 
  - 11 killed. I am saying that you got this information about the
  - 12 incident involving this junta agent from Siaka Lahai; correct?
  - Yes. 13 Α.
  - 14 0. He was a commander?
- Α. Yes, sir. 15:50:59 **15** 
  - And he had not done anything about that? 16
  - Yes, sir. 17 Α.
  - 18 Q. My question is: Having found out that he had not done
  - 19 anything about this incident, did you raise the issue up with any
- superior authority above him that such a thing has happened? 15:51:13 **20** 
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: The answer would be yes or no. 21
  - 22 MR LANSANA: Yes.
  - 23 THE WITNESS: No, sir. No, no, My Lord.
  - 24 JUDGE THOMPSON: [Overlapping microphones]
- 15:51:18 **25** MR LANSANA: Indeed not. Thank you very much.
  - 26 Now, I will take you to the incident around Bumpeh
  - Chiefdom. You said there was an incident involving an SSD 27
  - 28 personnel at Dassama; is that correct?
  - 29 A. Yes, sir.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Which page reference is that, or	, counsel?
--	------------

- 2 MR LANSANA: My Lord, I have finished that.
- 3 JUDGE THOMPSON: Oh you have done with that?
- 4 MR LANSANA: I have taken another line.
- 15:52:04 5 JUDGE THOMPSON: I apologise.
  - 6 MR LANSANA: As Your Honour pleases.
  - 7 Q. Now, you said in cross-examination on behalf of the
  - 8 first accused this morning, that the Kamajors went into the
  - 9 bush after they had caught the SSD personnel; not so?
- 15:52:25 **10** A. Who ran into the bush.
  - 11 Q. The Kamajors ran after --
  - 12 A. Hi m.
  - 13 Q. Him and they went into the bush.
  - 14 A. The bush, yes.
- 15:52:39 15 Q. Now, from where you were standing, was it possible for you
  - to have seen the Kamajors in the bush?
  - 17 A. No, sir.
  - 18 Q. You did not see them?
  - 19 A. No, sir. Because Dassama is a small village.
- 15:52:55 20 Q. Okay. Now, you also said in evidence-in-chief yesterday
  - 21 that you saw machetes going up and down; not so?
  - 22 A. Yes, sir.
  - 23 Q. But you have just said you were not able to see them.
  - 24 A. When -- after just coming from the bush I said they were
- 15:53:27 25 now having something in bits to which they were cutting.
  - 26 Q. Mr Witness, you have not got me correctly. In
  - 27 evidence-in-chief yesterday you said after they have chased the
  - 28 SSD personnel and caught him, all you saw was the machetes going
  - 29 up and down. You said that yesterday; didn't you?

- 1 A. Yes, I did say that.
- Q. My question is: Is that the same as saying that they were
- 3 in the bush and you could not see them that you answered in
- 4 cross-examination for the first accused?
- 15:54:06 5 A. I said when they ran into -- when they chased the man into
  - 6 the bush --
  - 7 Q. Yes?
  - 8 A. -- they began raise -- putting put their cutlass like this.
  - 9 The man fled in the bush and they returned now with part of the
- 15:54:27 10 head and small meat wrapped in leaves.
  - 11 Q. I will put it to you that it would not be correct to say
  - 12 that you did not see them since they were in the bush, but yet
  - 13 you saw their cutlasses going up and down. I put it to you that
  - 14 those two statements cannot be right. What would you say?
- 15:54:51 15 A. What about the head, they were having the human freshly cut
  - 16 head and they were having and the meat they were putting into
  - 17 their pockets?
  - 18 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Witness, that is not the question. The
  - 19 question is: When you say you could not see them and yet at the same
- 15:55:04 20 time you say you saw the machetes going up and down.
  - 21 MR LANSANA: As Your Honour pleases.
  - 22 PRESIDING JUDGE: It is not whether you saw parts after
  - 23 that, the question is more at the time you say they were in the
  - 24 bush.
- 15:55:21 **25** THE WITNESS: Say again, please.
  - 26 MR LANSANDA:
  - 27 Q. You have made two statements. First, they ran after the
  - 28 SSD personnel into the bush as a result of which you could not
  - see them from where you were standing.

NORMAN ET AL

Page 82

27 MAY 2005

OPEN SESSION

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. Statement number two, you saw their cutlasses going up and
- down when they had crowded on the SSD personnel.
- 4 A. Yes, sir. But --
- 15:56:00 5 Q. And now my question is: You cannot see what you say you
  - 6 did not see; can you?
  - 7 A. Let me highlight on that, please.
  - 8 Q. You can say yes or no, then you can highlight. You cannot
  - 9 see what you say you did not see.
- 15:56:16 **10** A. Yes.
  - 11 Q. Thank you.
  - 12 A. Highlight now. And the man -- he -- the man got escaped
  - 13 from there again and finally run into the bush.
  - 14 Q. You now say?
- 15:56:31 **15 A. Yes.** 
  - 16 Q. All right. Now, you also related an incident of seeing
  - 17 piles of ashes that where, according to you, a commander Magonna,
  - 18 corpses of junta personnel and collaborators; correct? In
  - 19 Kenema?
- 15:56:59 **20** A. The remains?
  - 21 Q. Yes, corpses. Remains, corpses. Remains of former junta
  - 22 personnel and collaborators.
  - 23 A. Yes, sir.
  - 24 Q. Now, did you verify what Magonna told you? This is
- 15:57:22 **25** something you were told.
  - 26 A. Yes.
  - 27 Q. Did you verify that?
  - 28 A. No.
  - 29 Q. You did not?

A. No. 1

- 2 Thank you. Mr Witness, you are also aware that it was the 0.
- usual practice, after the ECOMOG intervention of February 1998, 3
- that civilians were summarily executing junta personnel or junta 4
- 5 collaborators; not so? 15:57:50
  - Α. Yes, sir. 6
  - Civilians were doing that. And you also know that --7 Q.
  - [overlapping microphones] 8
  - 9 JUDGE ITOE: Counsel, please. Are you delivering a lecture or are
- you cross-examining? 15:58:03 **10** 
  - 11 THE WITNESS: Go over that again, please.
  - 12 MR LANSANA: Your Honour, I must apologise. One gets
  - 13 carried away.
  - 14 JUDGE ITOE: Don't get carried away, please.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: And particularly --15:58:14 **15** 
  - JUDGE ITOE: You had better build your house methodically. 16
  - 17 MR LANSANA: As your Lordship pleases.
  - JUDGE ITOE: Lay your bricks methodically, please. 18
  - 19 MR LANSANA: I shall, I shall endeavour.
- 15:58:28 **20** THE WITNESS: Please go over that again.
  - MR LANSANA: 21
  - 22 I was just saying it was also the situation that when
  - 23 civilians killed these junta collaborators and junta personnel,
  - 24 it was their style to burn them?
- 15:58:43 **25** JUDGE THOMPSON: But why not --
  - 26 JUDGE ITOE: That was not the question. The first.
  - Question --27
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: The first part of your question. 28
  - 29 MR LANSANA: He had answered that already that it was the

NORMAN ET AL

27 MAY 2005

OPEN SESSION

- 1 case that civilians, after the ECOMOG intervention, summarily
- 2 executed.
- 3 JUDGE THOMPSON: So he agreed with you?
- 4 MR BANGURA: May it please, Your Honours, I belief the
- 15:59:08 5 witness wanted that question repeated.
  - 6 JUDGE THOMPSON: Precisely.
  - 7 MR BANGURA: The witness wanted that question repeated.
  - 8 JUDGE THOMPSON: That's why I thought and -- and I would
  - 9 advise counsel to avoid double-barrel questions, particularly
- 15:59:17 10 when they are so loaded, so that we can have the answers to the
  - 11 different parts of the question. So why not deal with the first
  - 12 part of the question?
  - 13 MR LANSANA: Your Honour, I had asked that question. I was
  - 14 thinking that I would ask the second question that is closely
- 15:59:35 **15** related to that.
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: Yes, but what you are saying is that a
  - 17 clear appreciation of the evidence in response, we need to have
  - 18 the sort of -- this composite question broken up into two parts.
  - 19 It is also --
- 15:59:49 20 MR LANSANA: As it pleases Your Honour. As it pleases Your
  - 21 Honours.
  - 22 Q. Now, Mr Witness, I am putting it to you that after the
  - 23 ECOMOG intervention of February 1997 -- sorry, February 1998,
  - 24 it was the usual practice that civilians summarily executed
- 16:00:17 25 junta collaborators and junta personnel, not only in the
  - 26 eastern province, all over the country. Civilians.
  - 27 A. I am talking about Kenema and not the rest of the country.
  - 28 Q. Fine. Fair enough. Restrict your answer to Kenema. What
  - 29 was, to your knowledge, that after ECOMOG had taken over, Kenema

1 civilians summarily executed those who were junta personnel and

- those they thought were junta collaborators?
- 3 A. I was not there initially when Kenema was taken.
- 4 Q. Did you eventually learn that that happened?
- 16:01:16 5 A. Those who told me -- those who told me the story were
  - 6 Kamajors that we have burnt them.
  - 7 PRESIDING JUDGE: Would you -- I didn't get that. Would you
  - 8 repeat your last answer, Mr Witness.
  - 9 THE WITNESS: I got the story from Kamajors.
- 16:01:36 10 MR LANSANA: Thank you very much.
  - 11 JUDGE ITOE: That what?
  - 12 THE WITNESS: That they have killed those collaborators and
  - 13 juntas captured alive.
  - 14 PRESIDING JUDGE: When you say "they" --
- 16:01:48 **15 THE WITNESS: Kamajors.** 
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: The story you got was that Kamajors had
  - 17 done that?
  - 18 A. Yes. sir.
  - 19 JUDGE THOMPSON: So it is not civilians. Because remember his
- 16:02:03 20 question was whether it was the practice that civilians --
  - 21 MR LANSANA: Yes, Your Honour.
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: -- summarily executed junta personnel and
  - 23 junta collaborators.
  - 24 Q. So are you saying that the information you got was who did
- 16:02:22 25 the summary executions alleged? I am not clear on that.
  - 26 A. We are Kamajors.
  - 27 Q. It was Kamajors who summarily executed?
  - 28 A. Yes.
  - 29 Q. Not civilians?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. That is what I am trying to get at.
- 3 JUDGE ITOE: And this was related to you by Kamajors?
- 4 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 16:02:39 5 JUDGE THOMPSON: So in other words, you disagree with
  - 6 counsel's thesis that this was a civilian practice.
  - 7 MR LANSANA:
  - 8 Q. Now, Mr Witness, did you ever know a man, a popular
  - 9 politician, a Member of Parliament, known as Alhaji Musa Kabia?
- 16:02:52 **10** A. Yes, sir.
  - 11 PRESIDING JUDGE: What's the name again?
  - 12 MR LANSANA:
  - 13 Q. Alhaji Musa Kabia?
  - 14 A. No, sir.
- 16:03:24 15 Q. Did you ever receive information that after the
  - intervention of ECOMOG even in Freetown, there were prominent
  - 17 people who were summarily executed by civilians in Freetown? Did
  - 18 you learn about that in Kenema?
  - 19 A. No.
  - 20 Q. Did you know that --
  - 21 JUDGE ITOE: Please wait.
  - 22 MR LANSANA:
  - 23 Q. Mr Witness --
  - 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 16:04:23 25 Q. -- were you listening to BBC broadcasts during a period
  - 26 February 1998 onwards up to the end of that year?
  - 27 A. Not every day, every day, every day.
  - 28 Q. Habitually you would?
  - 29 A. Sometimes.

Page 87 NORMAN ET AL OPEN SESSION

1 Q. And whilst you were listening to programmes like Focus on

- 2 Africa, didn't you hear BBC reports that civilians were summarily
- 3 executing people after the ECOMOG intervention.
- 4 MR BANGURA: Objection. Your Honours, I don't think counsel has
- 5 laid sufficient foundation to go on to suggest that the witness was 16:05:13
  - 6 listening to the programme, the programme Focus on Africa. It is
  - 7 just --
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: Maybe he is able to answer that question. 8
  - 9 I don't see your objection.
- JUDGE ITOE: He said he listened to some, not on a regular 16:05:25 **10** 
  - 11 basis.
  - 12 MR BANGURA: BBC radio has numerous programmes.
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: 13 He can answer that question.
  - 14 MR BANGURA: I am not saying he is not capable of answering
- that question, I am saying counsel has not laid foundation for 16:05:36 **15** 
  - the question. 16
  - 17 JUDGE ITOE: I think he has.
  - 18 PRESIDING JUDGE: Your objection is overruled.
  - 19 JUDGE THOMPSON: Leave your colleague alone. You had an
- almost uninterrupted run when you were questioning him. 16:05:46 **20** 
  - 21 MR LANSANA: Most obliged Your Honours.
  - 22 Q. Mr Witness --
  - 23 A. Yes, sir.
  - 24 Q. You said you were not listening on a daily basis to BBC
- 16:06:01 25 programmes. I said especially Focus on Africa.
  - A. Yes. sir. 26
  - But during your intermittent or habitual listening --27 Q.
  - JUDGE ITOE: He didn't say habitual. He did not say habitual. 28
  - 29 JUDGE THOMPSON: He said sometimes.

OPEN SESSION

1 MR LANSANA: As Your Honours please.

- 2 Q. During one of the situations when you were listening to
- 3 Focus on Africa by the BBC, did you not hear over the radio
- 4 that after ECOMDG had redeemed Freetown, civilians were
- 16:06:39 5 summarily executing junta personnel and junta collaborators?
  - 6 A. On that day I did not listen to radio, sir.
  - 7 Q. And after that day?
  - 8 A. I did not also.
  - 9 Q. And after that day?
- 16:06:57 10 JUDGE ITOE: Did you ever hear?
  - 11 THE WITNESS: I heard it.
  - 12 MR LANSANA:
  - 13 Q. Thank you very much.
  - 14 A. That people in Freetown, in Freetown here. I am talking
- 16:07:12 15 about Freetown. What people heard from --
  - 16 Q. You heard about that happening in Freetown?
  - 17 A. Say people have been captured.
  - 18 0. Like Shek Mustaba?
  - 19 A. No, I cannot -- I don't know [overlapping speakers]
- 16:07:32 20 JUDGE ITOE: Let him finish with his question, please.
  - 21 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, you ask a question, you don't let
  - 22 the witness answer your question.
  - 23 MR LANSANA: I apologise.
  - 24 Q. I apologise.
- 16:07:41 **25** A. I heard that just --
  - 26 JUDGE ITOE:
  - 27 Q. What did you hear about that broadcast?
  - 28 A. That civilians --
  - 29 Q. Yes.

NORMAN ET AL

27 MAY 2005

OPEN SESSION

- 1 A. -- who were collaborating with --
- 2 PRESIDING JUDGE: Go ahead, please.
- 3 THE WITNESS: Civilians that were collaborators have been
- 4 arrested and handed over to ECOMOG in Freetown here.
- 16:08:15 **5 MR LANSANA:** 
  - 6 Q. Thank you, Mr Witness.
  - 7 A. Those who listened, that's what they told me.
  - 8 Q. Thank you, Mr Witness.
  - 9 PRESIDING JUDGE: So, you did not hear that civilians were
- 16:08:33 10 executing civilians?
  - 11 THE WITNESS: No, sir.
  - 12 JUDGE THOMPSON: Learned counsel got so carried away. The
  - 13 question began with some kind of postulation of a civilian
  - 14 execution theory. That is what you were trying to canvas.
- 16:08:52 15 MR LANSANA: Yes, Your Honour. Yes, Your Honour.
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: And I thought he had agreed with you at
  - 17 some point that he did hear after ECOMDG had redeemed Freetown
  - 18 that civilians were in fact executing --
  - 19 MR LANSANA: He did say that.
- 16:09:07 20 JUDGE THOMPSON: -- Junta personnel and junta
  - 21 collaborators.
  - 22 MR LANSANA: He did say.
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: And this seems to have got lost in the
  - 24 last answer because you shifted ground. You got so carried away
- 16:09:20 25 that you moved away from the original position. So I don't
  - 26 know --
  - 27 MR LANSANA: Your Honour, after the --
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: Now he says that he heard that civilians
  - 29 who were collaborators had been arrested and --

NORMAN ET AL

Page 90

7 MAY 2005

OPEN SESSION

- 1 JUDGE ITOE: Handed over to ECOMOG.
- 2 JUDGE THOMPSON: So the whole thing is a little untidy with
- 3 all -- with due respect to your attempted methodical
- 4 cross-examination. I don't know what you want us to record
- 16:09:45 5 because I have these two things seeming --
  - 6 MR LANSANA: Your Honour, his answer, his last answer, was
  - 7 not to my particular question. I think it was to Justice Boutet
  - 8 who --
  - 9 PRESIDING JUDGE: No, that was his answer, but I just
- 16:09:59 10 wanted to make sure that I well understood what he was saying.
  - 11 MR LANSANA: As Your Honour pleases.
  - 12 PRESIDING JUDGE: It was not in answer to my question. My
  - 13 question was just to ask him to repeat what he had just stated.
  - 14 MR LANSANA: And then he said something different.
- 16:10:07 15 PRESIDING JUDGE: Well, it was not something different. I
  - heard him to say handed over to ECOMDG. That's why I asked him
  - 17 are you saying this, and he says yes, which was different than
  - 18 the question you asked.
  - 19 JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And that's what we are saying that
- 16:10:26 20 your theory had been consistent there was civilian execution.
  - 21 Summary execution.
  - 22 MR LANSANA: As Your Honour pleases.
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: And that is the -- but we will take what
  - 24 we have.
- 16:10:35 **25 MR** LANSANA: For what it is worth.
  - 26 Q. Now, Mr Witness, in your evidence-in-chief you said that
  - a camp was established at SS camp by the Kamajors; not so?
  - 28 A. Yes, sir.
  - 29 Q. And you also said that Kamajors were harassing civilians at

NORMAN ET AL
Page 91
27 MAY 2005
OPEN SESSION

- 1 SS camp; not so?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. I am putting it to you that Kamajors who did that were
- 4 punished. Do you agree with me?
- 16:11:38 5 A. Yes, sir. Those who did it to a particular man, although
  - 6 he has died, Swaray Mattia. It was Swaray Mattia's case. It was
  - 7 that sorry Matteo who was beaten severely at SS camp. It was in
  - 8 his own case that a good number of them were arrested and
  - 9 punished and put into the guard room --
- 16:11:59 **10 Q. Thank you very much.** 
  - 11 A. -- in Kenema.
  - 12 Q. Thank you very much.
  - 13 A. That was the only incident I knew that Kamajors were
  - 14 arrested and being punished.
- 16:12:12 **15 PRESIDING JUDGE:** 
  - 16 Q. What is the name of the person, can you repeat the name
  - 17 here?
  - 18 A. Swaray. S-W-A-R-A-Y, Swaray.
  - 19 Q. Yes?
- 16:12:34 **20** A. Mattia is M-A-T-T-I-A.
  - 21 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you.
  - 22 MR LANSANA:
  - 23 Q. Now, Mr Witness, when you were testifying yesterday you
  - said something I want you to clarify for the purposes of this
- 16:12:57 25 Court. You made two statements. First, that Kondewa, the third
  - 26 accused, provided logistics to groups of his own choice. You
  - 27 said that; not so?
  - 28 A. Yes, sir. Kamajor groups.
  - 29 Q. Yes, Kamajor groups. The second statement was he requested

Page 92 NORMAN ET AL 27 MAY 2005

- logistics for groups of his choice. 1
- 2 Yes, sir. A.
- Now, can you clarify the situation whether it was the case 3 Q.
- that he provided the logistics or it was the case that he 4
- 5 requested the logistics? 16:14:05
  - A. He requested --6
  - 7 Q. Thank you very much.
  - A. -- for them. 8
  - 9 Q. Thank you very much. Now, you agree with me, would you
- not, that Kondewa never gave military instructions to Kamajors? 16:14:32 **10** 
  - 11 The third accused, he never gave military instructions to
  - 12 Kamaj ors.
  - He did. 13 Α.
  - 14 0. He did?
- Yes. I was there. 16:14:49 **15** 
  - Thank you very much. I will refer you to --16 Q.
  - 17 A. Can I say more on that, please?
  - 18 Q. If you will.
  - 19 A. 0kay.
- PRESIDING JUDGE: Go ahead. Mr Witness. 16:15:13 20
  - THE WITNESS: I am satisfied. 21
  - 22 MR LANSANA:
  - 23 Are you sure that Kondewa gave military instructions to
  - 24 Kamaj ors?
- 16:15:23 **25** A. Yes.
  - 26 0. Mr Witness, you made several statements to investigators
  - from the Office of the Prosecutor; is that correct? 27
  - 28 A. Yes.
  - 29 Q. And one of such statements was the one you made on 15th May

NORMAN ET AL
Page 93
27 MAY 2005
OPEN SESSION

- 1 2003; correct?
- 2 A. Yes, sir. Maybe it would be correct because I have not
- 3 seen the document.
- 4 PRESIDING JUDGE:
- 16:16:22 5 Q. You mean by 15th May 2003?
  - 6 A. Yeah, referring to a document in absentia. Maybe if I
  - 7 could pass my eyes on the document I will be able to say yes or
  - 8 no to it.
  - 9 Q. You are not sure of the date?
- 16:16:47 10 A. Well, I met with those people --
  - 11 Q. Many times?
  - 12 A. Yes, sir, but maybe it is referring to different
  - document, but what I am saying here, My Lord, if I could see
  - 14 the document then I will be able to satisfactorily give answer
- 16:17:00 **15 to it.** 
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: Can we have a copy of that document, the one
  - 17 that you want to show him, all the statements or whatever it is?
  - 18 MR LANSANA: No, just the copy of the one he made on the
  - 19 15th.
- 16:17:10 20 PRESIDING JUDGE: The one he made on the 15th?
  - 21 MR LANSANA: Yes, Your Honour.
  - 22 PRESIDING JUDGE: You mean 2003.
  - 23 MR LANSANA: 15th May 2003.
  - 24 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Walker, could you please?
- 16:17:20 **25 JUDGE ITOE:** [Inaudi bl e]
  - 26 PRESIDING JUDGE: 15th May.
  - 27 MR LANSANA: 15th May.
  - 28 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Okay.
  - 29 PRESIDING JUDGE: So, you have looked at that document, Mr

Page 94 NORMAN ET AL

- 1 Witness?
- 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, My Lord.
- PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Defence Counsel. 3
- MR LANSANA: 4
- 5 Q. Mr Witness --
- 6 Α. Yes.
- -- are you familiar with that statement? 7 Q.
- 8 A. Yes, My Lord.
- 9 Q. Did you make that statement?
- Α. 16:18:31 **10** Yes.
  - 11 Q. Now, Mr Witness, I would read from that statement and I
  - 12 will invite your reaction thereto.
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: And so, counsel, what options are you pursuing 13
  - 14 now?
- 16:19:07 **15** MR LANSANA: My Lord, this is to impeach his credibility.
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: So you are really going to in terms of 16
  - 17 prior inconsistent statements?
  - MR LANSANA: Yes, Your Honour. 18
  - 19 JUDGE THOMPSON: Well, would you like to go through the
- familiar ritual of laying the foundation properly? 16:19:28 **20** 
  - MR LANSANA: As Your Honour pleases. 21
  - 22 JUDGE THOMPSON: Yes.
  - 23 MR LANSANA:
  - 24 Q. Now, Mr Witness, when you were talking to the
- 16:19:42 **25** investigators, when you were being interviewed by the
  - 26 investigators, in what language did they ask you questions?
  - A. In English. 27
  - And in what language did you answer their questions? 28 Q.
  - 29 A. In English.

Page 95 NORMAN ET AL OPEN SESSION

Q. And when you gave your answers, did they write your answers 1

- 2 down or were you recorded on tape?
- 3 A. On computer.
- Q. On computer? 4
- 16:20:32 A. Yes. And the second time it was on a tape recorder.
  - Q. For the statement of the 15th? Restrict yourself to that. 6
  - 7 A. What was your question, sir?
  - 8 0. Did they record you on tape or was it on a computer?
  - 9 A. On the second time.
- Q. For the statement? 16:20:57 **10** 
  - 11 A. Yes, sir.
  - 12 PRESIDING JUDGE: By the second time, what do you mean? What date
  - 13 are we talking about?
  - 14 A. In May. May, I think.
- PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, but --16:21:05 **15** 
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: What do you have before you there? 16
  - 17 MR LANSANA:
  - 18 Q. The statement of the 15th is what I want you to restrict
  - yourself to. 19
- 16:21:23 **20** A. Yes. This 15th day of May statement was recorded on the
  - 21 tape.
  - 22 On the tape? Q.
  - 23 A. Yes.
  - 24 And after the interview, did they the investigators play Q.
- 16:21:40 **25** the tape the recorded tape to you?
  - 26 A. No. No, sir.
  - They did not? 27 Q.
  - A. Not at all. 28
  - 29 Q. Did they later transcribe that tape, that is transfer it

Page 96 NORMAN ET AL OPEN SESSION

- from tape to print and show it to you? 1
- 2 A. Yes, I saw it on this question and answer form later on.
- Q. 3 Thank you very much. Were you asked whether you agreed
- with the contents? 4
- 16:22:20 5 A. Yes.
  - 6 Q. Did you tell them?
  - A. At that time? 7
  - 8 0. Yes.
  - 9 A. No.
- Q. Eventually they did? 16:22:35 10
  - 11 A. Eventually that was done to see where errors -- matters
  - 12 this latter part by the lawyer.
  - 13 Q. Thank you very much. And when that was done, did you tell
  - 14 them that you were satisfied that that represented what you told
- them? 16:22:55 **15** 
  - Not with the entire document. There were certain areas 16 A.
  - 17 which I said that it should be the -- either minuted or changed.
  - 18 Q. Thank you very much.
  - 19 Α. Here maybe he has the information, the written information
- that --16:23:27 **20** 
  - Thank you very much. But later on, apart from those 21 Q.
  - 22 variation or those alteration that you highlighted to them, did
  - 23 you generally say this statement was okay with you?
  - 24 A. Yes, after those alterations the rest I was satisfied with.
- 16:23:46 **25** 0. You were satisfied as representing your statement --
  - Indeed. 26 A.
  - -- you made to them? 27 Q.
  - A. Indeed. 28
  - 29 Q. Thank you very much. I invite to you to look at page 11874

Page 97 NORMAN ET AL 27 MAY 2005

- 1 of that statement. Your Honours, page 11874. Is it numbered?
- 2 A. I am lost by that.
- MR BANGURA: Your Honours, the Prosecution, we, on our side 3
- here, we do have a copy of this statement, but it is not a filed 4
- 16:25:10 5 copy so it does not have the Registry numbering on it. But if
  - 6 counsel can help us indicate the page number, because the pages
  - are serially numbered, it might help. 7
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: Page 17, from what I can see. 8
  - 9 MR BANGURA: Thank you.
- MR LANSANA: 16:25:19 10
  - 11 Q. Are you okay, Mr Witness?
  - 12 PRESIDING JUDGE: Counsel, so we are all on the same pages it is
  - 11874, which is page 17 of the document in question. 13
  - 14 MR LANSANA: Yes, Your Honour.
- Mr Witness, are you okay? 16:25:57 **15** Q.
  - A. 16 Yes.
  - 17 Q. Now, I invite you to look at lines 9 following.
  - 18 question was asked by Ms Marcus. I will read, "And can the
  - 19 Moinina could Kondewa give military instructions to the Kamajors
- who were loyal to go and fight in one certain place? Did he get 16:26:24 **20** 
  - involved in the battlefield?" Your answer, you shook your head 21
  - 22 and you asked, "Kondewa." And then the question follows,
  - 23 "Kondewa." And your answer, "No". Does that reflect what you
  - 24 told the investigators?
- 16:27:31 **25** Α. Go over your question again once, please.
  - 26 0. Mr Witness, when you look at the question and answer on
  - 27 page 17, Ms Marcus was asking you questions and you were
  - 28 answeri ng?
  - 29 Α. Correct.

NORMAN ET AL 27 MAY 2005

- 1 Q. She asked you, "Can the Moinina could Kondewa give military
- 2 instructions to the Kamajors who were loyal to go and fight in
- 3 one certain place? Did he get involved in the battlefield?"
- 4 Answer, you shook your head and you asked, "Kondewa?" She
- 16:28:06 5 answered, "Kondewa". You answered, "No".
  - 6 A. Yes, I answered no because -- I answered no because look at
  - 7 the last -- the last --
  - 8 Q. Mr Witness --
  - 9 A. After the comma, please, please, please.
- 16:28:22 10 Q. Mr Witness, I would allow you, but let's get over that
  - 11 hurdle first.
  - 12 A. Yes, that is the way I want to do it satisfactorily,
  - 13 please. And if you --
  - JUDGE ITOE: Learned counsel, he has said yes. He answered that
- 16:28:35 **15** way.
  - 16 MR LANSANA: As Your Honour pleases.
  - 17 JUDGE ITOE: He said he has answered that way. I was just
  - 18 trying to go ahead to explain.
  - 19 MR LANSANA: As Your Honour pleases.
- 16:28:44 **20 Q. Yes, go ahead.** 
  - 21 A. I answered no. You asked me if that -- if I did answer no.
  - 22 I said yes. The no was the answer I gave. And it is going for
  - 23 the last -- after the comma or the second sentence written, that
  - 24 is against 11 and fight in one certain place, "Did he get
- 16:29:19 25 involved in the battle?" The no is going for that. For that.
  - 26 The no is implying that Kondewa -- I have never seen Kondewa
  - 27 involved in battle. In battle himself, holding gun and firing.
  - 28 If you can just examine, I mean, the last part of the question.
  - 29 The no is going for that.

Page 99 NORMAN ET AL

	1	JUDGE THOMPSON: Counsel
	2	MR LANSANA: Yes, Your Honour.
	3	JUDGE THOMPSON: the witness seems to be suggesting that
	4	there is an inadvertent misreading on your part of the record.
16:29:56	5	PRESIDING JUDGE: No, the record is
	6	MR LANSANA: My Lord, the record is straight, Your Honour.
	7	JUDGE THOMPSON: But he is saying is there formatting
	8	problem here or where there
	9	MR LANSANA: I would not think so.
16:30:13	10	PRESIDING JUDGE: It is only the question the question,
	11	the way it was asked, has more than one there is two issues.
	12	And he answers to one issue and he says my answer
	13	MR LANSANA: As Your Honour pleases. But it appears to me
	14	that the no served both
16:30:31	15	JUDGE THOMPSON: Both purposes?
	16	MR LANSANA: purposes, because if he had said, "No,
	17	but," they would have recorded him. You are taking it from the
	18	way it is; the script.
	19	[HN270505E - CR]
16:31:45	20	JUDGE THOMPSON: So from your perspective, you're saying
	21	that you have only one interpretation for the "no" there; it
	22	applies to what?
	23	MR LANSANA: It applies to both.
	24	PRESIDING JUDGE: It applies to you to the whole statement
16:32:00	25	and the question?
	26	MR LANSANA: Yes.
	27	JUDGE THOMPSON: And he's saying no.
	28	MR LANSANA: That's what he said.
	29	JUDGE THOMPSON: I see. Is it a matter for interpretation

Page 100 NORMAN ET AL

1	by	the	Court?
---	----	-----	--------

- MR LANSANA: As Your Honour pleases. It would be your 2
- interpretation against mine. 3
- JUDGE THOMPSON: 4 Against yours?
- MR LANSANA: Yes, Your Honour. At this stage, I don't have 16:32:15
  - 6 any further questions for the witness.
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: 7 Thank you.
  - MR BANGURA: Your Honours, may I inquire as to the status 8
  - 9 of that document, the statement. I understood someone saying it
- was for the Court to give an interpretation to that answer from 16:33:04 **10** 
  - 11 the witness.
  - 12 PRESIDING JUDGE: We've not accept the statement as an
  - As far as we are concerned, it's not here. 13 exhi bi t.
  - 14 JUDGE THOMPSON: It's the record that we have of the
- We're not going further than that, 16:33:17 **15** answers.
  - MR BANGURA: 16 Thank you.
  - 17 PRESIDING JUDGE: I see counsel shaking his head in the
  - 18 affirmative.
  - MR LANSANA: Your Honours, I'm in concurrence with you. 19
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Yes. fine. 16:33:28 **20** 
  - PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Prosecutor, my question to you: 21
  - 22 re-examination?
  - MR BANGURA: There will be no re-examination of this 23
  - witness, Your Honour. 24
- 16:33:43 **25** PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you very much. Mr Witness, we
  - 26 thank you very much for you coming to this Court to give your
  - evi dence. 27
  - 28 THE WITNESS: Thank you, My Lord.
  - 29 PRESIDING JUDGE: We wish you good luck for the future.

27 MAY 2005 OPEN SESSION

	1	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
	2	PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Prosecutor, it is 4.30 p.m. I know
	3	you do have a witness on stand-by.
	4	MR KAMARA: Indeed, we do have a witness scheduled for this
16:34:27	5	afternoon. That witness might take the entire afternoon and part
	6	of Monday morning.
	7	PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes. I must say, this week, we're not
	8	necessarily really tempted at this time to take another witness
	9	if we're not to finish this witness this afternoon. Our
16:34:45	10	preference, at this juncture, would be to start fresh Monday
	11	morning and just carry on with that witness.
	12	MR KAMARA: I agree with Your Honours.
	13	PRESIDING JUDGE: We will adjourn the Court until 9.30 a.m.
	14	Monday morning.
16:36:07	15	[The witness withdrew]
	16	[The hearing adjourned at 4.35 p.m., to be
	17	reconvened on Monday, the 30th day of May 2005,
	18	at 9.30 a.m.]
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
	29	

## **EXHIBITS:**

Exhi bi t No. 87	2
Exhi bi t No. 88	16
Exhi bi t No. 89	22
Exhi bi t No. 90	49
WITNESSES FOR THE PROSECUTION:	
WI TNESS: TF2-079	2
EXAMINED BY MR BANGURA	2
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR YILLAH	15
CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR KOPPE	36
CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR LANSANA	74