	Case No. SCSL-2004-16-T THE PROSECUTOR OF THE SPECIAL COURT V. ALEX TAMBA BRIMA BRIMA BAZZY KAMARA SANTIGIE BORBOR KANU
	TUESDAY, 25 JULY 2006 2.15 P.M. STATUS CONFERENCE
	TRIAL CHAMBER II
Before the Judges:	Richard Lussick, Presiding Teresa Doherty Julia Sebutinde
For Chambers:	Mr Simon Meisenberg
For the Registry:	Mr Thomas George
For the Prosecution:	Mr Karim Agha Mr Charles Hardaway Ms Maja Dimitrova (Case Manager)
For the Principal Defender:	No appearance
For the accused Alex Tamba Brima:	Ms Glenna Thompson Mr Ibrahim Foday Mansaray (legal assistant)
For the accused Brima Bazzy Kamara:	Mr Andrew William Kodwo Daniels Mr Mohamed Pa-Momo Fofanah

For the accused Santigie Borbor Mr Ajibola E Manly-Spain Kanu:

1 [AFRC_25JUL06_CR] 2 Tuesday, 25 July 2006. [Status conference] 3 [Open session] 4 [The accused present] 5 [Upon commencing at 2.15 p.m.] 6 PRESIDING JUDGE: We've read over the lunch break the 7 8 urgent joint Defence application for a status conference pursuant to Rule 54 for the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the Special 9 10 Court for Sierra Leone. Pursuant to Rule 73(A), rather than deal 11 with this by way of written submissions, we decided to hear the 12 parties in open Court. Can I take it that there's no opposition if we decide to have the status conference here and now? All 13 right. That is so decided then. 14 15 MR MANLY-SPAIN: May it please Your Honour. PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes. 16 17 MR MANLY-SPAIN: Your Honour, before we go on to that, I 18 believe I should inform the Court that the witness I should have 19 taken, DAB-079 who went AWOL has now been located by WVS, and 20 that he's been to Kabala because he's unwell, but he has agreed 21 to come back and they will go and take him, but he will require 22 medical treatment immediately he arrives in Freetown. PRESIDING JUDGE: What does that mean, Mr Manly-Spain? 23 24 He's still not available to come. 25 MR MANLY-SPAIN: No, but I believe I should inform the Court we have now made contact with him. 26 27 PRESIDING JUDGE: All right, thank you. We'll go straight 28 to the agenda on the last page of the motion. What is the status 29 or availability of Defence witnesses for the remainder of this

1 trial session?

2 MR GRAHAM: Good afternoons, Your Honours. In respect of 3 the availability of witnesses for this trial session, we 4 respectfully submit that beyond the witness that my learned 5 friend Mr Manly-Spain referred to, we do not presently have any witnesses with WVS who are available to testify any time this 6 7 week, and we believe some time next week. Your Honours, I might 8 say this has been occasioned by a number of reasons. Firstly, 9 the unexpected pace at which, I believe, the trial has taken 10 place and also secondly, our own projection that the witnesses 11 totalling -- I think our witnesses from both Bombali and 12 Koinadugu District overall was almost about 40 witnesses, 13 Unfortunately, we have not been able to get all of them overall. in this trial session for a number of reasons, some of them 14 15 family reasons, some of them for reasons of farming and a host of 16 other issues, and that explains -- in doing our projections, we 17 did also fairly look at the time that the number of witnesses 18 that the Prosecution also called during the first eight weeks of 19 their case, just by way of our own -- what we believe were fair 20 underlying projections that would guide us in terms of the number 21 of witnesses we would call this session. I believe we've called 22 26 witnesses -- I stand to be corrected -- excluding the first 23 accused, Mr Tamba Brima. The Prosecution, I believe, during the 24 first eight weeks of their case had called in 18 witnesses. That 25 is not to say we are justifying the unavailability of witnesses presently, but just for your information to know some of the 26 27 guidelines we looked into in trying to arrange the number of 28 witnesses for this session. Having said that, we are still 29 working in the sense that we hoped that after the witnesses from

1 Koinadugu, the next line of witnesses would be coming in from the 2 Kono District. I mean, we've been working on that concurrently 3 whilst also trying to get the witnesses from Bombali and 4 Koinadugu, also to come here and testify. It has not been a bit 5 easy. Your Honours, for example, some of the witnesses from Koinadugu who were here for confirmation and verification of 6 their statements ended up testifying, because of some of the 7 8 constraints that we were facing, some of them had reason to 9 complain, but we explained to them the circumstances and also the 10 need for us to also fairly meet what we believe are the genuine expectations of the Court so far as the trial timetable is 11 12 concerned and the calling in of witnesses. We are not sitting 13 We are working very hard. Indeed, our teams are moving down. 14 out. On Friday, three teams --15 PRESIDING JUDGE: But why Friday? It is only Tuesday, you 16 have run out of witnesses and you're waiting until Friday. 17 MR GRAHAM: Your Honours, we do not anticipate that the 18 witnesses from Kono will be able to testify this session. 19 PRESIDING JUDGE: Why do they have to be from Kono. Don't

20 you have any witnesses in Freetown.

21 MR GRAHAM: Your Honours, we do have witnesses in Freetown. 22 Indeed, we have worked a lot on the witnesses in Freetown. 23 Except with Freetown the issue has been the witnesses also 24 wanting to meet with the accused persons. We've got the policy 25 guidelines from the Registrar, and we've made some headway in 26 terms of trying to arrange for some of these witnesses to meet 27 some of the accused persons this past week. We do not know what 28 the schedule will be for next week, but we are not absolutely 29 ruling out the possibility that we may hopefully also get some

witnesses from Freetown, but it is difficult to say that with a high level of certitude because of the fact that we have been at it and it has not been easy at all. Your Honours, we are saying Friday, also, because of the logistics involved in arranging for transportation. You normally would need two days' notice to be able to do that. Of course, Your Honour, we are sending them to Kono --

JUDGE DOHERTY: To be able to do what, Mr Graham? To do
what? To be able to do what? You said to be able to do that.
What are you talking about?

11 MR GRAHAM: To be able to get -- we need to give two days'
12 notice to transportation.

JUDGE DOHERTY: But last Thursday you told us a similar story. Why did you not give the two days' notice last Thursday. MR GRAHAM: Your Honours, as I'm saying, the witnesses coming in from Kono, they are not ready to testify simply because we need to confirm and verify most of the statements that we have taken from them. That is the main problem with the witnesses from Kono.

20 JUDGE SEBUTINDE: Mr Graham, on a number of occasions, 21 various Defence counsel have expressed logistical difficulties 22 that you are experiencing accessing your witnesses upcountry, problems which we think, really, do not fall within the province 23 24 of the Bench, but, rather, fall within the province either of the 25 Defence office or directly with the Registrar to address. You, nonetheless have addressed them to us on a number of occasions, 26 27 as your reasons for not being able to proceed as quickly as you 28 would like to. However, we are just wondering, from -- if you 29 look at the summaries from witness 39 up right to witness 49, all

these are apparently witnesses not from upcountry. They are from Freetown and Western Area. Now, without appearing to tell you how to conduct your case, we're just wondering the logic of you complaining that you have difficulty to access upcountry witnesses and not displaying the fact that you are trying to access these ones that are in Freetown. We don't understand that.

8 MR GRAHAM: Your Honours, I'm entirely in line with what 9 you are saying. Indeed, we have spent a great part of the time 10 whilst this trial has been going on working on witnesses in 11 Freetown. Your Honours, I've said, it is a unique and difficult 12 problem with witnesses in Freetown, because that should have been 13 our natural order of choice in circumstances.

JUDGE SEBUTINDE: And I have not understood the difficulty with the Freetown witnesses. What is the difficulty, exactly? MR GRAHAM: Your Honours, I said earlier that our key witnesses in Freetown who we are ready to -- we hoped were ready to testify suddenly have requested that they meet with accused persons before they come into Court to come and testify.

20 JUDGE SEBUTINDE: And what is difficult about that? 21 MR GRAHAM: Your Honours, I must inform you that, of 22 course, the Registrar has policy guidelines which regulates the 23 visits of protected witnesses and accused persons. It allows 24 only one visit at a time. They're visiting hours are also 25 restricted from 2.00 to 4.30. I'm sure these are some of the operational constraints in terms of how many can see them for 26 27 them to have enough time to be able to discuss and talk about 28 what they need to do for them --

29 JUDGE SEBUTINDE: Surely, since the Defence opened its

case, Mr Graham, you want us to believe that you have not had
time for these Freetown witnesses to speak to their colleagues,
the accused persons, until now?

MR GRAHAM: Your Honours, I -- for the sake of I mean, emphasis, I'm saying that these are some of the problems, Your Honours. I, with great respect, do not know how else I can put it clearer than to say that these are just the difficulties of the witnesses. You talk to them, they make commitments, you make arrangements, and then you go in and then it is a different story altogether.

PRESIDING JUDGE: I still can't understand, though, these Freetown witnesses, they have the opportunity to speak to the accused counsel. I would presume that their evidence would be unwavering, whether they spoke to the accused or not. Are you saying their final evidence cannot be assessed until they get their story straight with the accused?

17 MR GRAHAM: Your Honours, some of the Freetown witnesses, 18 indeed, who are in here since we put their names here have come 19 again to tell us they are not going to be able to testify. I 20 mean, we are trying to reconfirm some of these new developments 21 which happened just last week, and that relates entirely to some 22 of the Freetown witnesses whom we have in the first 49 summaries that we submitted to this Court, and that was en masse. Indeed, 23 24 prior to coming here, lead counsel for Mr Kamara, and myself, 25 were on our way to Waterloo to go and try and address some of the new developments relating to these witnesses. 26

27 PRESIDING JUDGE: I know you filed a list, but without my
28 having to refer to it, how many witnesses from Freetown had you
29 contemplated calling?

OPEN SESSION

MR GRAHAM: Oh, Your Honours, we did not contemplate
 probably calling more than ten witnesses from Freetown, if I'm
 being conservative.

PRESIDING JUDGE: What if we adjourn these hearings until
Thursday morning? How many of those Freetown witnesses could you
have ready to give evidence?

MR GRAHAM: Your Honours, with great respect, as I said, 7 8 our core witnesses in Freetown, indeed, had a group meeting 9 yesterday and for whatever reason informed us that they were no 10 longer willing to testify. The fall-out after our contact with 11 them to find out what their reason was the request that they need 12 to talk to the accused persons. That is the difficulty we face. 13 PRESIDING JUDGE: What is the reason they gave for wanting to talk to the accused persons before they could give any 14 15 evi dence?

16 MR GRAHAM: Well, Your Honour, they spoke about their need 17 for them to discuss, if I'm right, their stories, or the account, 18 or their testimony that they are coming to give here in the 19 Court, you know, to -- and that is the difficulty. It has been 20 stressful, but we've kept at it. We feel very uncomfortable 21 being in this situation because not the least, we do respect the 22 expectations of the Court. We've not rested at all in this 23 regard.

PRESIDING JUDGE: All right. You mean to say you have ten witnesses from Freetown, and if we give you a day to approach them, not one of them would be ready by Thursday morning? We just want to see where this trial is going, Mr Graham. Is that what you're saying?

29 MR GRAHAM: Your Honours, we could well make another good

SCSL - TRIAL CHAMBER II

Page 8

1 faith effort. We have no objections whatsoever in making a good 2 faith effort after these proceedings and then report back to the 3 Court in respect of that. Indeed, we've been working on that. PRESIDING JUDGE: We're not interested in any more reports, 4 5 just some witnesses. We're interested in some Defence witnesses. We think you've had ample time and we're not happy with the way 6 7 you've used that time. Now, put aside Freetown. Look at all the 8 other witnesses yet to go. If we gave you a day off tomorrow to 9 go and get somebody, could you have some witnesses ready by Thursday morning? 10

11 MR GRAHAM: Your Honours, in the circumstances, I must 12 respectfully say that it would be difficult for me standing here 13 to just make that projection without seeking a further 14 consultation with our legal assistants and investigators who, as 15 we speak, are also addressing this very problem that we stand 16 here before you.

PRESIDING JUDGE: You see, it is very wrong of the Defence to say, "Well, we have called 26 witnesses," and then think that you can sit back on your laurels and not bother calling any more until the next trial session. That's not how to run a trial. MR GRAHAM: Indeed, not at all. Your Honours, with great

respect, we have never perceived the circumstances in that way atall. We haven't at all.

JUDGE SEBUTINDE: Then, Mr Graham, give us your game plan. When do we see the next Defence witness in Court?

26 MR GRAHAM: Well, Your Honours, as I said, we are getting 27 our teams out. We would move on to Freetown, you know, to see 28 what we could hopefully --

29 JUDGE SEBUTINDE: Mr Graham, I'm not asking for logistics

BRIMA ET AL 25 JULY 2006

1 and schedules. When do we see the next Defence witness in Court? 2 The next group of Defence witnesses in Court? 3 MR GRAHAM: If I may confer with my learned friends, with 4 your kind indulgence? [Defence counsel conferred] 5 MR GRAHAM: Thank you, Your Honours. Your Honours, in 6 consultation with my learned friends, I am being advised that, I 7 8 believe, hopefully, on Monday we would endeavour, in the 9 circumstances, to get some witnesses before the Honourable Trial Chamber in order to facilitate further proceedings. 10 11 PRESIDING JUDGE: Why could those efforts not have been 12 made earlier this week, or over the weekend? 13 MR GRAHAM: Your Honour, we have been making an effort on a daily basis. We have been making the effort on a daily, daily 14 15 basis. We have not rested at all. I say that with utmost 16 respect. We have not, Your Honours. We have been entirely aware 17 of that and for some time we've seen it coming and to that 18 extent, we have not rested at all. We have not rested at all. 19 We do not cherish the present circumstances in which we find 20 ourselves in. 21 PRESIDING JUDGE: Well, where do you propose these 22 witnesses to come from on Monday? 23 MR GRAHAM: Your Honours, we would get a combination, 24 hopefully, from both Kono and Freetown. We also have one or two 25 witnesses that were also part of the Bombali list who, for some reasons, didn't show up earlier on. That we have also made 26 27 contact with. I'm hopeful by the end of the day today, we also 28 would have gained some grounds in that respect so we would also 29 be able to add them on to the list of Freetown and Kono, if any.

PRESIDING JUDGE: So if we adjourn this status conference
 until tomorrow morning, you might have some more positive results
 for us?

4

MR GRAHAM: That is so, Your Honour.

5 JUDGE DOHERTY: Can I ask, Mr Graham, when the Defence 6 opened its case on 5 June, Mr Knoops indicated that he was 7 arranging and inquiring into expert witnesses. Your brief refers 8 to two, possibly three expert witnesses. We have seen no expert 9 reports and what is the status of experts?

10 MR GRAHAM: Your Honours, indeed, you're right. We slated 11 to call in, I think, expert witnesses on, I think, forced 12 marriages. I think one was also going to deal with the military issues relating to the AFRC, and I think we are also 13 getting in -- but I think it has been very difficult. I think 14 15 with the military expert has been retained -- he is almost 16 completing his report. We have not moved very far in respect of 17 the expert witness for --

JUDGE DOHERTY: I also recall a reference to an expert onchild soldiers. Again, that was back in June, if not in April.

20 MR GRAHAM: Yes, Your Honours, Professor Knoops has made a 21 number of contacts and he has conducted a number of interviews in 22 Europe. In respect of child soldiers and forced marriages it has 23 been very difficult -- I understand we have got an expert for the 24 child soldiers, but I think I will let my learned friend 25 Ms Thompson probably explain the issues of the expert witnesses. MS THOMPSON: Your Honour, the military expert is on board. 26 27 The child soldier expert is on board. Forced marriage is not 28 quite on board yet. But, Your Honours, when these experts are 29 identified and they agree to coming on board, there is a process

1 to go through with the Defence office in terms of the contracts they get, how long they're engaged. And that process takes a bit 2 3 Then, obviously, the remuneration has to be decided by of time. 4 the Defence office and all of that. That process takes some That, in fact, was -- there's usually some delay involved 5 time. before they actually start working. I know that the military 6 expert's report is coming to an end now. That report should be 7 8 available pretty shortly. I can't give you an exact time period, 9 but I have seen a draft. I know that the final report would be 10 available some time pretty soon. The first -- sorry, the child 11 soldiers, like I said, he's on board. He's started working, and 12 he'll get a report by the deadline, which is 21st August. Forced marriages, that's a hard one, because we've had -- we've spoken 13 to lots of people. Professor Knoops has -- he's referred people 14 15 to us. We kind of have somebody on board, but I can't actually 16 say with any certainty whether that person will be giving 17 evidence or not. Then there is another one, the demographer who 18 has been identified and the contract will be prepared shortly. 19 That demographer will be on board. Having said all that, 20 Your Honour, and given what Mr Graham has said, it is our 21 considered view that this, at least Defence case should not take 22 longer than October.

PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you, Ms Thompson. I'm going to
move on to the next agenda item. I am not sure if the
Prosecution wants to reserve its comments until the end of the
agenda or item by item. It doesn't matter.

27 MR AGHA: Which do you prefer, Your Honour, because we may 28 be asking for orders, so perhaps at the end of each item that may 29 be addressed and then finalised.

Page 13

PRESIDING JUDGE: All right. The first item you have
 there, did you have any submissions?

3 The first point we actually noted is that the MR AGHA: 4 Prosecution wasn't comfortable with this idea of the group of 5 Freetown witnesses sort of meeting together as some kind of group and agreeing in a group fashion to perhaps meet the accused, 6 because we wouldn't like to think that they're being addressed as 7 8 to what kind of evidence they should give, especially as the 9 number of the witnesses 32 to 49 are, in fact, former SLA or 10 serving SLA soldiers. Just by way of an observation, that in 11 itself concerns the Prosecution.

PRESIDING JUDGE: I'm not sure what you can do about that,
it is the Defence case, but it is certainly good

14 cross-examination material, isn't it?

15 MR AGHA: It could be useful, Your Honour. But the other 16 point, before coming to the submission, and I think I need to 17 make it now, I'm not sure even if the Defence will be able to 18 bring in witnesses 32 to 49, that would necessarily solve the 19 problem on Monday, let us say, or even Thursday. The reason 20 being is that these witnesses were part of the rolling disclosure 21 which have only very recently been disclosed to us. Now, we've 22 pressed on and done our best for the Koinadugu witnesses when I 23 mentioned we only had eight days to investigate. Now, witnesses 24 32 to 49 are more insider-type witnesses in which we would 25 certainly find it difficult, I suspect, to stand up on our feet 26 and immediately start cross-examining them. So with regard to 27 those witnesses, that may not in itself be a solution if they are brought in, since we've had so little notice of them. 28 29 PRESIDING JUDGE: Look, we want to keep this trial going

OPEN SESSION

rather than sitting in Chambers twiddling our thumbs and
 wondering when the next lot of witnesses are going to be ready.
 Now, witnesses 32 to 49, if they are ready to come to court, they
 can come and give evidence. If you feel, after their
 evidence-in-chief, that you're disadvantaged, then we can make
 appropriate orders.

MR AGHA: Yes, Your Honour, indeed. Coming back to this 7 8 concept of witnesses, say, not being here, the Prosecution feels 9 that the Defence has given sufficient time and has not given 10 sufficient reasons as to why witnesses are not available in a 11 timely fashion. The first accused gave evidence for roughly five 12 weeks, about a week of which encompassed an adjournment whilst 13 the Prosecution prepared for cross-examination, based on new evidence arising. In large part, the first accused was led by 14 15 one Defence counsel. The Prosecution submits the other Defence 16 counsel and their team should have utilised this five-week period 17 to select and prepare the other witnesses on its list. The 18 Prosecution considers the fact that first accused went on longer rather than shorter is actually of benefit to the Defence rather 19 20 than a burden to them.

21 Now, we would actually be looking for a particular order 22 today based on the fact that these witnesses ought to have come one after the other. Now, on 10 May 2006, the Defence disclosed 23 24 its first 49 witnesses and summaries in order of call. Now. 25 apart from the first accused, so far 27 witnesses have given evidence and three dropped, so that's about 30. This leaves a 26 27 total of about 19 remaining witnesses out of the first 49 which 28 were given. The Defence disclosed these witnesses of 49 over two 29 and a half months ago. In fact, on 9 May, which is also nearly

1 two and a half months ago, the Defence itself filed a motion 2 seeking further time to file its final witness list whilst 3 anticipating the necessity of issuing subpoenas. I have those 4 orders with me. They were not, as I say, by the Prosecution, 5 they were by the Defence. This is, as early as 9 May, the Defence were anticipating problems in calling witnesses. This 6 was a part of their motion in which they asked for further time 7 8 in which to file their final witness list. At paragraph 8 it 9 actually reads, "In this regard, the Defence wishes to indicate 10 that it should be anticipated that several witnesses should be 11 ultimately subject to subpoenas."

12 In conclusion, it also adds, "And the Defence at the same 13 time anticipating the necessity of issuing subpoenas." So it was clearly in the mind of the Defence as of 9 May it may be having 14 15 problems with some of these witnesses. Indeed, when the Prosecution replied, we stressed that this Honourable Court, in 16 17 our reply of 15 May -- and I will just read very briefly: "The 18 Prosecution submits in order to prevent possible delays, the 19 Defence should be ordered to file forthwith any motions for 20 subpoenas and any further motions for subpoenas will only be 21 entertained in the future if the trial is satisfied there are 22 acceptable reasons for delay."

Now, at that time, a reply was again put in by Defence in response to the Prosecution. This is dated 16 May, which is, again, two months ago. It addresses the issue subpoenas. At paragraph 11, it states, "The Defence respectfully submits that it included the names of the witnesses who will be subject to request to subpoena on its witness list of 10 May 2006." Now, the Prosecution didn't actually see which of those

witnesses they anticipated would be subject to subpoena, but,
 certainly, there were certain witnesses already identified in
 that category.

4 It goes on further, "Moreover, a request to subpoena will 5 be based on Rule 54 of the Rules. The Defence is still currently negotiating with the Ministry of Defence and its subordinates to 6 come to an agreement with RSLAF members, former SLA members to 7 8 come forward and testify. The Defence respectfully submits that 9 it will be forced at this stage to bring a motion for subpoena. 10 It might well damage negotiations with the Ministry of Defence 11 and would thus prejudice the affairs of the accused."

12 In paragraph 12, "The Defence thus contends that the 13 Prosecution request in this respect be dismissed. The Defence 14 will continue its current negotiations with the defence ministry 15 and in the case the negotiation will not lead to the ministry to 16 allow its members to testify voluntarily, the Defence will, in 17 due time, file a motion to request the honourable Trial Chamber 18 to subpoena them. This, on the basis of Rule 54 of the Rules 19 and/or any appropriate rule."

20 When this honourable Court made its decision on 17 May 21 regarding this issue of subpoena, it noted that although it may 22 be necessary for the Defence to apply in due course for the issue 23 of subpoenas, no such necessity has been established at this 24 stage.

Now, this was roughly two months ago. The submission of the Prosecution is that, as we have now, in fact, run out of witnesses, a necessity has now been established for the issuing of subpoenas. I believe that we have around 19 witnesses left. Many of them fall into the category of former or serving SLA who,

the Ministry of Defence, ought to have been approached by now.
The Defence has had over two months to contact these witnesses,
some of whom are in Freetown, to confirm whether or not they will
come as witnesses, or whether or not they can be dropped or,
indeed, whether or not, if they refuse to come, a subpoena is
required.

It is the submission of the Prosecution that this 7 8 honourable Court should direct the Defence by a given date, and 9 we would suggest 2 August, or certainly before the recess, either 10 to subpoena any witnesses who it wants to come, or indicate to 11 the Prosecution and the Bench those witnesses who it intends to 12 drop. I make this submission because the issuance of a subpoena is not a rubber stamp issue, as we have seen in the CDF case. 13 That in itself could take some time. 14

The Prosecution would submit that the time has now come for the Defence to be ordered to subpoen those witnesses on its list, which it has had two and a half months to consider, or to drop them. That is the position of the Prosecution regarding upcoming witnesses on the list and believes this would also reinforces Article 17(4)(C) of the statute of the right for the accused to be tried without undue delay.

22 And an additional order which the Prosecution would be seeking would be, if there are no more witnesses heard this 23 24 session, that by 21st August, which is a date on which the final 25 witness list ought to be filed, the first 10 next witnesses in order of call be given to us so that we are in a position to 26 27 adequately prepare for them as soon as the Court starts after the 28 recess. The object of both these orders seeking to keep the 29 proceedings rolling. Those would be the two orders we'll be

I looking for regarding the witnesses coming in the near future,
 Your Honour. Hopefully some may come sooner.

3 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you, Mr Agha. Does anyone on the
4 Defence wish to reply to what Mr Agha has submitted?

5 MR MANLY-SPAIN: With regard to the subpoena issue, I'm 6 still going to apply for subpoenas to be issued and the list is 7 being completed at the moment.

8 MR GRAHAM: Your Honours, if I may just add that the my 9 learned friend from the other side seems to create the impression 10 from the submissions that we've not been doing anything during 11 the first few weeks when this trial started, and I object to that submission, because I believe, with great respect, we've been 12 13 doing all that we could. I mean, my learned friend on the other 14 side could well look at the budgetary provisions for both the 15 Prosecution and the Defence and realise that the Prosecution has 16 over \$100,000, at least for the period 2000 and 2005 just to go 17 out and look for witnesses. For us, the Defence, beyond the 18 funding given to our investigators, they did not get any other 19 funding at all for them to go upcountry. To that extent, once 20 they run out of their allowance, they cannot make any further 21 trips, and these are serious constraints. The playing field is 22 certainly not level. Having said that, with regard to the 23 submission my learned friend made with regard to the witnesses, 24 we are, indeed, already in the process of working to make sure we 25 get our next order of 15 witnesses for the next session, beyond 26 whatever we may have in the event we get any witnesses we may 27 have to bring before the Court this session. So it's something 28 that -- we are definitely working in respect of that and we do not have any objections at all to that submission, because it is 29

29

definitely on our timetable and we intend to give a firm order of
the next 15 witnesses for the next trial session. We are working
on that, and I think that is in good stride.

4 MR FOFANAH: Your Honours, just one point of further 5 clarification. On the point of Mr Brima's testimony, I just wish 6 to restate that Mr Brima was not a common witness, and we had to 7 prepare to cross-examine him. So, using that period to go out 8 for further witnesses would definitely have strained the case for 9 the second and third accused persons. I think that comment was, 10 again, unfair from counsel on the other side.

JUDGE SEBUTINDE: Do we take it, therefore, that the Defence, in principle, has no objection to orders sought by the Prosecution in the terms that they're sought?

MR GRAHAM: Your Honours, I must say, in respect of the 14 15 subpoenas, that is quite -- I must say, we must look at it very 16 carefully, because I do not think it is necessary at this point. 17 These are not witnesses who, I must say, with certitude indicate 18 they wouldn't testify. It is being a game of hot and cold. I'm 19 not saying we have to tolerate that in an open ended manner 20 forever, but I believe we, in the circumstances in which we find 21 ourselves, the constraints, the challenges in having to meet the 22 expectations of the Court, we will do what we have to do. We 23 believe we also support the fact that this trial has to be 24 conducted expeditiously. We would not in any way at a point in 25 time -- if we think that is necessary to facilitate our work, we would not hesitate at all. In the present circumstances, I that 26 think we have to be very careful because we are in the process 27 28 of --

JUDGE SEBUTINDE: Mr Graham, I simply asked a question: Do

1 you accept the application by the Prosecution. Because, you see, 2 Mr Manly-Spain stood up and said, as for the issue of subpoenas, 3 we will definitely subpoena some witnesses. Now, you, on the 4 other hand, are saying that the time hasn't come for that. MR GRAHAM: Yes, Your Honour, thank you. If I may draw a 5 distinction. Yes, we will be making an application for some 6 7 subpoenas in respect of some witnesses, but not in this category 8 of witnesses. Indeed, our subpoenas will be started at mainly 9 serving soldiers who are currently in the SLA. That is the 10 category I believe my learned friend was referring to. But in 11 respect of current witnesses, I humbly submit that we do not 12 think that this time is the most propitious time to do that, 13 because we are in the process of delicate negotiations to get firm commitments from these witnesses to come before this 14 15 honourable Trial Chamber. Thank you, Your Honours.

16 MR AGHA: Your Honour, if I may briefly reply. It was no 17 intention of mine to suggest that the other side were not doing 18 any work or being critical of them in that regard. I'm sure much 19 would depend on resources and the other things available. I 20 would still reply.

21 A list of 49 were provided. Now, if people are not willing 22 to come, then they should be subpoenaed or dropped. I don't see 23 how one can get around providing a list of 49 that should be 24 rolling where most of those witnesses are in the country, and 25 then be told, "Well, we're not quite ready to call them because we're in a delicate position." The fact of the matter is that 26 27 perhaps they shouldn't have been on the first 49 in the witness 28 list in the first place.

29 PRESIDING JUDGE: I think that's a decision that the

1 Defence has now reached a point where it's going to have to make 2 some decisions. We're sensitive about the difficulty in 3 subpoenaing witnesses, and we are aware that some witnesses 4 resent very much being subpoenaed and brought to Court. It's 5 quite likely that they wouldn't be as favourable in their evidence if they're forced to come by order of the Court, but it 6 seems to me, at least, that the time has now arrived where a 7 8 decision will need to be made by the Defence whether to apply for 9 a subpoena or simply, as has been said by Mr Agha, to abandoned 10 the possibility of getting the witness to Court to give 11 favourable evidence. That's something that the Defence will have 12 to consider.

13 It brings us to the second agenda item listed by the 14 Defence, and possibly we've already covered that item. The 15 second agenda item is final witness list ordered to be filed 16 by August 21st, 2006. Is there anything extra the Defence wanted 17 to add?

18 MR DANIELS: Respectfully, our only concern is whether or 19 not the Registry would be open for filing. We believe that will 20 be the vacation period. We don't know if it will have to be 21 amended to the first day the Registry is back in session.

22 PRESIDING JUDGE: I think that is the first day,

23 Mr Daniels.

24 MR DANIELS: I stand corrected.

25 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Legal Officer, what's the first day 26 the Registry is open, the official leave period expires on 27 18th August, doesn't it? Yes, that's right, Mr Daniels. The 28 official Court recess ends on August 18th, which is a Friday. 29 Then the Registry is open again for business on the 21st.

1

MR DANIELS: That is in order.

2 PRESIDING JUDGE: There are no other matters concerning that second agenda item, I take it? You've already mentioned the 3 4 list. I take it you don't have any further submissions? No, Your Honour, as long as it remains. 5 MR AGHA: PRESIDING JUDGE: The third item, I'm greatly hesitant to 6 read out because I've heard enough about it already, but the 7 8 third item is incomplete state of Defence investigations. 9 Anything that can be possibly added to that from the Defence? 10 MR GRAHAM: No, Your Honours. With great respect, I'm not 11 going to belabour that point. Indeed, it is probably from the 12 wording, but we probably, just by way of information on the way 13 forward, that we are going full steam. We believe that we would utilise very well the upcoming break and hopefully then file 14 15 our August 21st final order of witnesses. It was more just by way of information rather than to go into a complaint of exactly 16 17 what our status is, but we are hopeful we will do a lot of work 18 this four weeks and get ourselves out of this present situation. 19 PRESIDING JUDGE: The last item is item D, and that is 20 Defence issues arising out of Article 17 of the statute. What 21 are those issues? 22 MR GRAHAM: Your Honour, the issues, I believe, that has

also been taken care of within the milieu of submissions that we
have made today.

PRESIDING JUDGE: I'll consult with my colleagues, but it seems to me, in view of what the Defence, in particular Mr Graham, has said, that the situation regarding witnesses might be clarified to some extent were we to adjourn now and reconvene tomorrow morning.

1 [Trial Chamber conferred] 2 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Graham, you will recall you said 3 earlier on you may have more news for us tomorrow morning. We're going to adjourn until 9.15 in the morning. Possibly the 4 5 immediate situation of calling witnesses prior to the recess will be made more clear by then. As I say, you have 10 witnesses in 6 7 Freetown. I would look into the possibility of getting them or 8 witnesses from the nearest district, and if the Prosecution is 9 in any way prejudiced by not having enough notice, we can still 10 hear the evidence-in-chief of those witnesses. So, please look into the situation and be able to tell us tomorrow morning. 11 12 We'll adjourn until 9.15. 13 [Whereupon the Status Conference adjourned at 14 3.02 p.m., to be reconvened on Wednesday, the 15 26th day of July 2006, at 9.15 a.m.] 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29