

Case No. SCSL-2004-16-T THE PROSECUTOR OF THE SPECIAL COURT V. ALEX TAMBA BRIMA BRIMA BAZZY KAMARA SANTIGIE BORBOR KANU

TUESDAY, 26 APRIL 2005 9.23 A.M. TRIAL

TRIAL CHAMBER II

Mr Matthias Reuss

Ms Maureen Edmonds

Ms Lesley Taylor Ms Melissa Pack

Before the Judges:

Teresa Doherty, Presiding Julia Sebutinde Richard Lussick

For Chambers:

For the Registry:

For the Prosecution:

For the Principal Defender:

Ms Haddijatou Kah-Jallow

For the accused Alex Tamba Brima:

For the accused Brima Bazzy Kamara:

Mr Kevin Metzger

Ms Claire Carlton-Hanciles

Ms Maja Dimitrova (Case Manager)

Ms Glenna Thompson Mr Kojo Graham

ima Bazzy Mr Wilbert Harris

For the accused Santigie Borbor Ms Karlijn van der Voort Kanu:

1 [TB260405A-JM] Tuesday, 26 April 2005 2 [Open session] 3 [The accused entered court] 4 [Upon commencing at 9.23 a.m.] 09:23:41 5 PRESI DI NG JUDGE: Good morning. I realise we were to hand 6 7 down two decisions this morning, and I'm sure you're all waiting 8 in eager anticipation. We have them drafted but not quite 9 finalised. We will complete them as soon as is practicable. Ιn the meantime, we are not able to hand them down this morning. 09:24:13 10 We'll defer. 11 12 We will proceed on with evidence. Ms Taylor, prior to 13 adjournment, you indicate you had a witness ready to proceed. MS TAYLOR: Yes, Your Honours. That witness is TF1-272. 14 This is the witness who is an international witness who we seek 09:24:29 15 to interpose. Ms Pack will lead that witness in evidence, and 16 17 she has an application to make in respect of that witness. 18 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you. 19 MR METZGER: May it please Your Honours and the Court, 09:24:50 20 there are some matters of great concern affecting the Defence that we would like to bring to the Court's attention at this 21 22 point in time, with, of course, the Trial Chamber's leave before the next witness is called. And I can start by saying that this 23 24 has, in fact, nothing to do with the matters that we have raised 09:25:21 25 and upon which we are expecting a decision. The best way that we can put these concerns forward is 26 27 perhaps by predicating what I have to say by this: Those who 28 instruct us, that is to say, the lay clients, are very concerned 29 about events which have occurred over the weekend. When we had a

OPEN SESSION

1 situation that we were concerned about, namely, on the 9th of 2 March 2005, we adopted the formal procedure of informing the 3 Principal Defender and making a formal complaint to the 4 Registrar, the Registry, about what we considered at the time 09:26:21 appeared to be an unwarranted assault on our then now-suspended 5 investigator Mr Brima Samura. I needn't remind this Trial 6 Chamber what happened when we came to Court the following day. 7 8 We have been in the process with the resources we have 9 available and our international investigator as it were spearheading the collection of evidence or the identification of 09:26:48 10

11 potential witnesses for the Defence in the interim to the best of 12 our abilities.

On Saturday, the 23rd of April, someone who we have a 13 statement from now, but I don't propose to name in open court 14 09:27:21 15 because in due course we may have to apply for protected status 16 for that particular person, someone who had had to give his name, 17 as I understand it, and details when he attended the premises of the Special Court and, indeed, may well have been a number of 18 19 persons who took the opportunity of visiting detainees, was 09:27:51 20 arrested by military police at 5.00 in the morning. He was kept 21 for some five hours, having been taken as we understand it to 22 Wilberforce barracks. His premises were searched. That, as this 23 Trial Chamber would understand, caused those of us who are 24 representing these detainees some very grave concerns. 09:28:20 25 Accordingly, once we had that information on Saturday, we had to decide what we were going to do about it. 26 27 Having obtained a statement from this individual, we

28 decided that we would adopt our usual stance, which is to make a 29 formal inquiry about what had happened, and to see whether we

OPEN SESSION

could be assisted in carrying out our duties using our best
 endeavours to ensure fairness in these proceedings and to
 defend -- represent our clients as best we could. So we were
 considering writing the necessary letters to the relevant organs
 09:29:13 5 of this Court.

There was, however, an outside dimension, and that is this: 6 7 Our information is that the military police attended upon this 8 potential witness's address having been informed - we were 9 told - by State House that they ought so to do. It appears to be the case that military police were apparently looking for 09:29:47 10 11 ammunition or military uniforms. That appears to be at the 12 moment the reason that was given. This Trial Chamber will understand that in the circumstances of this case, it causes us 13 very grave concern. But the matter doesn't end there. 14

09:30:17 15 On Sunday, the 24th of April, a clerk to one of our local 16 counsel suffered the same fate, except to say, in fairness, the 17 information we have at present is that he was not arrested, but 18 he was not free to go anywhere during the time that the military 19 police were at his premises to the extent that when he needed to 09:30:45 20 go to the convenience, he had to be accompanied. Again, premises 21 were searched. This morning, we have not seen Mr Manley-Spaine. 22 We tried contacting him yesterday. I am sure that he has not found himself in this position, but we are very concerned indeed 23 24 because we are simply speaking -- seeking to assist the course of 09:31:14 25 justice. And counsel themselves are concerned, let alone our lay clients who fear very greatly that they are not in a position 26 27 where they're having a fair trial; and further and above that -28 well, perhaps all-inclusive - that there appear to be outside 29 agencies that are involved - and I use that term advisedly - with

SCSL - TRIAL CHAMBER II

OPEN SESSION

1 the collection of evidence for the Defence in this case.

I raise those matters, I hope, as fairly and as bluntly as
I can, and I know that in due course my learned friend Mr Harris
has a few words to add on this matter. We are not at the moment
fully in a position where we are asking for this Court to do
anything particular. Because in our respective but humble
experiences, this is something that really does cause us very,
very grave concern.

9 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Metzger, before you sit down, there's 09:32:52 10 two matters I wish to clarify.

11 MR METZGER: Yes.

12 PRESIDING JUDGE: Have you anything within your immediate 13 knowledge that indicates that the actions on the part of the 14 military police are related to the trial? That's number one. 15 And I'm concerned to hear the words coming from you that the 16 accused feel they are not having a fair trial. In what way do 17 they feel they are not having a fair trial?

Your Honour, if I can put it in this way: 18 MR METZGER: lf 19 they believe that in amassing evidence on their behalf, there is 09:33:30 20 interference, and interference from the State, which I accept at 21 this point in time is really in terms of a general allegation 22 based on the rather serious circumstances that we have sought to put before you. But it is clear in our respectful submission 23 24 that we have been interviewing potential witnesses.

1 can say, for example, on the 15th of March of last year
when we didn't sit, all lead counsel, a representative of the
Principal Defender's office went to barracks at Makeni to speak
to military personnel, largely speaking, officers, because it was
our view that we were likely to get evidence from people who may

SCSL - TRIAL CHAMBER II

OPEN SESSION

1 have known our lay clients served perhaps in the same platoons or 2 teams as they had. And obviously, it has been our intention, 3 bearing in mind that we have sought through, I believe it was 4 Trial Chamber I by the Knoops' team, cooperation from the 09:35:09 Ministry of Defence and other organisations in order to access 5 records and records that might indicate postings, for example, 6 where our clients may have been. It was our hope to be able, in 7 8 relation to the alibi portion of the -- the alibi defence that we 9 propose to put forward to be able to call evidence in support of that. And it seemed to us that a good way of doing that would be 09:35:31 10 11 to get serving soldiers who may have been present at the time. 12 So it has been very clear that we were reaching out, perhaps for the first time - again because we have not 13 necessarily had the logistical advantages that have been 14 09:36:01 15 available to others - and that has resulted in an increased amount, we would say, of people in the armed forces contacting 16 17 our teams and coming forward so that witness statements could be 18 taken.

We cannot say clearly for certain that these matters are
connected. But the level of coincidence in our collective
experience is so high as to raise more than mere suspicion that
someone, somewhere or a body of people, for whatever reasons, are
trying to rattle persons who may want to offer themselves as
witnesses in this case.

09:36:4725Might I also add that that is compounded or that compounds26the outstanding issue in relation to the investigators and wives,27and that was why I predicated what I had to say, Your Honours, by28the fact that we made a complaint on the 9th of March. And on29the 10th of March, everything, including our complaint that had

OPEN SESSION

1 gone to the Principal Defender's office and then been sent out to 2 the Registry, was in the hands of the Prosecution. We had not 3 even received a reply to our complaint. It is those matters that 4 we understand has affected the perception of our lay clients so 09:37:42 significantly. And perhaps in all the circumstances of the case, 5 one may place one's self, if one can, in their position, 6 7 understandably so. I don't know if I can assist the Trial 8 Chamber in any other material particular.

9 JUDGE LUSSICK: Yes, Mr Metzger. Certainly what you're saying has the potential for very serious consequences. But can 09:38:05 10 11 I take it at the moment that you and the Defence team are 12 continuing your inquiries and that you're not asking for any 13 particular order at the moment, apart from the fact that we ought to note our concern. But that depending what you do learn, you 14 perhaps might be making a formal application at some stage? 09:38:34 15 MR METZGER: We are, of course, inviting the Trial Chamber, 16 17 if it feels so able, to note its concern. But from my point of 18 view, we're asking for a little bit more than that at the moment. 19 Fearless though we may be, and investigations continue though 09:39:02 20 they may be, as I have already indicated, we note the absence of 21 one of our number. We are very concerned and would like to know 22 if there has been an escalation of events because we are now 23 aware of things that happened on Saturday and Sunday. We don't 24 know yet what has happened, and we would feel uneasy at this 09:39:27 25 point in time to continue straight into, as it were, the continuation of a trial because -- how can I put it best? 26 Certainly speaking for myself, I cannot say that I am 27 sufficiently calm and in a state of mind to continue at this 28 29 particular point in time. And certainly, we hope that this

SCSL - TRIAL CHAMBER II

OPEN SESSION

1 morning we will be able to contact the relevant parties, those 2 that we are still waiting to hear from, to hear what has happened 3 since and that may allay, to some small extent, the outstanding 4 fears or concerns, I should proper properly say, that we have. 09:40:27 [Trial Chamber confers] 5 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Metzger, before I invite the 6 7 Prosecution to comment on what you've said, if they can, we would seek to clarify what redress, if any, you're seeking from the 8 9 Court at this particular minute. MR METZGER: I think Mr Harris would like to --09:42:33 10 PRESIDING JUDGE: You have indicated that. We have not 11 12 lost sight of that. MR METZGER: Yes. 13 MR HARRIS: May it please you. The order the Defence would 14 refer you to is Rule 54 of the Rules, and it says this -- it's 09:42:52 15 very short, so I'll read it. It says: "At the request of either 16 17 party or on its motion, a Judge or a Trial Chamber may issue such orders, summonses, subpoenas, warrants, and transfer orders as 18 19 may be necessary for the purpose of an investigation or the preparation or conduct of the trial." The words "or conduct of 09:43:18 20 21 the trial," of course, is relevant to the parties which have been 22 rai sed. Your Honour, there are -- these are very troubling moments, 23 24 if I may say so. I'm rather disturbed by the absence of my 09:43:44 25 learned friend who I've sought to find since yesterday. In view of the difficulties which have arisen over the weekend, we are 26 not entirely sure how his interests and that of his client will 27 28 be properly protected today. I know that the -- there 29 was -- there is a member of their team present. But if I may say

SCSL - TRIAL CHAMBER II

1 so, she has no further information than we have. That concerns 2 me a great deal.

3 The next matter to which I simply wish to refer at this 4 very troubled moment in our very short life, of course, is the 09:44:48 matter which I have referred you to on the last occasion when we 5 last met. And I was quite properly, if I may say so -- may I 6 begin by saying that I was -- there was an inquiry whether I have 7 any sufficient respect for the Tribunal. May I just say that my 8 9 respect for this Tribunal is absolute and sincere.

And the Trial Judge quite properly asked me about a motion. 09:45:22 10 11 Indeed, we have a filed a motion about inviting you to review the 12 position, and that is dated the 11th of March of 2005, a copy of which I indeed have in my bundle. And the final paragraph of 13 that motion does, in fact, invite you to reverse the decision 14 09:45:54 15 which you have made pursuant to Rule 77(C)(iii). ^

There is one other matter which has raised its head since 16 17 yesterday, and both teams have been trying to grapple with it 18 since yesterday with great urgency. And in fact, until rather 19 late in the evening yesterday, we were still attempting to take 09:46:30 20 charge of it. I am not certain whether we have. But I shall 21 just read you the substance of a document which I have been 22 instructed by those we represent to read to you. In fact, it is -- it says this, dated the 24th of April 2005. And it is to 23 24 the Defence counsel, the AFRC.

09:47:03 25 And it says: "Dear Sir or Madam," this letter - in fact, it's directed to the Court - this letter is not directed to be 26 disrespectful to the Court or the Judges. "We have been detained 27 28 unlawfully since the 10th day of March, the 29th day of May, and 29 the 17th day of September 2003 respectively and on trial starting

SCSL - TRIAL CHAMBER II

OPEN SESSION

1 the 7th day of March 2005. To help us to go through the long 2 trial and being locked up is the presence of families in jail and 3 In March 2005, the Court made a decision to deny us at court. 4 our basic human rights and/or constitutional rights to see our 09:48:12 families and to have an investigator to help us build our 5 We have tried to deal with the decision of the Court in defence. 6 our own ways. We have reached a breaking point and cannot 7 8 continue any more with all our human rights being taken from us.

9 "We do see how we could continue without the love of our families [sic]. We would rather not come and suffer twice over 09:48:50 10 by" -- I begin again. "We would rather not come and suffer twice 11 12 over by not seeing our families when we are in court with the pressures that all that brings. We have spoken to our families 13 and told them we cannot go on any longer. It is because of that 14 09:49:26 15 that we are refusing to attend the court. Yours without bitterness," and it is signed by the three defendants. And it is 16 17 copied to the Trial Judge, the Registrar, the Principal Defender, 18 the detainee families; filed.

19 May I say we grappled with the news yesterday, and the 09:49:56 20 defendants are here today. May I equally say that the developments of the recent past was explained to them in detail. 21 22 They knew something of what had gone on on the weekend, and that 23 had a sobering effect on the one hand, but a rather disturbing 24 effect on the other upon them. Their body language to me, 09:50:32 25 speaking simply as an observer, seems to suggest that they would succumb to the advice given by those who represent them today to 26 27 attend. I fear that I cannot hold out any great hope of not 28 having to return to the contents of the final paragraph of this 29 letter.

SCSL - TRIAL CHAMBER II

OPEN SESSION

1 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Harris, you made certain comments, 2 submissions on Friday. And as a result of that, I made certain 3 inquiries. And I have been informed that there have been no 4 curtailment on the visits of the families of the three accused, 09:51:25 and those visits and the rights of visitation within the rules of 5 the detention have been exercised regularly. However, in the 6 light of what you said, I will now direct those in charge of the 7 detention centre to furnish this Chamber with a list of those who 8 9 have visited the three accused. I say that perhaps prematurely having been unable to consult with my learned sister and brother, 09:51:49 10 11 but I am concerned. There appears to be a difference of opinion. 12 MR HARRIS: Your Honour, I think the substance of their 13 complaint is not the visits or the opportunity to visit by the families at the detention facility. The force of their 14 complaint, as I understand it, is their absence when they look 09:52:08 15 16 behind me. That brings us back, I hope, to the observation which 17 was so ably made on the last occasion about whether we lodged a 18 motion for you to return to the interim order you made of March. 19 [Trial Chamber confers] 09:52:55 20 JUDGE SEBUTINDE: Mr Harris, I've listened to both Defence counsel carefully, and I've tried to see if there is a nexus 21 22 between the events that Mr Metzger described having transpired yesterday and the day before and the proceedings and conduct of 23 24 this trial. I still haven't seen it, and I'm hoping that between 09:53:17 25 yourselves you will help this Trial Chamber to establish such a nexus, if indeed there is one. That is one question. 26 The other question is would you, Mr Harris, be in a 27 28 position to enlighten the Chamber as to the reasons for the absence of Mr Manley-Spaine? Because if you're not able to do 29

OPEN SESSION

1 that, because I think we will have to call on the Defence office, 2 if they're able to, to address the Chamber if they're aware of 3 the reasons for Mr Manley-Spaine's absence. Because this Chamber 4 is not prepared to speculate or to join anyone in speculation. 09:54:02 But what we would really find helpful is facts given to us. So 5 if you could maybe restrict your submissions to the Chamber on 6 the question I've asked previously, namely, the nexus between the 7 two incidents described by Mr Metzger earlier and the proceedings 8 9 and trial in this Court.

09:54:2810MR HARRIS:I think so far as Manley-Spaine is concerned,11my learned friend Mr Metzger would return to it.May I deal with12the first question you asked.

13 The potential witness who, in fact, has made a statement to 14 us was a soldier, no longer soldier some time now. He was 09:55:02 15 approached to make a statement. He visited the facility.

16 Thereafter --

17 JUDGE SEBUTINDE: What facility?

18 MR HARRIS: He visited the Special Court in that he went to 19 the prison to see and intended to see the detainees or defendants 09:55:29 20 in the case. Thereafter, he was visited by the military police 21 at 5.00 a.m. in the morning. It beggars belief that there is no 22 nexus between his presence here and our contact with them and 23 their visit. It is right to say that the substance of his 24 statement amounts to, and I only refer to one part of it, there 09:55:57 25 was reference to him and his contact with the defendants and the reasons why that was necessary in his case. As I understand it, 26 27 he declined to give any information as to the reasons why. But 28 his detention of up to five hours and then his release, there 29 could be no other safe conclusion than it is linked with the

1 trial -- this trial.

2 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you, Mr Harris.

3 JUDGE SEBUTINDE: I really wanted, yes, to call upon the 4 representative of the Office of the Public Defender to address us 09:56:51 5 just on the issue of the absence of either senior counsel or 6 junior counsel for that Defence.

MS CARLTON-HANCILES: I left court a few minutes to go and 7 try to get Mr Manley-Spaine on the phone because we have been 8 9 trying to get him since yesterday, and even this morning before coming to court. Fortunately, I got him. He told me on the 09:57:12 10 11 phone that indeed his clerk's residence was searched and that he 12 is traumatised by the whole event. And he feels personally responsible. And that, in fact, if there is need for a medical, 13 he will come along with one. He says he has instructed his clerk 14 09:57:35 15 to do an affidavit today, and he says that the residence was searched by the Sierra Leone Military Police. And he also says 16 17 that it's traumatic for the clerk also because he is a civilian. He's not a member of any armed force and that they were searching 18 19 his residence for arms and ammunition. And that now he feels 09:58:00 20 personally responsible because the clerk as well as his family 21 now feels exposed that for the first time -- throughout the whole 22 period of the war, no military police had ever been to their 23 house. And for the first time, there has been the presence and 24 in the early hours of the morning. And as such, they do not know 09:58:23 25 whether their movements are being watched, et cetera, et cetera, and that yesterday he had to go over to talk to the whole house. 26 But that today he has seen a doctor, but he will come tomorrow. 27 28 That is what he just informed me when I left court. And I 29 am back to inform Your Honours.

OPEN SESSION

Page 14

1 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you, Ms Carlton-Hanciles. 2 Mr Metzger, just pause, please. I would like to see if the 3 Prosecution has anything at all to comment before coming back to 4 you. MR METZGER: It was just that I wanted to answer the 09:58:53 5 specific question raised by Her Honour Judge Sebutinde. I know 6 that a matter has been raised in relation to Mr Manley-Spaine 7 about the issue of nexus as to the events that have occurred. 8 9 But I'm content to deal with that after the Prosecution have spoken, if that is the way in which the Trial Chamber --09:59:09 10 11 PRESIDING JUDGE: I think Mr Harris has ably addressed on 12 that. Can the Prosecution be of any assistance or have any 13 knowledge of this? 14 09:59:26 15 MS TAYLOR: Your Honour, I had absolutely no knowledge of this until the matters were raised in court this morning. I am, 16 17 therefore, in absolutely no position to assist Your Honours. I'm 18 not clear even that there is an application before the Court, so 19 I'm not in a position to even respond to that. 09:59:43 20 PRESI DI NG JUDGE: The Prosecution has, in fact, restated 21 the question I originally asked and what my learned brother 22 asked, what are you seeking from the Trial Chamber in the 23 immediate -- in the immediate? MR METZGER: 24 Immediately, we are asking for you to note our 10:00:13 25 concerns; and secondly, to stand this matter down for the moment. We will draft a formal Rule 54 motion. And to deal with the 26 27 question of nexus and how it affects our ability to proceed with 28 this trial, I would like to at this point in time to explain my 29 particular position.

OPEN SESSION

1 I am a Sierra Leonean. I am proud to be Sierra Leonean. I 2 have family in this country. If things like this are happening, 3 it's getting closer all the time. I will not bend. I will 4 defend. I will do my job in this court. But if I tell you that 10:00:54 I do not have fears for people who are close to me because of 5 what it seems that is happening, this seems to me to be some sort 6 7 of warning. I don't know where from, why, and how it's 8 happening. But it needs to stop. Because it is affecting our 9 ability to do this job. We're supposed to be having a trial here that is not being interfered with. It is a major concern. 10:01:15 10 PRESIDING JUDGE: We will retire to consider this situation 11 12 we now find ourselves in. And the application -- "stand down," "stand down" is a very open-ended matter, Mr Metzger. Could you 13 be a little more precise. 14 10:01:54 15 MR METZGER: We will hope to have -- to file a motion by Thursday. 16 17 PRESIDING JUDGE: Are you seeking to adjourn the Court to 18 Thursday? Is that what you're saying? 19 MR METZGER: We are indeed. 10:02:11 20 [Trial Chamber confers] 21 JUDGE LUSSICK: I don't know if you're in any position to 22 answer that application, Ms Taylor. 23 MS TAYLOR: As to the merits or otherwise for the basis of 24 the application, I'm in absolutely no position to comment. The 10:02:29 25 only concern that I do raise is that the Prosecution has an international witness currently in the jurisdiction. 26 That witness is to give evidence in this trial and also in the trial 27 28 that is currently proceeding before Trial Chamber I. And it's a 29 question of trying to find time in a way that accords with

SCSL - TRIAL CHAMBER II

OPEN SESSION

everyone's rights to hear the evidence of that witness. That is 1 2 the only concern that immediately springs to mind for the Beyond that, I really do not feel that the 3 Prosecution. Prosecution is in a position to comment. 4 PRESIDING JUDGE: We'll adjourn briefly and consider this. 10:03:01 5 [Break taken at 10.03 a.m.] 6 7 [TB260405B - CR] [Upon resuming at 11.02 a.m.] 8 9 PRESIDING JUDGE: The Court has considered and I now read the ruling of the Court. We have considered the allegations 11:05:01 10 brought before us by Defence counsel and their concerns are 11 noted. This Court is constantly conscious of its duties. We 12 will stand the matter down to allow Defence counsel to consider 13 their position. We do so, aware that the Prosecution has brought 14 11:05:25 15 an international witness. The trial will proceed on Thursday, 28 April. The court now stands adjourned until 28 April. 16 17 [Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 18 11.04 a.m. to be reconvened on Thursday, 19 28th day of April 2005, at 9.15 a.m.] 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29